

Public Utility Commission of Texas

Texas Technical Reference Manual

Version 12.0

Volume 2: Residential Measures

Program Year 2025

Last Revision Date:

November 2024



Public Utility Commission of Texas

Texas Technical Reference Manual

Version 12.0

Volume 2: Residential Measures

Program Year 2025

Last Revision Date:

November 2024

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Residential Measures	6
2.1	RESIDENTIAL: LIGHTING	6
2.1.1	ENERGY STAR® General Service LED Lamps Measure Overview	6
2.1.2	ENERGY STAR® Specialty LED Lamps Measure Overview	18
2.1.3	LED Nightlights Measure Overview	28
2.2	RESIDENTIAL: HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING	35
2.2.1	Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Tune-Ups Measure Overview	35
2.2.2	Central and Mini-Split Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Measure Overview	43
2.2.3	ENERGY STAR® Room Air Conditioners Measure Overview	58
2.2.4	Packaged Terminal Heat Pumps Measure Overview	68
2.2.5	ENERGY STAR® Ground Source Heat Pumps Measure Overview	77
2.2.6	Large Capacity Split and Packaged Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Measure Overview	85
2.2.7	Evaporative Cooling Measure Overview	92
2.2.8	ENERGY STAR® Connected Thermostats Measure Overview	96
2.2.9	Smart Thermostat Load Management Measure Overview	105
2.2.10	Duct Sealing Measure Overview	110
2.3	RESIDENTIAL: BUILDING ENVELOPE	127
2.3.1	Air Infiltration Measure Overview	127
2.3.2	Ceiling Insulation Measure Overview	137
2.3.3	Attic Encapsulation Measure Overview	151
2.3.4	Wall Insulation Measure Overview	169
2.3.5	Floor Insulation Measure Overview	181
2.3.6	Duct Insulation Measure Overview	189
2.3.7	Radiant Barriers Measure Overview	195
2.3.8	Cool Roofs Measure Overview	202
2.3.9	Solar Screens Measure Overview	232
2.3.10	ENERGY STAR® Windows Measure Overview	238
2.3.11	ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows Measure Overview	246
2.4	RESIDENTIAL: WATER HEATING	255
2.4.1	Water Heater Installations—Electric Tankless and Fuel Substitution Measure Overview	255
2.4.2	ENERGY STAR® Heat Pump Water Heaters Measure Overview	264
2.4.3	ENERGY STAR® Solar Water Heaters Measure Overview	271
2.4.4	Water Heater Tank Insulation Measure Overview	278
2.4.5	Water Heater Pipe Insulation Measure Overview	284
2.4.6	Faucet Aerators Measure Overview	289

2.4.7	Low-Flow Showerheads Measure Overview	295
2.4.8	Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview	301
2.4.9	Tub Spout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview	308
2.4.10	Water Heater Temperature Setback Measure Overview	316
2.5	RESIDENTIAL: APPLIANCES	320
2.5.1	ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans Measure Overview	320
2.5.2	ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washers Measure Overview	328
2.5.3	ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryers Measure Overview	337
2.5.4	ENERGY STAR® Dishwashers Measure Overview	345
2.5.5	ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Measure Overview	351
2.5.6	ENERGY STAR® Freezers Measure Overview	365
2.5.7	Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Measure Overview	378
2.5.8	ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Measure Overview	383
2.5.9	ENERGY STAR® Dehumidifiers	389
2.5.10	ENERGY STAR® Ventilation Fans	394
2.5.11	ENERGY STAR® Water Coolers	399
2.5.12	ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Measure Overview	403
2.5.13	Advanced Power Strips Measure Overview	413
2.5.14	ENERGY STAR® Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment	423
2.5.15	Induction Cooking	428

List of Figures

Figure 1. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Survival Function.....	53
Figure 2. RACs—Survival Function.....	63
Figure 3. Faucet Aerators—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile	292
Figure 4. Low-Flow Showerheads—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile	298
Figure 5. Showerhead TSRVs—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile	305
Figure 6. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile	314
Figure 7. Refrigerators—Survival Function.....	356
Figure 8. Freezers—Survival Function	371

List of Tables

Table 1. Residential Deemed Savings by Measure Category	1
Table 2. GSL LEDs—Baseline and Default Wattages for A-Shaped Lamps'	8
Table 3. GSL LEDs—Baseline and Default Wattages for Other Lamp Shapes'	8
Table 4. GSL LEDs—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties	10
Table 5. GSL LEDs—In-Service Rates by Program Type	11
Table 6. GSL LEDs—Coincidence Factors	12
Table 7. GSL LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties	12
Table 8. GSL LEDs—Estimated Useful Life	15
Table 9. GSL LEDs—Revision History	16
Table 10. Specialty LEDs—Baseline and Default Wattages	20
Table 11. Specialty LEDs—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties	21
Table 12. Specialty LEDs—In-Service Rates by Program Type	22
Table 13. Specialty LEDs—Coincidence Factors	23
Table 14. Specialty LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties	23
Table 15. Specialty LEDs—Estimated Useful Life	25
Table 16. Specialty LEDs—Revision History	27
Table 17. LED Nightlights—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties	29
Table 18. LED Nightlights—In-Service Rates by Program Type	30
Table 19. LED Nightlights—Coincidence Factors	31
Table 20. LED Nightlights—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties	31
Table 21. LED Nightlights—Revision History	34
Table 22. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours	38
Table 23. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Coincidence Factors	39
Table 24. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Energy Savings (kWh/ton)	40
Table 25. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/ton)	40
Table 26. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Peak Demand Savings (kW/ton)	40
Table 27. AC/HP Tune-Ups—History	42
Table 28. Central and Mini-Split ACs—Baseline Efficiencies	47
Table 29. Central and Mini-Split HPs—Baseline Efficiencies	47

Table 30. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours.....	51
Table 31. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Coincidence Factors.....	51
Table 32. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—RUL of Replaced Unit.....	52
Table 33. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Revision History.....	56
Table 34. RACs—Baseline Efficiencies for ER, ROB, and NC.....	59
Table 35. RACs—Efficient Condition Requirements.....	60
Table 36. RACs—Annual Operating Hours for Cooling.....	61
Table 37. RACs—Coincidence Factor.....	61
Table 38. RACs—RUL of Replaced Unit.....	62
Table 39. RACs—Revision History.....	66
Table 40. PTHPs—ER Baseline Efficiency Levels for Standard Size PTACs.....	69
Table 41. PTHPs—ROB Minimum Efficiency Levels.....	70
Table 42. PTHPs—Coincidence Factors.....	72
Table 43. PTHPs—Cooling/Heating EFLHs.....	73
Table 44. PTHPs—RUL of Replaced PTAC.....	74
Table 45. PTHPs—Revision History.....	76
Table 46. GSHPs—Baseline Efficiencies.....	78
Table 47. GSHPs—ENERGY STAR Requirements.....	78
Table 48. GSHPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours.....	80
Table 49. GSHPs—Coincidence Factors.....	81
Table 50. GSHPs—Energy Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage.....	82
Table 51. GSHPs—Summer Peak Demand Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage.....	82
Table 52. GSHPs—Revision History.....	84
Table 53. Large Capacity AC/HPs—NC/ROB Baseline Efficiency Levels for AC/HPs.....	86
Table 54. Large Capacity AC/HPs—NC/ROB Baseline Efficiency Levels for GSHPs.....	86
Table 55. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Coincidence Factors.....	88
Table 56. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours.....	88
Table 57. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Revision History.....	90
Table 58. Evaporative Cooling—Deemed Savings per System.....	94
Table 59. Evaporative Cooling—Revision History.....	95
Table 60. Connected Thermostats—Baseline Efficiency of Existing ACs.....	97
Table 61. Connected Thermostats—Baseline Efficiency of Existing HPs.....	97
Table 62. Connected Thermostats—Runtime Reduction Criteria for ENERGY STAR Certification.....	99

Table 63. Connected Thermostats—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours	100
Table 64. Connected Thermostats—Baseline for Various Equipment Replacement Scenarios	101
Table 65. Connected Thermostats—Energy Savings for Thermostats Installed on Unspecified Existing HVAC (kWh/thermostat)	101
Table 66. Connected Thermostats—Revision History	104
Table 67. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Deemed kW Savings per Device.....	107
Table 68. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Example Total Program Year Savings Calculation.....	107
Table 69. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Revision History	109
Table 70. Duct Sealing—Leakage Categorization Guide.....	113
Table 71. Duct Sealing—Energy Savings V_E per CFM ₂₅ Reduction.....	115
Table 72. Duct Sealing—Summer Demand Savings V_S per CFM ₂₅ Reduction	115
Table 73. Duct Sealing—Winter Demand Savings V_W per CFM ₂₅ Reduction.....	116
Table 74. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Energy Savings (kWh), HTR Alternate Approach	116
Table 75. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Energy Savings (kWh), HTR Alternate Approach	117
Table 76. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Energy Savings (kWh), HTR Alternate Approach	117
Table 77. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Energy Savings (kWh), HTR Alternate Approach	117
Table 78. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Energy Savings (kWh), HTR Alternate Approach	117
Table 79. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	118
Table 80. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	118
Table 81. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	118
Table 82. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	118
Table 83. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	118
Table 84. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	119
Table 85. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	119

Table 86. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	119
Table 87. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	120
Table 88. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach.....	120
Table 89. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach.....	120
Table 90. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach.....	120
Table 91. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach.....	121
Table 92. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach.....	121
Table 93. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach.....	121
Table 94. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	121
Table 95. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	121
Table 96. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	122
Table 97. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	122
Table 98. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	122
Table 99. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	122
Table 100. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	122
Table 101. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	123
Table 102. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	123
Table 103. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach.....	123
Table 104. Duct Sealing—Revision History.....	126
Table 105. Air Infiltration—N Factors.....	130
Table 106. Air Infiltration—Energy Savings V_E per CFM ₅₀ Reduction.....	132
Table 107. Air Infiltration—Peak Summer Demand Savings V_S per CFM ₅₀ Reduction.....	132

Table 108. Air Infiltration—Peak Winter Demand Savings V_w per CFM ₅₀ Reduction	133
Table 109. Air Infiltration—Revision History	135
Table 110. Ceiling Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	139
Table 111. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	139
Table 112. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	140
Table 113. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	140
Table 114. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Energy (kWh/sq. ft.)	140
Table 115. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	140
Table 116. Ceiling Insulation—Energy Scale-Down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ ΔR)	141
Table 117. Ceiling Insulation—Energy Scale-Up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ ΔR)	142
Table 118. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	142
Table 119. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	142
Table 120. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	143
Table 121. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	143
Table 122. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	143
Table 123. Ceiling Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)	143
Table 124. Ceiling Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)	144
Table 125. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	144
Table 126. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	144
Table 127. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	145
Table 128. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	145

Table 129. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.).....	145
Table 130. Ceiling Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR).....	146
Table 131. Ceiling Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30(kW/sq. ft./ ΔR).....	146
Table 132. Ceiling Insulation—Revision History	149
Table 133. Attic Encapsulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	154
Table 134. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	154
Table 135. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	154
Table 136. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	155
Table 137. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	155
Table 138. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft.).....	155
Table 139. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Scale-down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR).....	156
Table 140. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Scale-up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR).....	157
Table 141. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	157
Table 142. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	157
Table 143. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	158
Table 144. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	158
Table 145. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	158
Table 146. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR).....	158
Table 147. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR).....	159
Table 148. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	159
Table 149. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	159

Table 150. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	160
Table 151. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	160
Table 152. Attic Encapsulation—Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.).....	160
Table 153. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR).....	161
Table 154. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR).....	161
Table 155. Attic Encapsulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	163
Table 156. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Savings for Infiltration Reduction Component, 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kWh/home)	163
Table 157. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings for Infiltration Reduction Component, 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kW/home)	164
Table 158. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings for the Infiltration Reduction Component, 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kW/home)	164
Table 159. Attic Encapsulation—Revision History.....	167
Table 160. Wall Insulation—High-Efficiency Condition R-Values for 2x4 and 2x6 Walls.....	170
Table 161. Wall Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	171
Table 162. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R- 13....	171
Table 163. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21	172
Table 164. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17.....	172
Table 165. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33.....	173
Table 166. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-13.....	174
Table 167. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21 (kW/sq. ft.)	174
Table 168. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17	175
Table 169. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33	175
Table 170. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-13.....	176
Table 171. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21	177
Table 172. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17	177

Table 173. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33	178
Table 174. Wall Insulation—Revision History	180
Table 175. Floor Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	183
Table 176. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	183
Table 177. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	184
Table 178. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	184
Table 179. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.) ...	184
Table 180. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	184
Table 181. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	184
Table 182. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	185
Table 183. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	185
Table 184. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	185
Table 185. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	185
Table 186. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	186
Table 187. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	186
Table 188. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	186
Table 189. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	186
Table 190. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	186
Table 191. Floor Insulation—Revision History	188
Table 192. Duct Insulation—Cooling and Heating Adjustment Factors (AF)	190
Table 193. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	191
Table 194. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	191
Table 195. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	191
Table 196. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy (kWh/sq. ft.)	191
Table 197. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	192
Table 198. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192

Table 199. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192
Table 200. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 201. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 202. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 203. Duct Insulation—Revision History	194
Table 204. Radiant Barriers—RIMA-I Product Testing Requirements	196
Table 205. Radiant Barriers—Cooling and Heating Adjustment Factors (AF)	197
Table 206. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	198
Table 207. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	198
Table 208. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	198
Table 209. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.) ..	199
Table 210. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	199
Table 211. Radiant Barriers—Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residences with Refrigerated Air (kWh/sq. ft.)	199
Table 212. Radiant Barriers—Revision History	201
Table 213. Cool Roofs—ENERGY STAR Specification	203
Table 214. Cool Roofs—Prototypical Home Characteristics	204
Table 215. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	205
Table 216. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	206
Table 217. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	208
Table 218. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	209
Table 219. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	210
Table 220. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	211
Table 221. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	212
Table 222. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	213

Table 223. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.).....	214
Table 224. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.).....	214
Table 225. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.).....	215
Table 226. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	216
Table 227. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	217
Table 228. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.).....	218
Table 229. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	218
Table 230. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	219
Table 231. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.).....	220
Table 232. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	220
Table 233. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	221
Table 234. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	221
Table 235. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	222
Table 236. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	223
Table 237. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	224
Table 238. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	225
Table 239. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	225
Table 240. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	226
Table 241. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	227
Table 242. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	227

Table 243. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	228
Table 244. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	228
Table 245. Cool Roofs—Revision History	231
Table 246. Solar Screens—Energy Savings (kWh) per Square Foot of Solar Screen	235
Table 247. Solar Screens—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW) per Square Foot of Solar Screen	235
Table 248. Solar Screens—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW) per Square Foot of Solar Screen.....	236
Table 249. Solar Screens—Revision History.....	237
Table 250. Windows—Baseline Window Specification	239
Table 251. Windows—ENERGY STAR Requirements.....	239
Table 252. Windows—TRM and ENERGY STAR Climate Zones.....	240
Table 253. Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline.....	241
Table 254. Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline	241
Table 255. Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline.....	241
Table 256. Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	242
Table 257. Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline.....	242
Table 258. Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline	242
Table 259. Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	243
Table 260. Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline.....	243
Table 261. Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline	243
Table 262. Windows—Revision History.....	245
Table 263. Low-E Storm Windows—ENERGY STAR Requirements	248
Table 264. Low-E Storm Windows—Window Assembly Properties.....	248
Table 265. Low-E Storm Windows—Modeled Building Characteristics	248
Table 266. Low-E Storm Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	249
Table 267. Low-E Storm Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline.....	250

Table 268. Low-E Storm Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline	250
Table 269. Low-E Storm Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	250
Table 270. Low-E Storm Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline	251
Table 271. Low-E Storm Window—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline	251
Table 272. Low-E Storm Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	252
Table 273. Low-E Storm Windows—Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline	252
Table 274. Low-E Storm Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline	252
Table 275. Low-E Storm Windows—Revision History	254
Table 276. DHW Replacements—Federal Standard for Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters	256
Table 277. DHW Replacements—Efficiency Standards	257
Table 278. DHW Replacements—Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)	258
Table 279. DHW Replacements—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	259
Table 280. DHW Replacements—Coincidence Factors	260
Table 281. DHW Replacements—Revision History	263
Table 282. HPWHs—Federal Standard for Residential Water Heaters	265
Table 283. HPWHs—ENERGY STAR Specification	265
Table 284. HPWHs—Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)	267
Table 285. HPWHs—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	268
Table 286. HPWHs—Coincidence Factors.....	268
Table 287. HPWHs—Revision History	270
Table 288. Solar DHW—Federal Standard for Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters	272
Table 289. Solar DHW—Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)	274
Table 290. Solar DHW—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	274
Table 291. Solar DHW—Coincidence Factors	275
Table 292. Solar DHW—Revision History	276
Table 293. DHW Tank Insulation—Estimated Tank Area	280
Table 294. DHW Tank Insulation—Ambient Temperature (°F).....	281
Table 295. DHW Tank Insulation—Energy Savings	281

Table 296. DHW Tank Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings.....	281
Table 297. DHW Tank Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings.....	282
Table 298. DHW Tank Insulation—Revision History.....	283
Table 299. DHW Pipe Insulation—Estimated Pipe Surface Area.....	285
Table 300. DHW Pipe Insulation—Ambient Temperature (°F).....	286
Table 301. DHW Pipe Insulation—Revision History.....	288
Table 302. Faucet Aerators—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	291
Table 303. Faucet Aerators—Coincidence Factors.....	292
Table 304. Faucet Aerators—Revision History.....	294
Table 305. Low-Flow Showerheads—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	297
Table 306. Low-Flow Showerheads—Coincidence Factors.....	298
Table 307. Low-Flow Showerheads—Revision History.....	300
Table 308. Showerhead TSRVs—Hot Water Usage Reduction.....	303
Table 309. Showerhead TSRVs—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	305
Table 310. Showerhead TSRVs—Coincidence Factors.....	305
Table 311. Showerhead TSRVs—Revision History.....	307
Table 312. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Hot Water Usage Reduction.....	311
Table 313. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Water Mains Temperature (°F).....	313
Table 314. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Coincidence Factors.....	313
Table 315. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Revision History.....	315
Table 316. DHW Temperature Setback—Estimated Tank Area.....	317
Table 317. DHW Temperature Setback—Revision History.....	319
Table 318. Ceiling Fans—Fan Definitions.....	321
Table 319. Ceiling Fans—Efficiency Requirements.....	321
Table 320. Ceiling Fans—Light Kit Efficacy Requirements.....	321
Table 321. Ceiling Fans—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties.....	323
Table 322. Ceiling Fans— Motor Wattages.....	323
Table 323. Ceiling Fans—Operating Percentages.....	324
Table 324. Ceiling Fans—Lighting Coincidence Factors.....	325
Table 325. Ceiling Fans—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties.....	325
Table 326. Ceiling Fans—Energy Savings (kWh).....	325
Table 327. Ceiling Fans—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	325
Table 328. Ceiling Fans—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	326

Table 329. Ceiling Fans—Revision History	327
Table 330. Clothes Washers—Federal Standard	329
Table 331. Clothes Washers—ENERGY STAR Requirements.....	329
Table 332. Clothes Washers—ENERGY STAR Characteristics.....	332
Table 333. Clothes Washers—Coincidence Factors	332
Table 334. Clothes Washers—Energy Savings (kWh)	333
Table 335. Clothes Washers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	333
Table 336. Clothes Washers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	334
Table 337. Clothes Washers—Revision History	335
Table 338. Clothes Dryers—Current Federal Standard.....	338
Table 339. Clothes Dryers—ENERGY STAR Requirements	338
Table 340. Clothes Dryers—Default Average Load.....	339
Table 341. Clothes Dryers—Coincidence Factors.....	340
Table 342. Clothes Dryers—Energy Savings (kWh).....	340
Table 343. Clothes Dryers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	341
Table 344. Clothes Dryers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	342
Table 345. Clothes Dryers—Revision History	344
Table 346. Dishwashers—Federal Standard.....	345
Table 347. Dishwashers—ENERGY STAR Requirements	346
Table 348. Dishwashers—Coincidence Factors	348
Table 349. Dishwashers—Energy Savings (kWh).....	348
Table 350. Dishwashers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	348
Table 351. Dishwashers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	348
Table 352. Dishwashers—Revision History.....	350
Table 353. Refrigerators—ENERGY STAR Requirements.....	352
Table 354. Refrigerators—Formulas to Calculate the Energy Usage by Product Class.....	353
Table 355. Refrigerators—Coincidence Factors.....	354
Table 356. Refrigerators—RUL of Replaced Unit	355
Table 357. Refrigerators—Energy Savings (kWh).....	358
Table 358. Refrigerators—Replace-on-Burnout – Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	359
Table 359. Refrigerators—Early Retirement—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	360
Table 360. Refrigerators—Replace-on-Burnout—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)	361
Table 361. Refrigerators—Early Retirement—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	362
Table 362. Refrigerators—Revision History	364

Table 363. Freezers—ENERGY STAR Requirements.....	367
Table 364. Freezers—Formulas to Calculate the Energy Usage by Product Class	368
Table 365. Freezers—Coincidence Factors	369
Table 366. Freezers—RUL of Replaced Unit	370
Table 367. Freezers—Savings (kWh)	373
Table 368. Freezers—Replace-on-Burnout—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	374
Table 369. Freezers—Early Retirement—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	374
Table 370. Freezers—Replace-on-Burnout—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	375
Table 371. Freezers—Early Retirement—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)	375
Table 372. Freezers—Revision History	377
Table 373. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling—Average Annual Energy Consumption	379
Table 374. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling—Coincidence Factors	380
Table 375. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling—Revision History	382
Table 376. Air Purifiers—Federal Standard.....	383
Table 377. Air Purifiers—ENERGY STAR Requirements	384
Table 378. Air Purifiers—Coincidence Factors	386
Table 379. Air Purifiers—Energy Savings (kWh)	386
Table 380. Air Purifiers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	386
Table 381. Air Purifiers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	387
Table 382. Air Purifiers—Revision History.....	388
Table 383. Dehumidifiers—Federal and ENERGY STAR Standards for Portable Dehumidifiers	390
Table 384. Dehumidifiers—Federal and ENERGY STAR Standards for Whole-Home Dehumidifiers.....	390
Table 385. Dehumidifiers—Savings Calculation Input Assumptions.....	391
Table 386. Dehumidifiers—Coincidence Factors.....	391
Table 387. Dehumidifiers—Annual Energy Savings (kWh).....	392
Table 388. Dehumidifiers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	392
Table 389. Dehumidifiers—Revision History	393
Table 390. Ventilation Fans—Baseline Bathroom and Utility Room Ventilating Fan Efficacy	394
Table 391. Ventilation Fans—ENERGY STAR Minimum Efficacy Levels	395
Table 392. Ventilation Fans—ENERGY STAR Maximum Sound Levels	395
Table 393. Ventilation Fans—ENERGY STAR Average Airflow and Efficacy	396
Table 394. Ventilation Fans—Coincidence Factors.....	396

Table 395. Ventilation Fans—Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	397
Table 396. Ventilation Fans—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	397
Table 397. Ventilation Fans—Revision History.....	398
Table 398. Water Coolers—Baseline and Efficient Water Cooler Daily Energy Use (kWh/day)	400
Table 399. Water Coolers—Coincidence Factors.....	401
Table 400. Water Coolers—Energy Savings (kWh).....	401
Table 401. Water Coolers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	401
Table 402. Water Coolers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)	401
Table 403. Water Coolers—Revision History	402
Table 404. Pool Pumps—Baseline Condition.....	404
Table 405. Pool Pumps—High Efficiency Condition	405
Table 406. Pool Pumps—Baseline Assumptions.....	407
Table 407. Pool Pumps—ENERGY STAR Assumptions.....	407
Table 408. Pool Pumps—Coincidence Factors	408
Table 409. Pool Pumps—Energy Savings (kWh)	408
Table 410. Pool Pumps—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Inground Pools.....	409
Table 411. Pool Pumps—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Above Ground Pools	409
Table 412. Pool Pumps—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Inground Pools	410
Table 413. Pool Pumps—Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Above Ground Pools	410
Table 414. Pool Pumps—Revision History.....	412
Table 415. APS—Peripheral Watt Consumption Breakdown.....	415
Table 416. APS—In-Service Rates by Program Type	418
Table 417. APS—Coincidence Factors	419
Table 418. APS—Tier 1 Unadjusted Savings Before Applying ISR	420
Table 419. APS—Tier 2 Unadjusted Savings Before Applying ISR	420
Table 420. APS—Revision History.....	422
Table 421. EV Registration by Model—Fuel Economy for EVs in Texas	424
Table 422. EVSE—Energy Savings (kWh).....	425
Table 423. EVSE—Revision History	426
Table 424. Induction Cooking—Baseline Electric Resistance Cooktop Energy Consumption.....	429
Table 425. Induction Cooking—Coincidence Factors	430
Table 426. Induction Cooking—Energy Savings (kWh).....	431
Table 427. Induction Cooking—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	431

Table 428. Induction Cooking—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....431
Table 429. Induction Cooking—Revision History.....432

Acknowledgments

The Texas Technical Reference Manual is maintained by the Public Utility Commission of Texas' independent evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) team led by Tetra Tech. This version of the Texas Technical Reference Manual was primarily developed from program documentation and measure savings calculators used by the Texas Electric Utilities and their Energy Efficiency Services Providers (EESPs) to support their energy efficiency efforts, and original source material from petitions filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas by the utilities, their consultants and EESPs such as Frontier Energy (TXu 1-904-705), ICF, CLEAResult, and Resource Innovations. Portions of the Technical Reference Manual are copyrighted 2001-2017 by the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT), while other portions are copyrighted 2001-2018 by Frontier Energy. Certain technical content and updates were added by the EM&V team to provide further explanation and direction as well as a consistent structure and level of information.

TRM Technical Support

Technical support and questions can be emailed to the EM&V project manager (Lark.Lee@tetrattech.com) and the PUCT staff (<mailto:Ramya.Ramaswamy@puc.texas.gov>).

1 INTRODUCTION

This volume of the TRM contains the deemed savings for residential measures that have been approved for use in Texas by the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT). This volume includes instructions regarding various savings calculators and reference sources of the information. The TRM serves as a centralized source of deemed savings values. Where appropriate, measurement and verification (M&V) methods by measure category are noted for informational purposes only regarding the basis of projected and claimed savings.

Table 1 provides an overview of the residential measures contained within this program year (PY) 2024 TRM 12.0 Volume 2 and the types of deemed savings estimates available for each one. There are five types of deemed savings estimates identified:

- *Point estimates* that provided a single deemed savings value correspond to a single measure or type of technology.
- *Deemed saving tables* that provide energy and peak savings as a function of size, capacity, building type, efficiency level, or other inputs.
- *Savings algorithms* that require specified primary inputs that must be gathered on site and the identification of default inputs where primary data could not be collected. In many cases, these algorithms are provided as references to deemed savings tables, point estimates, or calculator explanations.
- *Calculators* are used by different utilities and implementers to calculate energy savings for different measures. In many cases, there are several different calculators available for a single measure. Sometimes their background calculators are similar, and in other cases, estimates can vary greatly between each calculator.
- *M&V methods* are also used for some measures to calculate savings in the event that standard equipment is not used, or the specified building types do not apply. For some of these measures, both a simplified M&V approach and a full M&V approach may be allowed by the utility. M&V methods as a source of claimed and projected savings are noted for informational purposes only.

Please consult Volume 1: Overview and User Guide, Section 5: Structure and Content, for details on the organization of the measure templates presented in this volume.

Table 1. Residential Deemed Savings by Measure Category

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	12.0 update
Lighting	ENERGY STAR® general service LED lamps	–	–	X	–	–	Clarified federal standard. Updated new construction code high-efficacy lamp requirement.

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	12.0 update
	ENERGY STAR® specialty and directional LED lamps	–	–	X	–	–	Clarified federal standard. Updated new construction code high-efficacy lamp requirement.
	LED nightlights	–	–	X	–	–	Updated baseline wattage assumption and documentation requirements.
HVAC	Air conditioner or heat pump tune-up	–	–	X	–	–	Updated tune-up checklist to match ENERGY STAR HVAC Maintenance Checklist.
	Central mini-split air conditioners and heat pumps	–	–	X	–	–	Clarified documentation requirements. Updated EUL and combined RUL tables for AC and HP. Updated early retirement eligibility.
	Room air conditioners	–	–	X	–	–	No revision.
	Packed terminal heat pumps	–	–	X	–	–	No revision.
	Ground source heat pumps	–	X	X	–	–	Updated algorithms to match coefficient definitions.
	Large-capacity split-system and single-package air conditioners and heat pumps	–	–	X	–	–	Updated baseline efficiency table.
	Evaporative cooling	–	X	–	–	–	No revision.
	ENERGY STAR® connected thermostats	–	X	–	–	–	Updated heating type weighting. Added electric resistance baseline and documentation requirement.
	Smart thermostat load management	–	X	–	–	–	Added guidance for selecting savings methodology for utilities close to fully deploying automated metering infrastructure (AMI). Added guidance on tracking and reporting load management programs separately from energy efficiency programs.

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	12.0 update
	Duct sealing	–	–	X	–	X	No revision.
Building envelope	Air infiltration	–	X	–	–	X	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	Ceiling insulation	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	Attic encapsulation	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor. Updated rounding for infiltration component energy savings.
	Wall insulation	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	Floor insulation	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	Duct insulation	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	Radiant barriers	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	Cool roofs	–	X	–	–	–	No revision.
	Solar screens	–	X	–	–	–	No revision.
	ENERGY STAR® windows	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
	ENERGY STAR® low-e storm windows		X	–	–	–	Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	12.0 update
Domestic water heating	Water heater installation—electric tankless and fuel substitution	–	–	X	–	–	No revision.
	Heat pump water heaters	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified forthcoming federal standard effective and compliance dates.
	Solar water heaters	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified use of high-efficiency ratings.
	Water heater tank insulation	–	X	–	–	–	Updated ambient temperatures and deemed savings.
	Water heater pipe insulation	–	–	X	–	–	Updated ambient temperatures.
	Faucet aerators	–	–	X	–	–	Updated number of faucets per home.
	Low-flow showerheads	–	–	X	–	–	Updated number of showerheads per home.
	Showerhead temperature-sensitive restrictor valves	–	–	X	–	–	Updated number of showerheads per home and resulting behavioral waste and hot water saved assumptions.
	Tub spout and showerhead temperature-sensitive restrictor valves	–	–	X	–	–	Updated number of showerheads per home and resulting behavioral waste and hot water saved assumptions.
	Water heater temperature setback	–	–	X	–	–	No revision.
Appliances	ENERGY STAR® ceiling fans	–	–	X	–	–	No revision.
	ENERGY STAR® clothes washers	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified upcoming federal standard. Incorporated latest ENERGY STAR specification.
	ENERGY STAR® clothes dryers	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified upcoming federal standard. Added heat pump dryer savings tier.
	ENERGY STAR®	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified upcoming federal standard. Incorporated latest

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	12.0 update
	dishwashers						ENERGY STAR specification.
	ENERGY STAR® refrigerators	–	–	X	–	X	Updated early retirement age eligibility and weighting using the latest Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) data. Updated resulting early retirement savings tables. Clarified upcoming federal standard.
	ENERGY STAR® freezers	–	X	–	–	–	Updated early retirement age eligibility and weighting using the latest RECS data. Updated resulting early retirement savings tables. Clarified upcoming federal standard.
	Refrigerator/ freezer recycling	X	–	X	–	–	No revision.
	ENERGY STAR® air purifiers	–	X	–	–	–	No revision.
	ENERGY STAR® dehumidifiers	–	X	–	–	–	TRM v12.0 origin.
	ENERGY STAR® ventilation fans	–	X	–	–	–	TRM v12.0 origin.
	ENERGY STAR® water coolers	–	X	–	–	–	TRM v12.0 origin.
	ENERGY STAR® pool pumps	–	–	X	–	–	No revision.
	Advanced power strips	–	X	–	–	–	No revision.
	ENERGY STAR® electric vehicle supply equipment	–	X	–	–	–	Updated savings methodology to use a weighted Level 1 and Level 2 baseline.
	Induction cooking	–	X	–	–	–	No revision.

2 RESIDENTIAL MEASURES

2.1 RESIDENTIAL: LIGHTING

2.1.1 ENERGY STAR® General Service LED Lamps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-LT-GS

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Lighting

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily; manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive and direct install

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure provides a method for calculating savings for the replacement of a standard-efficiency lamp with an ENERGY STAR-compliant LED general service lamp (GSL) in a residential application.¹ This measure applies to all lamps not included in the subsequent measure for Specialty LED Lamps. All lamp types not defined in the Specialty LED Lamps measure should be treated as GSLs.

Eligibility Criteria

These savings values rely on usage patterns specific to both indoor and outdoor applications. In lieu of collecting lamp location, a default weighting of 90.5 percent indoor and 9.5 percent outdoor may be assumed.²

New homes must exceed the lighting equipment requirements of the current state building code (IECC 2015) to be eligible for prescriptive lighting savings.

Fixtures with integrated LEDs may be eligible under this measure using a modified baseline.

¹ DOE Final Rule: Definitions for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0012-0022>.

² 2015 US Lighting Market Characterization, Department of Energy. November 2017. Table 4.11. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2017/12/f46/lmc2015_nov17.pdf.

Baseline Condition

On May 8, 2022, the Department of Energy (DOE) issued two final rules relating to GSLs:

- Energy Conservation Program: Definitions for General Service Lamps, effective July 8, 2022, which expanded the definition of GSLs.³
- Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps, effective July 25, 2022, which shifted the baseline to 45 lumens/watt efficacy.⁴

These guidelines are reinforced in the current federal standard, effective July 3, 2024.⁵

The baseline is assumed to be the second-tier Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA)-mandated efficiency for a GSL. The EISA regulations dictate that GSLs must comply with a 45 lumen/watt efficacy standard at time of sale beginning January 1, 2023.

For low-income and hard-to-reach direct install programs, utilities may claim additional savings for early retirement of incandescent and halogen lamps with LEDs when documentation requirements are met. It is assumed that the remaining useful life (RUL) of the existing lamps is two years. This is when the incandescent or halogen lamp baseline bulbs will be at the end of their useful life and need to be replaced. First year savings are weighted using the dual baseline methodology for the first-tier and second-tier baselines found in Table 2 and Table 3. The first-tier baseline may only be used in this scenario.

New construction applications use the same baselines; however, savings can only be claimed for efficient lighting installed above the minimum 90 percent high-efficacy lighting required by code.⁶ Therefore, if 100 percent of installed lighting is high-efficacy, savings can be claimed for the remaining 10 percent of installed lamps.

Due to the variability among fixture types compared to screw-in lamps, qualified fixtures with integrated LEDs should use the rated installed wattage and equivalent wattage, or other approved custom methodology, in lieu of the deemed values outlined in Table 2 and Table 3. These wattages are available on the ENERGY STAR certificate and can be used in combination with the deemed savings methodologies provided in this measure.

³ DOE Final Rule: Definitions for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0012-0022>.

⁴ DOE Final Rule: Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0005-0070>.

⁵ DOE Final Rule: Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2022-BT-STD-0022-0205>.

⁶ EM&V market research demonstrates standard builder practice references IECC 2018 or higher based on local code adoption. IECC 2018 R404.1. <https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/IECC2018P5/chapter-4-residential-energy-efficiency>.

Table 2. GSL LEDs—Baseline and Default Wattages for A-Shaped Lamps^{7,8}

Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	Incandescent equivalent wattage	1 st tier EISA 2007 (W_{Base}) ⁹	2 nd tier EISA 2007 (W_{Base}) ¹⁰	Default W_{Post} (if unknown) ¹¹
250	309	25	Exempt	Exempt	3.5
310	749	40	29	12	5.5
750	1,049	60	43	20	9.0
1,050	1,489	75	53	28	11.5
1,490	2,600	100	72	45	15.0
2,601	3,300	150	Exempt	66	22.5

Table 3. GSL LEDs—Baseline and Default Wattages for Other Lamp Shapes^{12,13}

Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	Incandescent equivalent wattage ¹⁴	1 st tier EISA 2007 (W_{Base}) ¹⁵	2 nd tier EISA 2007 (W_{Base}) ¹⁶	W_{Post} (if unknown) ¹⁷
250	309	Qualified Products List (QPL)	Exempt	Exempt	QPL
310	749	–	29	12	
750	1,049	–	43	20	
1,050	1,489	–	53	28	
1,490	2,600	–	72	45	
2,601	3,300	QPL	Exempt	66	

⁷ Federal standard for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSIL):

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=20.

⁸ If exempt, refer to the incandescent equivalent wattage.

⁹ 1st tier baseline is only applicable to low-income and hard-to-reach direct install programs. This baseline is only applicable for two years, equivalent to the expected life of an incandescent lamp.

¹⁰ Non-exempt baseline wattages are calculated by dividing the midpoint of the specified lumen range by the 45 lumens/watt efficacy standard.

¹¹ Average rated wattage from the ENERGY STAR QPL rounded to nearest half-watt:

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

¹² Federal standard for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSIL):

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=20.

¹³ If exempt, refer to incandescent equivalent wattage.

¹⁴ Due to large variation in lamp types, use rated value from the ENERGY STAR QPL:

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

¹⁵ 1st tier baseline is only applicable to low-income and hard-to-reach direct install programs. This baseline is only applicable for two years, equivalent to the expected life of an incandescent lamp.

¹⁶ Non-exempt baseline wattages are calculated by dividing the midpoint of the specified lumen range by the 45 lumens/watt efficacy standard.

¹⁷ Due to large variation in lamp types, use rated value from ENERGY STAR:

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is the wattage of the replacement lamp. LEDs must be ENERGY STAR-compliant¹⁸ for the relevant lamp shape being installed as outlined in the current ENERGY STAR specification, effective January 2, 2017.¹⁹ Alternatively, lab testing reports (e.g., LM-79, LM-80, TM-21, ISTMT) are also accepted as a method of certification

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Wattage reduction is defined as the difference between the wattage of a standard baseline lamp, according to EISA 2007 (see Table 2 and Table 3) and the wattage of a comparable GSL LED. An LED is considered comparable to the baseline lamp if they are aligned on the lumen output ranges set out in EISA 2007.

For new construction projects, programs should calculate savings using the methodology in this section for all efficient lamps installed in the home. The program should claim savings for the percentage of installed high-efficacy lamps that exceed the minimum required by code, which is currently 75 percent of lamps. For example, if a new home is built with high-efficacy lamps in 85 percent of the permanently installed fixtures, the program would claim 10 percent of the total calculated savings.

Energy Savings

Annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated as follows.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times \text{Hours} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{IEF}_E$$

Equation 1

Where:

W_{base}	=	Baseline wattage corresponding with the lumen output of the purchased LED lamp for the year purchased/installed; reduced baselines are provided for EISA-compliant lamps in Table 2
W_{post}	=	Actual wattage of LED purchased/installed (if unknown, use default wattages from Table 2)

¹⁸ ENERGY STAR QPL: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

¹⁹ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Lamps (Light Bulbs), Eligibility Criteria Version 2.1.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification_1.pdf.

- HOU* = Average hours of use per year = 803 hours (for interior/exterior applications calculated based on an average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day²⁰)
- IEF_E* = Interactive effects factor to account for cooling energy savings and heating energy penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 4)
- ISR* = In-service rate, the percentage of incentivized units that are installed and in use (rather than removed, stored, or burnout) to account for units incentivized but not operating (see Table 5)
- 1,000 = Constant to convert from W to kW

Table 4. GSL LEDs—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties²¹

Heating/cooling type*	IEF _E				
	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.91	1.00	1.05	1.11	0.97
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.65	0.80	0.90	1.00	0.75
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.57	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.65
No heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ²²	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.07	0.95

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

²⁰ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas ‘Make Your Mark’ Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy. June 2009.

²¹ Extracted from BEopt energy models used to estimate savings for envelope measures. Referencing the EISA baseline table, the typical lumen output was determined by taking the midpoint for the 60-watt equivalent lamp (900 lumens), which was assumed to be the most typical installation. The resulting lumens were divided by the default wattage for incandescents (43 W), CFLs (13 W), and LEDs (10 W) resulting in an assumed efficacy for incandescents (21 lm/W), CFLs (70 lm/W), and LEDs (90 lm/W). IEF values were calculated using the following formula: $1 + \text{HVAC}_{\text{savings}} / \text{Lighting}_{\text{savings}}$.

²² Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

Table 5. GSL LEDs—In-Service Rates by Program Type

Program type	ISR
Low-income community kits ²³	0.88
All other kit programs ²⁴	0.60
Retail (time of sale) ²⁵	0.76
Midstream/upstream	
Direct install ²⁶	0.97

Demand Savings

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor associated with each season.

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times CF_S \times ISR \times IEF_{D,S}$$

Equation 2

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times CF_W \times ISR \times IEF_{D,W}$$

Equation 3

Where:

- $CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 6)
- IEF_D = Interactive effects factor to account for cooling demand savings or heating demand penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 7)

²³ Kits targeting low-income qualified communities. From Illinois TRM v10, based on 2018 Ameren Illinois income-qualified participant survey. Representative of first-year installations.

²⁴ From Illinois TRM v10 based on evaluation of ComEd PY9 Elementary Energy Education program. Representative of first-year installations.

²⁵ From Illinois TRM v10 based on evaluations of ComEd PY8, PY9, and CY2018 and Ameren PY8 programs. Representative of first-year installations.

²⁶ Dimetrosky, S., Parkinson, K. and Lieb, N. "Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol – The Uniform Methods Project: Methods for Determining Energy Efficiency Savings for Specific Measures." January 2015. ISR for upstream programs, including storage lamps installed within four years of purchase. <http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/UMPCchapter21-residential-lighting-evaluation-protocol.pdf>.

Table 6. GSL LEDs—Coincidence Factors²⁷

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.060	0.053	0.063	0.059	0.032
Winter	0.275	0.232	0.199	0.263	0.358

Table 7. GSL LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties²⁸

IEF _{D,S}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
No heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ²⁹	1.39	1.28	1.58	1.20	1.38

²⁷ See Volume 1.

²⁸ Refer to Table 4.

²⁹ Ibid.

IEF _{D,W}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.71	0.67	0.65	0.74	0.81
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
No heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ³⁰	0.76	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.80

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Low-Income and Hard-to-Reach Direct Install Programs

Annual energy (kilowatt-hours, kWh) and peak demand (kilowatts, kW) may be calculated separately for two time periods:

The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed (incandescent or halogen lamp), designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and

The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL).

Annual energy and peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining useful life = 2 years

EUL = Estimated useful life = 16 or 20 years (see Measure Life and Lifetime Savings section)

Upstream/Midstream Program Assumptions

All GSLs with an equivalent wattage of 100 W or lower distributed through upstream or midstream programs should calculate savings using a combination of residential and non-residential savings methodologies with 95 percent of savings allocated to the residential sector and the remaining five percent of savings allocated to the commercial sector.³¹ While only

³⁰ Ibid.

³¹ Weighting assumptions based on statewide evaluator review of LED purchasing behavior for similar program designs as referenced in the 2018 EM&V Upstream Lighting memo.

summer demand savings are specified for the commercial sector, winter demand savings are allowed for the portion of savings allocated to the residential sector.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Historically, the average measure life is based upon the rated lamp life of the LED. The measure life assumes an average use of 2.2 hours per day based on blended usage for indoor/outdoor applications and applies a 0.85 degradation factor to indoor/outdoor LEDs.

$$EUL_{Total} = \frac{Rated\ Life \times DF}{HOU \times 365.25}$$

Equation 4

Where:

Rated Life = 10,000 hours, 12,000 hours, 15,000 hours, or 20,000 hours, as specified by the manufacture; if unknown, assume a 10,000-hour lifetime³²

DF = 0.85 degradation factor³³

HOU = 2.2 hours per day³⁴

Table 8. GSL LEDs—Estimated Useful Life

Range of rated measure life (hours)	Assumed rated measure life (hours)	Rated product lifetime (years)
≤ 17,500	15,000	16
> 17,500	20,000	20*

* Measure life capped at 20 years. EUL may be deemed at 16 years in lieu of documenting the customer baseline.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Program delivery type (low-income targeted kits, non-low-income targeted kits, retail, direct install, midstream/upstream)
- LED American National Standards Institute (ANSI) C79.1-2002 nomenclature/lamp shape (A, C, G, R, PAR, etc.)
- LED quantity
- LED manufacturer and model number
- LED rated wattage
- LED rated lumens
- LED rated lifetime (hours)
- Heating system type (gas, electric resistance, heat pump, none, unknown)
- Cooling system type (air conditioner, evaporative, none, unknown)

³² Minimum lifetime requirement under ENERGY STAR Lamps Specification V2.1, effective January 2, 2017.

<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification.pdf>.

³³ ENERGY STAR CFL Third Party Testing and Verification Off-the-Shelf CFL Performance: Batch 3. Figure 27, p. 47.

³⁴ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy. June 2009.

- Location of replacement lamp (conditioned, unconditioned, or outdoor); only required when not assuming default weighting
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: representative photos of replacement units or another pre-approved method of installation verification
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching replacement model number
 - Alternative: another pre-approved method of certification (e.g., LM-79, LM-80, TM-21 ISTMT lap reports)
- For low-income and hard-to-reach direct install programs, photo documentation clearly showing the lamp type and approximate quantity replaced or other pre-approved method of verification
- For new construction projects only, these data points must be gathered for all permanently installed fixtures in the home to document the percentage that are high-efficacy.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 9. GSL LEDs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 origin.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Modification of in-service rate, revision of interactive effects factors to reflect indoor-specific values for additional heating and cooling equipment types. Provided default input assumptions for upstream lighting programs. Capped estimated measure life.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated IEF values and useful life estimates.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated EUL algorithm to account for baseline change beginning in 2021. Included language to deem EUL.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated useful life estimates. Updated interactive effects factors.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Removed dual baseline and updated useful life estimates. Added invoice and certificate requirements. Added option for new construction savings.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Defined midstream methodology and clarified default wattages by lumen range.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated midstream methodology and added path for fixtures.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated for compliance with new DOE GSL definition and reinstatement of EISA 45 lumens/watt backstop.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added in-service rates by program type.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified federal standard. Updated new construction code high-efficacy lamp requirement.

2.1.2 ENERGY STAR® Specialty LED Lamps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-LT-SP

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Lighting

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive and direct install

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure provides a method for calculating savings for replacement of an incandescent or halogen reflector or decorative lamp with an ENERGY STAR-compliant LED specialty lamp in a residential application. These lamps are limited to the following lamp types, defined by the current federal standard³⁵ and further reduced to only include lamps that are common to utility rebate programs.

- G-shape lamps that have a first number symbol less than or equal to 12.5 (diameter less than or equal to 1.5625 inches)
- G-shape lamps with a diameter of five inches or more
- MR-shape lamps that have a first symbol equal to 16 (diameter equal to two inches) and have a lumen output greater than or equal to 800 lumens
- Reflector lamps that have a first number symbol less than 16 (diameter less than two inches) and that do not have E26/E24, E26d, E26/50x39, E26/53x39, E29/28, E29/53x39, E39, E39d, EP39, or EX39 bases

Eligibility Criteria

These savings values rely on usage patterns specific to both indoor and outdoor applications. In lieu of collecting lamp location, a default weighting of 90.5 percent indoor and 9.5 percent outdoor may be assumed.³⁶

³⁵ DOE Final Rule: Definitions for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0012-0022>.

³⁶ 2015 US Lighting Market Characterization, Department of Energy. November 2017. Table 4.11. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2017/12/f46/lmc2015_nov17.pdf.

New homes must exceed the lighting equipment requirements of the current state building code (IECC 2015) to be eligible for prescriptive lighting savings.

Fixtures with integrated LEDs may be eligible under this measure using a modified baseline.

Baseline Condition

On May 8, 2022, the Department of Energy (DOE) issued two final rules relating to general service lamps:

- Energy Conservation Program: Definitions for General Service Lamps (GSL), effective July 8, 2022, which expanded the definition of general service lamp.³⁷
- Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps, effective July 25, 2022, which shifted the baseline to 45 lumens per watt efficacy.³⁸

These guidelines are reinforced in the current federal standard, effective July 3, 2024.³⁹

For all products not defined as GSLs, the baseline is assumed to be the incandescent equivalent wattage. The baseline wattage will be determined based on the bulb shape of the installed lamp, as outlined below. New construction applications use the same baselines; however, savings can only be claimed for efficient lighting installed above the minimum 90 percent high-efficacy lighting required by code.⁴⁰ Therefore, if 100 percent of installed lighting is high-efficacy, savings can be claimed for the remaining 10 percent of installed lamps.

Due to the variability among fixture types compared to screw-in lamps, qualified fixtures with integrated LEDs should use the rated installed wattage and equivalent wattage, or other approved custom methodology, in lieu of the deemed values outlined in this section. These wattages are available on the ENERGY STAR certificate and can be used in combination with the deemed savings methodologies provided in this measure.

³⁷ DOE Final Rule: Definitions for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0012-0022>.

³⁸ DOE Final Rule: Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-STD-0005-0070>.

³⁹ DOE Final Rule: Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2022-BT-STD-0022-0205>.

⁴⁰ EM&V market research demonstrates standard builder practice references IECC 2018 or higher based on local code adoption. IECC 2018 R404.1. <https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/IECC2018P5/chapter-4-re-residential-energy-efficiency>.

Table 10. Specialty LEDs—Baseline and Default Wattages⁴¹

Lamp type ⁴²	Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	W _{Base}
G-shape with diameter \geq 5 in. ⁴³	–	–	Qualified Products List (QPL)
MR16/MRX16	800	–	75
R14	250	299	25

High- Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is the wattage of the replacement lamp. LEDs must be ENERGY STAR-compliant⁴⁴ for the relevant lamp shape being removed as outlined in the current ENERGY STAR specification, effective January 2, 2017.⁴⁵ Alternatively, lab testing reports (e.g., LM-79, LM-80, TM-21, ISTMT) are also accepted as a method of certification.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Wattage reduction is defined as the difference between the wattage of a specialty baseline lamp and the wattage of a directional or specialty LED.

For new construction projects, programs should calculate savings using the methodology in this section for all efficient lamps installed in the home. The program should claim savings for the percentage of installed high-efficacy lamps that exceed the minimum required by code, which is currently 75 percent of lamps. For example, if a new home is built with high-efficacy lamps in 85 percent of the permanently installed fixtures, the program would claim 10 percent of the total calculated savings.

Energy Savings Algorithms

Annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times HOU \times ISR \times IEF_E$$

⁴¹ Due to large variation in lamp types, use rated value from ENERGY STAR QPL where not specified: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

⁴² Lamp types excluded from this table were not included on the ENERGY STAR QPL. For missing lamp types, refer to the equivalent and rated wattages from the ENERGY STAR certification.

⁴³ G-shape lamps are not included because there were very few ENERGY STAR-qualified products with a diameter of 5 inches or more. For these products, use the equivalent and rated wattages from the ENERGY STAR certification.

⁴⁴ ENERGY STAR QPL: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

⁴⁵ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Lamps (Light Bulbs), Eligibility Criteria Version 2.1. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification_1.pdf.

Where:

W_{base}	=	EISA-exempt specialty lamp or a DOE-ruling-exempt reflector (see Table 10)
W_{post}	=	Actual wattage of LED purchased/installed
HOU	=	Average hours of use per year = 803 hours (for interior/exterior applications calculated based on an average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day ⁴⁶)
IEF_E	=	Interactive effects factor to account for cooling energy savings and heating energy penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 11)
ISR	=	In-service rate, the percentage of incentivized units that are installed and in use (rather than removed, stored, or burnt out) to account for units incentivized but not operating (see Table 12)
1,000	=	Constant to convert from W to kW

Table 11. Specialty LEDs—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties⁴⁷

Heating/cooling type*	IEF _E				
	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.91	1.00	1.05	1.11	0.97
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.65	0.80	0.90	1.00	0.75
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.57	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.65

⁴⁶ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy (formerly Associates). June 2009.

⁴⁷ Extracted from BEopt energy models used to estimate savings for envelope measures. Referencing the EISA baseline table, the typical lumen output was determined by taking the midpoint for the 60-watt equivalent lamp (900 lm), which was assumed to be the most typical installation. The resulting lumens were divided by the default wattage for incandescents (43 W), CFLs (13 W), and LEDs (10 W) resulting in an assumed efficacy for incandescents (21 lm/W), CFLs (70 lm/W), and LEDs (90 lm/W). IEF values were calculated using the following formula: $1 + HVAC_{savings}/Lighting_{savings}$.

IEF _E					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
No heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ⁴⁸	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.07	0.95

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Table 12. Specialty LEDs—In-Service Rates by Program Type

Program type	ISR
Low-income community kits ⁴⁹	0.88
All other kit programs ⁵⁰	0.60
Retail (time of sale) ⁵¹	0.76
Direct install ⁵²	0.97

Demand Savings Algorithms

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor associated with each season.

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times CF_S \times ISR \times IEF_{D,S}$$

Equation 6

⁴⁸ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

⁴⁹ Kits targeting low-income qualified communities. From Illinois TRM v10, based on 2018 Ameren Illinois income-qualified participant survey. Representative of first-year installations.

⁵⁰ From Illinois TRM v10 based on evaluation of ComEd PY9 Elementary Energy Education program. Representative of first-year installations.

⁵¹ From Illinois TRM v10 based on evaluations of ComEd PY8, PY9, and CY2018 and Ameren PY8 programs. Representative of first-year installations.

⁵² Dimetrosky, S., Parkinson, K. and Lieb, N. "Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol – The Uniform Methods Project: Methods for Determining Energy Efficiency Savings for Specific Measures." January 2015. ISR for upstream programs, including storage lamps installed within four years of purchase. <http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/UMPCchapter21-residential-lighting-evaluation-protocol.pdf>.

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times CF_W \times ISR \times IEF_{D,W}$$

Equation 7

Where:

- $CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 13)
- IEF_D = Interactive effects factor to account for cooling demand savings or heating demand penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 14)

Table 13. Specialty LEDs—Coincidence Factors⁵³

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.060	0.053	0.063	0.059	0.032
Winter	0.275	0.232	0.199	0.263	0.358

Table 14. Specialty LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties⁵⁴

IEF _{D,S}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
No heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ⁵⁵	1.39	1.28	1.58	1.20	1.38

⁵³ See Volume 1.

⁵⁴ Refer to Table 11.

⁵⁵ Calculated using IEFs from a Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Entergy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

IEF _{D,W}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.71	0.67	0.65	0.74	0.81
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
No heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ⁵⁶	0.76	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.80

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Upstream/Midstream Program Assumptions

All general service, decorative, and reflector lamps with an equivalent wattage of 100 W or lower distributed through upstream or midstream programs should calculate savings using a combination of residential and non-residential savings methodologies with 95 percent of savings allocated to the residential sector and the remaining five percent of savings allocated to the commercial sector.⁵⁷ While only summer demand savings are specified for the commercial sector, winter demand savings are allowed for the portion of savings allocated to the residential sector.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

⁵⁶ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

⁵⁷ Weighting assumptions based on statewide evaluator review of LED purchasing behavior for similar program designs as referenced in the 2018 EM&V upstream lighting memo.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Historically, the average measure life is based upon rated lamp life of the LED. The measure life assumes an average use of 2.2 hours per day based on blended usage for indoor/outdoor applications and applies a 0.85 degradation factor to indoor/outdoor LEDs.

$$EUL_{Total} = \frac{Rated\ Life \times DF}{HOU \times 365.25}$$

Equation 8

Where:

Rated Life = 10,000 hours, 12,000 hours, 15,000 hours, or 20,000 hours, as specified by the manufacturer; if unknown, assume a 10,000-hour lifetime⁵⁸

DF = 0.85 degradation factor⁵⁹

HOU = 2.2 hours per day⁶⁰

Table 15. Specialty LEDs—Estimated Useful Life

Range of rated measure life (hours)	Assumed rated measure life (hours)	Specialty measure life (years)
≤ 17,500	15,000	16
> 17,500	20,000	20*

* Measure life capped at 20 years. EUL may be deemed at 16 years in lieu of collecting manufacturer rated life or documenting customer baseline.

⁵⁸ Minimum lifetime requirement under ENERGY STAR Lamps Specification V2.1, effective January 2, 2017. <https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification.pdf>.

⁵⁹ ENERGY STAR CFL Third Party Testing and Verification Off-the-Shelf CFL Performance: Batch 3. Figure 27, p. 47.

⁶⁰ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy (formerly Associates). June 2009.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Program delivery type (low-income targeted kits, non-low-income targeted kits, retail, direct install, midstream/upstream)
- LED American National Standards Institute (ANSI) C79.1-2002 nomenclature/lamp shape (G, MR16, MRX16, R14)
- LED quantity
- LED manufacturer and model number
- LED baseline and rated wattage
- LED rated lumens
- LED rated lifetime (hours)
- Heating system type (gas, electric resistance, heat pump, none, unknown)
- Cooling system type (air conditioner, evaporative cooler, none, unknown)
- Location of installed lamp (conditioned, unconditioned, or outdoor); only required when not assuming default weighting
- Baseline calculation methodology (EISA-affected non-reflector, EISA-exempt non-reflector, DOE-ruling-affected reflector, DOE-ruling-exempt reflector, manufacturer-rated equivalent incandescent wattage, or default wattage)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: representative photos of installed units or other pre-approved method of installation verification
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching replacement model number
 - Alternative: other pre-approved method of certification (e.g., LM-79, LM-80, TM-21, ISTMT lap reports)
- For new construction projects only, these data points must be gathered for all permanently installed fixtures in the home to document the percentage that are high-efficacy.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 16. Specialty LEDs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 origin.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Modification of in-service rate, revision of interactive effects factors to reflect indoor-specific values for additional heating and cooling equipment types. Consolidated default input assumptions for upstream lighting programs. Capped estimated measure life.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated IEF values.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated useful life estimates.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated useful life estimates. Updated interactive effects factors.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Removed dual baseline and updated useful life estimates. Added invoice and certificate requirements. Added option for new construction savings.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Defined midstream methodology and clarified default wattages by lumen range. Updated specialty lamps baselines.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated midstream methodology and added path for fixtures.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated for compliance with new DOE GSL definition. Several lamp types previously considered <i>specialty lamps</i> moved to <i>general service lamp</i> measure.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added in-service rates by program type.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified federal standard. Updated new construction code high-efficacy lamp requirement.

2.1.3 LED Nightlights Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-LT-NL

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Lighting

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive and direct install

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure provides a method for calculating savings for the replacement of an incandescent nightlight with an LED or electroluminescent nightlight.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to all LED nightlights installed in a residential application.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is assumed to be an incandescent/halogen nightlight.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a qualified LED nightlight.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings

Annual energy (kilowatt-hours, kWh) savings are calculated as follows.

$$Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times Hours \times ISR \times IEF_E$$

Equation 9

Where:

- W_{base} = Baseline wattage. Use actual wattage if known (default = 4 W)⁶¹
- W_{post} = Actual wattage of LED purchased/installed (default = 1 W for LED)
- HOU = Average hours of use per year = 4,161 hours⁶²
- IEF_E = Interactive effects factor to account for cooling energy savings and heating energy penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 17)
- ISR = In-service rate, the percentage of incentivized units that are installed and in use (rather than removed, stored, or burnt out) to account for units incentivized but not operating (see Table 18)
- 1,000 = Constant to convert from W to kW

Table 17. LED Nightlights—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties⁶³

IEF _E					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.91	1.00	1.05	1.11	0.97
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.65	0.80	0.90	1.00	0.75
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.57	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.65
No heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12

⁶¹ Based on evaluation of existing retail incandescent night light products.

⁶² Southern California Edison Company, "LED, Electroluminescent & Fluorescent Night Lights," Work Paper WPSCRELG0029 Rev. 1, February 2009, p. 2 and 3.

⁶³ Extracted from BEopt energy models used to estimate savings for envelope measures. Referencing the EISA baseline table, the typical lumen output was determined by taking the midpoint for the 60-watt equivalent lamp (900 lm), which was assumed to be the most typical installation. The resulting lumens were divided by the default wattage for incandescents (43 W), CFLs (13 W), and LEDs (10 W) resulting in an assumed efficacy for incandescents (21 lm/W), CFLs (70 lm/W), and LEDs (90 lm/W). IEF values were calculated using the following formula: $1 + HVAC_{savings}/Lighting_{savings}$.

IEF _E					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ⁶⁴	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.07	0.95

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Table 18. LED Nightlights—In-Service Rates by Program Type

Program type	ISR
Low-income community kits ⁶⁵	0.88
All other kit programs ⁶⁶	0.60
Retail (time of sale) ⁶⁷	0.76
Direct install ⁶⁸	0.97

Demand Savings

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor associated with each season.

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times CF_S \times ISR \times IEF_{D,S}$$

Equation 10

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1,000} \times CF_W \times ISR \times IEF_{D,W}$$

Equation 11

⁶⁴ Calculated using IEFs from a Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

⁶⁵ Kits targeting low-income qualified communities. From Illinois TRM v10, based on 2018 Ameren Illinois income-qualified participant survey. Representative of first-year installations.

⁶⁶ From Illinois TRM v10 based on evaluation of ComEd PY9 Elementary Energy Education program. Representative of first-year installations.

⁶⁷ From Illinois TRM v10 based on evaluations of ComEd PY8, PY9, and CY2018 and Ameren PY8 programs. Representative of first-year installations.

⁶⁸ Dimetrosky, S., Parkinson, K. and Lieb, N. "Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol – The Uniform Methods Project: Methods for Determining Energy Efficiency Savings for Specific Measures." January 2015. ISR for upstream programs, including storage lamps installed within four years of purchase. <http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/UMPChapter21-residential-lighting-evaluation-protocol.pdf>.

Where:

- $CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 19)
- IEF_D = Interactive effects factor to account for cooling demand savings or heating demand penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 20)

Table 19. LED Nightlights—Coincidence Factors⁶⁹

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Winter	0.67	0.71	0.61	0.75	1.00

Table 20. LED Nightlights—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties⁷⁰

IEF _{D,S}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
No heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ⁷¹	1.39	1.28	1.58	1.20	1.38

⁶⁹ From TX TRM *commercial lamps & fixtures* measure for dusk-to-dawn operation.

⁷⁰ Refer to Table 20.

⁷¹ Ibid.

IEF _{D,W}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Gas heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.71	0.67	0.65	0.74	0.81
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
No heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ⁷²	0.76	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.80

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Upstream/Midstream Program Assumptions

All general service lamps with an equivalent wattage of 100 W or lower distributed through upstream or midstream programs should calculate savings using a combination of residential and non-residential savings methodologies with 95 percent of savings allocated to the residential sector and the remaining 5 percent of savings allocated to the commercial sector.⁷³ While only summer demand savings are specified for the commercial sector, winter demand savings are allowed for the portion of savings allocated to the residential sector.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

⁷² Ibid.

⁷³ Weighting assumptions based on statewide evaluator review of LED purchasing behavior for similar program designs as referenced in the 2018 EM&V upstream lighting memo.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for LED nightlights is 8 years.⁷⁴

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Program delivery type (low-income targeted kits, non-low-income targeted kits, retail, direct install)
- LED nightlight quantity
- LED nightlight manufacturer and model number
- LED nightlight wattage
- Heating system type (gas, electric resistance, heat pump, none, unknown)
- Cooling system type (air conditioner, evaporative, none, unknown)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: representative photos of replacement units or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Not applicable.

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

⁷⁴ Southern California Edison Company, “LED, Electroluminescent & Fluorescent Night Lights,” Work Paper WPSCRELG0029 Rev. 1, February 2009, p. 2 and 3.

Document Revision History

Table 21. LED Nightlights—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 origin.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added in-service rates by program type.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated baseline wattage assumption and documentation requirements.

2.2 RESIDENTIAL: HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING

2.2.1 Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Tune-Ups Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-TU

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to central air conditioners (AC) and heat pumps (HP) of any configuration where all applicable actions from the checklist below are completed. An AC tune-up involves checking, cleaning, adjusting, and resetting the equipment to factory conditions to restore operating efficiencies, on average, closer to as-new performance. This measure applies to all residential applications.

For this measure, the service technician must complete the following tasks according to industry best practices. To properly assess and adjust the refrigerant charge level, the unit must be operating under significant (normal) cooling load conditions. Therefore, this measure may only be performed for energy savings reporting purposes when the outdoor ambient dry bulb temperature is above 75°F, and the indoor return air dry bulb temperature is above 70°F.

HVAC inspection and tune-up checklist:⁷⁵

- Check thermostat settings
- Tighten all electrical connections, measure motor voltage and current
- Lubricate all moving parts, including motor and fan bearings
- Inspect and clean the condensate drain
- Inspect controls of the system to ensure proper and safe operation. Check the startup/shutdown cycle of the equipment to assure the system starts, operates, and shuts off properly.

⁷⁵ Based on ENERGY STAR HVAC Maintenance Checklist. <https://www.energystar.gov/saveathome/heating-cooling/maintenance-checklist>.

- Clean evaporator and condenser coils
- Check refrigerant level and adjust to manufacturer specifications
- Clean indoor blower fan components and adjust to provide proper system airflow
- Inspect and clean or change air filters; replacement preferred best practice
- Measure airflow via static pressure across the cooling coil and adjust to manufacturers specifications
- Check capacitor functionality and capacitance; compare to OEM specifications

Eligibility Criteria

HVAC systems must be manufactured before January 1, 2023, to be eligible for this measure.⁷⁶ All residential customers are eligible for this measure if they have refrigerated air conditioning 65,000 Btu/hr or less in cooling capacity that has not been serviced through a utility program in the last five years.

This measure is also applicable to packaged terminal air conditioners and heat pumps (PTAC/PTHPs).

Baseline Condition

The baseline is a system with some or all of the following issues:

- Dirty condenser coil
- Dirty evaporator coil
- Dirty blower wheel
- Dirty filter
- Improper airflow
- Incorrect refrigerant charge

The baseline system efficiency should be calculated using the following formulas:

$$EER_{pre} = (1 - EL) \times EER_{post}$$

Equation 12

$$HSPF_{pre} = (1 - EL) \times HSPF_{post}$$

Equation 13

⁷⁶ The current federal standard became effective on January 1, 2023, with full manufacturing compliance of the new SEER2 testing procedure being enforced as of April 24, 2023. This measure will be updated in the future to address the new efficiency ratings. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2021-BT-TP-0030-0027>.

Where:

EER_{pre}	=	Efficiency of the cooling equipment before tune-up
EL	=	Efficiency loss due to dirty coils, blower, filter, improper airflow, and/or incorrect refrigerant charge = 0.05
EER_{post}	=	Deemed cooling efficiency of the equipment after tune-up = 11.2 EER
$HSPF_{pre}$	=	Heating efficiency of the air source heat pump before tune-up
$HSPF_{post}$	=	Deemed heating efficiency of air source heat pumps after tune-up = 7.7 HSPF

High-Efficiency Condition

After the tune-up, the equipment must be clean with airflows and refrigerant charges adjusted as appropriate and set forth above, with the added specification that refrigerant charge adjustments must be within ± 3 degrees of target sub-cooling for units with thermal expansion valves (TXV) and ± 5 degrees of target super heat for units with fixed orifices or capillary tubes.

The efficiency standard, or efficiency after the tune-up, is deemed to be the manufacturer specified energy efficiency ratio (EER) of the existing central air conditioner or heat pump, which has been determined using the following logic and standards. The useful life of an AC unit is 19 years. The useful life of a heat pump is 16 years. Therefore, it is conservatively thought that the majority of existing, functioning units were installed under the federal standard in place between January 23, 2006, and January 1, 2015, which set a baseline of 13 SEER and 7.7 HSPF⁷⁷. A 13 SEER is equivalent to approximately 11.2 EER⁷⁸ using the conversion developed by Lawrence Berkeley Lab and US DOE: $EER = -0.02 \times SEER^2 + 1.12 \times SEER$.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Savings are based on an assumed efficiency loss factor of 5 percent due to dirty coils, dirty filters, improper airflow, and/or incorrect refrigerant charge.⁷⁹

⁷⁷ Code specified HSPF from federal standard effective January 23, 2006 through January 1, 2015.

⁷⁸ Code specified 13 SEER from federal standard effective January 23, 2006 through January 1, 2015, converted to EER using $EER = -0.02 \times SEER^2 + 1.12 \times SEER$. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). "Building America House Simulation Protocols." US Department of Energy. Revised October 2010. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy11osti/49246.pdf>.

⁷⁹ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research."

Energy Savings Algorithms

Heating energy savings are only applicable to heat pumps.

$$\text{Total Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_c + kWh_H$$

Equation 14

$$\text{Cooling Energy Savings } [kWh_c] = Cap_C \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{pre}} - \frac{1}{EER_{post}} \right) \times EFLH_C \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W}$$

Equation 15

$$\text{Heating Energy Savings } [kWh_H] = Cap_H \times \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{pre}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{post}} \right) \times EFLH_H \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W}$$

Equation 16

Where:

Cap_C	=	Rated cooling capacity of the equipment based on model number [Btuh] (1 ton = 12,000 Btuh)
Cap_H	=	Rated heating capacity of the equipment based on model number [Btuh] (1 ton = 12,000 Btuh)
EER_{pre}	=	Cooling efficiency of the equipment pre-tune-up using Equation 12 [Btuh/W]
EER_{post}	=	Cooling efficiency of the equipment after the tune-up [Btuh/W]; assume 11.2
$HSPF_{pre}$	=	Heating efficiency of the equipment pre-tune-up using Equation 13 [Btuh/W]
$HSPF_{post}$	=	Heating efficiency of the equipment after the tune-up [Btuh/W]; assume 7.7
$EFLH_{C/H}$	=	Cooling/heating equivalent full-load hours for appropriate climate zone [hours]

Table 22. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours⁸⁰

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,142	1,880
Zone 2: Dallas	1,926	1,343
Zone 3: Houston	2,209	1,127

⁸⁰ ENERGY STAR Central AC/HP Savings Calculator. April 2009 update.
https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ASHP_Sav_Calc.xls.

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,958	776
Zone 5: El Paso	1,524	1,559

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = Cap_C \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{pre}} - \frac{1}{EER_{post}} \right) \times CF_S \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 17

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = Cap_H \times \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{pre}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{post}} \right) \times CF_W \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 18

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor for each season. Winter peak demand savings are only applicable to heat pumps.

Where:

$$CF_{S/W} = \text{Summer/winter peak coincidence factor (see Table 23)}$$

Table 23. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Coincidence Factors⁸¹

Season	CF
Summer ⁸²	0.87
Winter ⁸³	0.83

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Applying the above algorithms results in the deemed energy savings per ton in Table 24. Heating savings are only applicable for heat pumps.

⁸¹ Coincidence factors calculated in accordance with the current peak definition are lower than expected for the Texas climate. Residential HVAC measures will temporarily revert to the coincidence factors used in TX TRM v4.0 before the change to the peak definition. These values will be reevaluated in upcoming TRM cycles to better align with the current peak definition.

⁸² Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

⁸³ ACCA Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling dominated climates). Based on AHRI data for 1.5–5 ton HVAC systems, the average ratio of rated heating capacity to cooling capacity is 0.96. Assuming that maximum heating occurs during the peak period and adjusting for the average ratio of heating-to-cooling capacity, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $0.96 / 1.15 = 0.83$.

Table 24. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Energy Savings (kWh/ton)

Climate zone	Cooling (kWh/ton)	Heating (kWh/ton)
Zone 1: Amarillo	64.4	154.2
Zone 2: Dallas	108.6	110.2
Zone 3: Houston	124.6	92.4
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	166.8	63.7
Zone 5: El Paso	85.9	127.9

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Applying the above algorithms results in the deemed summer demand savings per ton in Table 25.

Table 25. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/ton)

Climate zone	Summer kW/ton
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.049
Zone 2: Dallas	
Zone 3: Houston	
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	
Zone 5: El Paso	

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Applying the above algorithms results in the deemed winter demand savings per ton in Table 26. Winter peak demand savings are only applicable for heat pumps.

Table 26. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Peak Demand Savings (kW/ton)

Climate zone	Winter kW/ton
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.068
Zone 2: Dallas	
Zone 3: Houston	
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	
Zone 5: El Paso	

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for a tune-up is five years.⁸⁴

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Most recent tune-up service date or confirmation that system has not been serviced within the previous five years
- Climate zone or county
- Equipment type (split AC, split HP, packaged AC, packaged HP, PTAC, PTHP)
- Manufacturer, model number, and serial number
- Cooling and heating capacity of the serviced unit (tons)
- Heating capacity of the serviced unit if applicable (tons)
- Before and after tune-up pictures of components illustrating condition change due to cleanings (Note: pictures that include well-placed familiar objects like hand tools often provide a sense of scale and a reference for color/shading comparisons. Pictures of equipment name plates are useful.)
- Recommended:
 - Serial number
 - Refrigerant type
 - Amount of refrigerant added or removed
 - Target superheat or subcooling
 - Post tune-up superheat or subcooling
 - Static pressures before and after tune-up
 - Return and supply dry bulb and wet bulb temperatures

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

⁸⁴ GDS Associates, Inc. (2007). Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures. Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group; Page 1-3, Table 1. https://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/8842/CEE_Eval_MeasureLifeStudyLights&HVACGDS_1Jun2007.pdf.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 27. AC/HP Tune-Ups—History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v4.0	10/10/2015	TRM v4.0 origin.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated demand savings. Clarified eligibility for PTACs and PTHPs.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated tune-up checklist to match ENERGY STAR HVAC Maintenance Checklist.

2.2.2 Central and Mini-Split Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-CM

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, early retirement, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

Residential replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment with a new central or mini-split air-source air conditioner (AC) or heat pump (HP) in an existing building, or the installation of a new central AC or HP in a new residential construction. Downsized systems that are rightsized per heat-load calculation are also eligible. A new central system includes an entire packaged unit or a split system consisting of an indoor unit with a matching remote condensing unit. This measure also applies to the installation of dual-fuel HPs and DC inverter systems that meet all existing measure eligibility criteria.

Additional savings may be available for duct removal in combination with the installation of a ductless mini-split. In these cases, refer to the *duct sealing* measure and follow the savings methodology (standard approach) using a value of 0 cubic feet per minute (CFM) as the post-improvement duct leakage. Leakage testing must be performed on the existing ductwork to claim savings for duct removal.

Eligibility Criteria

The deemed savings apply to units with a capacity of $\leq 65,000$ Btu/hour (5.4 tons).

Equipment shall be properly sized to the dwelling based on ASHRAE or ACCA Manual J standards. Manufacturer datasheets for installed equipment or documentation of AHRI or DOE CCMS certification must be provided.^{85,86} Savings should be calculated using rated capacities whenever possible. Reported system capacities and efficiencies should always match those verified by AHRI or DOE as tested under AHRI operating conditions for a specific combination

⁸⁵ Air-Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration Institute (AHRI) Directory: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/>.

⁸⁶ Department of Energy Compliance Certification Management System (DOE CCMS): <https://www.regulations.doe.gov/certification-data/>.

of equipment, including condenser, coil, and furnace (or condenser only for packaged units). Savings should never be calculated using efficiency ratings for individual system components.

Customers should be advised against using the emergency heat (EM HEAT) setting on heat pump thermostats. This setting is meant only for use in emergency situations when the heat pump is damaged or malfunctioning. Supplemental heating automatically kicks on in below-freezing conditions using the regular HEAT setting. Contractors installing a new heat pump thermostat with equipment install shall advise the customer of correct thermostat usage.

For early retirement projects, to receive savings, the unit to be replaced must be functioning at the time of removal with a maximum age of 24 years. Otherwise, claim savings for a replace-on-burnout project. Additional guidance for systems applying the default age is provided in the Savings Algorithms and Input Variables section.

The replacement of an evaporative cooler with a refrigerated system is eligible where the decision to change equipment types predates or is independent of the decision to install efficient equipment and should be claimed against the new construction baseline.

The replacement of a room AC with a central or mini-split AC or HP is eligible and should be claimed against the new construction baseline. Refer to the Replace-on-Burnout or Early Retirement of an Electric Resistance Furnace section for guidance about the appropriate heating baseline for residences with electric resistance heat. Under this scenario, no savings should be awarded for rightsizing.

System rightsizing refers to installing an HVAC system that has been sized in accordance with a load calculation, such as a Manual J, considering specific home characteristics. Rightsizing retrofit projects could include upsizing or downsizing by one-half ton or more from the existing system. New construction projects are not eligible to receive deemed savings for system rightsizing.⁸⁷

For system downsizing, savings may be claimed against the applicable replace-on-burnout or early retirement scenario using the existing higher system pre-capacity with the lower system post-capacity if a Manual J load calculation is completed and included with project documentation.

For system upsizing, savings should generally be claimed against the new construction baseline. However, optional rightsizing savings are available for upsizing up to one ton for the scenarios outlined below. In these cases, savings may be claimed against the applicable replace-on-burnout or early retirement scenario if the specified conditions are met. For these scenarios, savings must be determined using the lower pre-tonnage. These exceptions should be applied consistently at the program level for the duration of the program year.

- Replacing a single larger capacity system with multiple smaller capacity systems.⁸⁸ If the multiple installed units do not share the same efficiency value, savings should be determined using the most conservative efficiency value.

⁸⁷ For projects using a custom baseline, see TRM Volume 4.

⁸⁸ This exception is allowed to account for efficiency improvements due to zoning that are not reflected in the current savings methodology.

- Replacing a single-stage system with a variable-speed system.⁸⁹ This scenario only applies to the replacement of a single-stage system.
- If a Manual J load calculation is completed and included with project documentation.⁹⁰

Additionally, low-income or hard-to-reach programs may use the electric resistance baseline for the following two scenarios:

- The electric resistance baseline may be used for systems upsized by no more than a half-ton in lieu of the new construction baseline. Under this scenario, cooling savings should be claimed against the new construction baseline using the installed (higher) capacity. Heating savings should be claimed against the electric resistance baseline using the existing (lower) capacity. Documentation should be aligned with the rightsizing and electric resistance baseline requirements outlined in this measure.
- The second scenario is for a major multifamily renovation when a centralized system, such as a boiler, is replaced with individual heat pumps. For this scenario, the electric resistance baseline may be claimed in lieu of new construction only if the building owner can document intent to install electric-resistance furnaces without program intervention. The cooling savings should still be claimed against the new construction baseline. Documentation should follow early retirement and electric-resistance baseline requirements.

When replacing a single unit with multiple units where the capacity is the same or has been downsized, savings should be calculated using the total system pre- and post-capacity. Again, if the multiple installed units do not share the same efficiency value, savings should be looked up using the most conservative efficiency value.

Baseline Condition

New Construction, Replace-on-Burnout, or Early Retirement of an Air-Source AC or HP

New construction baseline efficiency values for ACs or HPs are compliant with the current federal standard,^{91,92} effective January 1, 2023. The baseline is assumed to be a new system with an AHRI-listed SEER2 rating consistent with the values listed in Table 28 and Table 29. These baselines are also applicable to central ACs with gas, electric resistance, or unknown heating replacing an HP; evaporative coolers with central, space, or no heating; and room/window ACs with central, space, or no heating.

⁸⁹ This exception is allowed to account for efficiency improvements due to operating at variable speeds that are not reflected in the current savings methodology.

⁹⁰ This exception is allowed to account for efficiency improvements due to replacing a unit that was operating longer than designed to keep up with actual site load conditions.

⁹¹ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential air conditioners/heat pumps. https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=48&action=viewlive.

⁹² Walter-Terrinoni, Helen, "New US Energy Efficiency Standards and Refrigerants for Residential ACs and Heat Pumps." Air-Conditioning, Heating, & Refrigeration Institute (AHRI). February 1, 2022.

For replace-on-burnout projects, the cooling baselines are reduced by 4.3 percent. This value is based on Energy Systems Laboratory (ESL) survey data and incorporates an adjustment to the baseline SEER2/EER2 value to reflect the percentage of current replacements that do not include the installation of an AHRI-matched system.^{93,94} Heating baselines were not included in original ESL survey data and are not adjusted.

For early retirement projects, baselines are defined in Table 28 and Table 29 based on the applicable federal standard base on manufacture year. These baselines have been converted to SEER2, EER2, and HSPF2 by extrapolating from known values referenced in the current federal standard. Systems manufactured as of January 1, 2023, are not eligible for early retirement.

For early retirement projects involving an HP replacing an AC with gas heat, early retirement cooling baselines should be used in combination with new construction heating baselines.

For all systems with a part-load efficiency rating of 15.2 SEER2 or higher, the full-load efficiency baseline is reduced to 9.8 EER2, consistent with the EER2 federal standard specified for the Southwest region. While this standard does not directly apply to Texas, it is used here to recognize a reduced full-load allowance for systems achieving higher part-load efficiency ratings. This value is not reduced based on ESL survey data. Where applicable, the reduced 9.8 EER2 baseline should be applied in lieu of the EER2 baseline value presented in Table 28 and Table 29 except where the specified baseline EER2 value is lower than 9.8 EER2.

Replace-on-Burnout or Early Retirement of an Electric Resistance Furnace

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.⁹⁵ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.⁹⁶ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters should calculate savings using a HP baseline.

By the nature of the technology, all electric resistance furnaces have the same efficiency with HSPF = 3.412.⁹⁷ Projects in which an electric resistance furnace is replaced, either in replace-on-burnout or early retirement scenarios, use this baseline for heating-side savings.

⁹³ Frontier Energy on behalf of the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). "Petition to revise Existing Commission-Approved Deemed Savings Values for Central Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Systems: Docket No. 36780." Public Utility Commission of Texas. Approved August 27, 2009. <https://interchange.puc.texas.gov/>. Adapted for new 14 SEER baseline.

⁹⁴ The original petition defines the reduced baseline as 12.44 SEER compared to a 13 SEER federal standard. This deemed value was converted to a percentage reduction to accommodate a transition from SEER to SEER2. No EER adjustment is discussed in the original petition because the previous deemed savings structure only awarded savings based on SEER ratings. However, supporting documentation of the original filing makes it clear that the adjustment is appropriate for both part- and full-load cooling efficiency values. Therefore, the deemed percentage reduction is applied to both SEER2 and EER2 ROB baselines.

⁹⁵ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

⁹⁶ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

⁹⁷ COP = HSPF × 1,055 J/BTU / 3,600 J/W-hr. For Electric Resistance, heating efficiency is 1 COP. Therefore, HSPF = 1 × 3,600 / 1,055 = 3.412.

Table 28. Central and Mini-Split ACs—Baseline Efficiencies

Project type	Capacity (Btu/hr)	Cooling mode
New construction, split air conditioners	< 45,000	14.3 SEER2 11.7 EER2
	≥ 45,000	13.8 SEER2 11.2 EER2
New construction, packaged air conditioners	All	13.4 SEER2 10.9 EER2
Replace-on-burnout, split air conditioners	< 45,000	13.7 SEER2 11.2 EER2
	≥ 45,000	13.2 SEER2 10.7 EER2
Replace-on-burnout, packaged air conditioners	All	12.8 SEER2 10.4 EER2
Early retirement, air conditioners (manufactured 1/1/2015 through 12/31/2022)	All	12.8 SEER2 10.4 EER2
Early retirement, air conditioners (when applying default age) ⁹⁸	All	12.3 SEER2 10.0 EER2
Early retirement, air conditioners (manufactured 1/23/2006 through 12/31/2014)	All	11.9 SEER2 9.7 EER2
Early retirement, air conditioners (manufactured before 1/23/2006)	All	9.1 SEER2 7.4 EER2
All systems rated at 15.2 SEER2 or higher ⁹⁹	All	9.8 EER2

Table 29. Central and Mini-Split HPs—Baseline Efficiencies

Project type	Cooling mode	Heating mode
New construction, split heat pumps	14.3 SEER2 11.7 EER2	7.5 HSPF2
	13.4 SEER2 10.9 EER2	6.7 HSPF2
Replace-on-burnout, split heat pumps	13.7 SEER2 11.2 EER2	7.5 HSPF2
	12.8 SEER2 10.4 EER2	6.7 HSPF2

⁹⁸ Baseline efficiencies are calculated by taking the average the early retirement categories for 2006-2014 and 2015-2022.

⁹⁹ When installing any system with a part-load efficiency rating of 15.2 SEER2 or higher, the reduced 9.8 EER2 full-load efficiency baseline should be applied in lieu of the applicable value presented earlier in the table except where the specified baseline EER2 value is lower than 9.8 EER2.

Project type	Cooling mode	Heating mode
Early retirement, split heat pumps (manufactured 1/1/2015 through 12/31/2022)	12.8 SEER2 10.4 EER2	6.9 HSPF2
Early retirement, packaged heat pumps (manufactured 1/1/2015 through 12/31/2022)	12.8 SEER2 10.4 EER2	6.7 HSPF2
Early retirement, split heat pumps (when applying default age) ¹⁰⁰	12.3 SEER2 10.0 EER2	6.7 HSPF2
Early retirement, packaged heat pumps (when applying default age) ¹⁰¹	12.3 SEER2 10.0 EER2	6.6 HSPF2
Early retirement, heat pumps (manufactured 1/23/2006 through 12/31/2014)	11.9 SEER2 9.7 EER2	6.5 HSPF2
Early retirement, heat pumps (manufactured before 1/23/2006)	9.1 SEER2 7.4 EER2	5.7 HSPF2
All systems rated at 15.2 SEER2 or higher ¹⁰²	9.8 EER2	–
Replace-on-burnout or early retirement, electric resistance furnace ¹⁰³	–	3.412 HSPF2

High-Efficiency Condition

Rated system cooling (SEER2) and heating (HSPF2) efficiencies must meet or exceed the federal standard specified in Table 28 and Table 29. HVAC equipment with SEER2 meeting federal standard minimum requirements is eligible for early retirement cooling savings with verification of age of existing equipment and removal of functional inefficient equipment. HPs with HSPF2 meeting the minimum federal standard replacing electric resistance furnaces should follow the electric resistance documentation requirements.

Since there is no full-load efficiency requirement specified in the current federal standard, systems that comply with SEER2 and HSPF2 requirements but do not comply with the EER2 requirements outlined in Table 28 and Table 29 may still be eligible to claim savings. Systems with qualifying SEER2 and HSPF2 energy ratings are permitted to claim cooling energy savings, heating energy savings, and winter demand savings for systems, but not summer demand savings where the EER2 rating does not comply with the minimum requirement.

¹⁰⁰ Baseline efficiencies are calculated by taking the average the early retirement categories for 2006–2014 and 2015–2022.

¹⁰¹ Ibid.

¹⁰² When installing any system with a part-load efficiency rating of 15.2 SEER2 or higher, the reduced 9.8 EER2 full-load efficiency baseline should be applied in lieu of the applicable value presented earlier in the table except where the specified baseline EER2 value is lower than 9.8 EER2.

¹⁰³ When installing a heat pump replacing a split air conditioner with an electric resistance furnace, the reduced 3.412 HSPF2 heating baseline efficiency should be applied in lieu of the applicable value presented earlier in the table.

Split system efficiencies are driven primarily by the efficiency of the condenser unit. If the paired outdoor and indoor units are not listed on the AHRI certification listing and only provide DOE CCMS testing results, then the capacity and efficiency of the high-efficiency condition shall not exceed the average of the AHRI certification listing pairing for the matching condenser. The DOE CCMS listing provides documentation of the results that are on the AHRI certification listing and can be downloaded and filtered based on listing using a similar condenser and various indoor units.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy and demand savings algorithms and associated input variables are listed below.

For early retirement or rightsizing projects, attempt to determine the rated capacity of the existing unit. The rated capacity may be found on the manufacturer specification sheet for the existing unit if the new system is not available on the AHRI or DOE CCMS directories. If the model number of the existing unit is unobtainable or if the manufacturer specification sheet cannot be found, use nominal tonnage for both the existing and new unit. Never use nominal tonnage for the existing unit in combination with rated tonnage for the new unit, which can lead to overstated savings. Additionally, never use nominal tonnage to determine savings for projects where no early retirement or rightsizing has occurred.

For early retirement, if age is unknown, assume a default age equal to the replaced unit estimated useful life (EUL) resulting in a remaining useful life (RUL) of 5 years.¹⁰⁴ Default age may be used exclusively if applied consistently for all early retirement projects. This is the only scenario where an early retirement baseline can be applied to systems older than 24 years. Otherwise, the default should only be used when a project is reported and documented as having a nameplate that is illegible. Default early retirement baselines are specified in Table 28 and Table 29 for use with the default age.

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$Total\ Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = kWh_c + kWh_H$$

Equation 19

$$Cooling\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh_c] = \left(\frac{Cap_{C,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,C}} - \frac{Cap_{C,post}}{\eta_{installed,C}} \right) \times EFLH_C \times \frac{1\ kW}{1,000\ W}$$

Equation 20

¹⁰⁴ "Final Evaluation Report for X2001B: Connecticut Measure Life/EUL Update Study-Residential & Commercial." Prepared by Michaels Energy in partnership with Evergreen Economics for the Connecticut Energy Efficiency Board, p. 12-13, Table 3. May 11, 2023.

https://energizect.com/sites/default/files/documents/X2001BFINALReport_051523.pdf.

$$\text{Heating Energy Savings [kWh}_H] = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{H,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,H}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{H,post}}{\eta_{installed,H}} \right) \times \text{EFLH}_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \times \text{DAF}$$

Equation 21

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{C,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,C}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{C,post}}{\eta_{installed,C}} \right) \times \text{CF}_S \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 22

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{H,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,H}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{H,post}}{\eta_{installed,H}} \right) \times \text{CF}_W \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \times \text{DAF}$$

Equation 23

Where:

$\text{Cap}_{C/H,pre}$ = For early retirement (ER), cooling/heating capacity of the existing equipment; for replace-on-burnout (ROB) and new construction (NC), rated cooling/heating capacity of the new equipment [Btuh]; 1 ton = 12,000 Btuh

$\text{Cap}_{C/H,post}$ = Cooling/heating capacity of the new equipment [Btuh]; 1 ton = 12,000 Btuh

Note: When claiming early retirement or rightsizing savings, pre- and post-capacity should be expressed as a nominal tonnage multiplied by 12,000 Btuh/ton. In all other cases, pre- and post-capacity should be set equal to the rated post-capacity at AHRI standard conditions.

$\eta_{baseline,C}$ = Baseline cooling efficiency of existing equipment (ER) or standard equipment (ROB/NC) [Btuh/W]

$\eta_{installed,C}$ = Rated cooling efficiency of the newly installed equipment (must exceed ROB/NC baseline efficiency standards in Table 28 and Table 29) [Btuh/W]

$\eta_{baseline,H}$ = Baseline heating efficiency of existing equipment (ER) or standard equipment (ROB/NC) [Btuh/W]

$\eta_{installed,H}$ = Rated heating efficiency of the newly installed equipment (must exceed baseline efficiency standards in Table 29) [Btuh/W]

Note: Use SEER2 for cooling kWh, EER2 for summer kW, and HSPF2 for heating kWh and winter kW savings calculations.

$\text{EFLH}_{C/H}$ = Cooling/heating equivalent full-load hours (Table 30)

DAF = Documentation adjustment factor; set to 0.75 for residences reporting electric resistance heat with no backup documentation or set to 1.0 in all other cases

CF_{S/W} = Summer/winter seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 31)

Table 30. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours¹⁰⁵

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,142	1,880
Zone 2: Dallas	1,926	1,343
Zone 3: Houston	2,209	1,127
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,958	776
Zone 5: El Paso	1,524	1,559

Table 31. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Coincidence Factors¹⁰⁶

Season	CF
Summer ¹⁰⁷	0.87
Winter ¹⁰⁸	0.83

Early Retirement

Annual energy (kWh) and summer peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

1. The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and
2. The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL).

¹⁰⁵ ENERGY STAR Central AC/HP Savings Calculator.

¹⁰⁶ Coincidence factors calculated in accordance with the current peak definition are lower than expected for the Texas climate. Residential HVAC measures will temporarily revert to the coincidence factors used in TX TRM v4.0 before the change to the peak definition. These values will be reevaluated in upcoming TRM cycles to better align with the current peak definition.

¹⁰⁷ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

¹⁰⁸ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Based on AHRI data for 1.5–5 ton HVAC systems, the average ratio of rated heating capacity to cooling capacity is 0.96. Assuming that maximum heating occurs during the peak period and adjusting for the average ratio of heating to cooling capacity, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $0.96 / 1.15 = 0.83$.

Annual energy and summer peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining useful life (see Table 32). If individual system components were installed at separate times, use the condenser age as a proxy for the entire system. For HPs replacing an AC with an electric resistance furnace, use the AC RUL table.

EUL = Estimated useful life = 20 years

Table 32. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—RUL of Replaced Unit¹⁰⁹

Age of replaced unit (years)	Remaining useful life (years)	Age of replaced unit (years)	Remaining useful life (years)
1	16.8	14	8.6
2	15.8	15	8.2
3	14.9	16	7.9
4	14.1	17	7.6
5	13.3	18	7.0
6	12.6	19	6.0
7	11.9	20	5.0
8	11.3	21	4.0
9	10.8	22	3.0
10	10.3	23	2.0
11	9.8	24	1.0
12	9.4	25 ^{110,111}	0.0
13	9.0		

Derivation of RULs


ACs and HPs have an estimated useful life of 20 years. This estimate is consistent with the age at which approximately 50 percent of ACs and HPs installed in a given year will no longer be in service, as described by the survival function in Figure 1.

¹⁰⁹ Current federal standard effective date is January 1, 2023. Existing units manufactured as of 2023 are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should use the ROB baseline instead.

¹¹⁰ RULs are capped at the seventy-fifth percentile as determined based on DOE survival curves (see Figure 1). Systems older than this age should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, “Considerations for Early Replacement of Residential Equipment,” for further detail.

¹¹¹ Ward, B., Bodington, N., Farah, H., Reeves, S., and Lee, L. “Considerations for Early Replacement of Residential Equipment.” Prepared by the Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (EM&V) team for the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). January 2015. This document has been made available to Texas investor-owned utilities through the EM&V team’s SharePoint.

Figure 1. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Survival Function¹¹²



The method for estimating the RUL of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 1. The age of the system being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving system is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new estimated useful lifetime applicable to the current unit age. The age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

¹¹² Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 FR 37408, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.5 Lifetime. June 2011. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75. Download TSD at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EERE-2011-BT-STD-0011-0012>.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The EUL is 20 years for ACs and HPs based on multiple studies supportive of a 20+ year EUL for various space conditioning technologies.^{113,114}

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type (early retirement, replace-on-burnout, new construction)
- Manufacturer, model, and serial number of newly installed unit
 - AHRI/DOE CCMS certificate or reference number matching manufacturer and model number
- Nominal cooling tonnage of retired unit (tons) (early retirement or replace-on-burnout)¹¹⁵
- Cooling capacity of the newly installed unit (Btuh)
- Heating capacity of the newly installed unit (Btuh) (HPs only)
- Seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER2) and energy efficiency ratio (EER2) of the newly installed unit
- Heating seasonal performance factor (HSPF2) of the newly installed unit (HPs only)
- Type of unit replaced (AC with gas furnace, AC with electric resistance furnace, air-source HP)
 - Baseline equipment used for savings (if different from unit replaced)

¹¹³ “Residential HVAC and DHW Measure Effective Useful Life Study Final Report.” Group A, CALMAC ID: CPU0368.02. Prepared by DNV for the California Public Utilities Commission, p. 8, Table 1-3. April 9, 2024.

https://www.calmac.org/publications/CPUC_Group_A_2023_Res_HVAC_and_DHW_EUL_Study_Final_ReportES.pdf.

¹¹⁴ “Final Evaluation Report for X2001B: Connecticut Measure Life/EUL Update Study-Residential & Commercial”. Prepared by Michaels Energy in partnership with Evergreen Economics for the Connecticut Energy Efficiency Board, p. 12-13, Table 3. May 11, 2023.

https://energizect.com/sites/default/files/documents/X2001BFINALReport_051523.pdf.

¹¹⁵ Assume nominal baseline heating tonnage is equal to nominal baseline cooling tonnage.

- Type of unit installed (central AC, central HP, dual-fuel HP, mini-split AC, mini-split HP, DC inverter AC, DC inverter HP)
- Unit type subcategory (split, packaged)
- Compressor type for newly installed unit (single-stage, multi-stage, variable speed)
- Age of the replaced unit (early retirement only unless default EUL is applied consistently across the program)
- Retired or replaced heating unit model number, serial number, manufacturer, and heating capacity (electric resistance only)
 - Photograph of retired heating unit nameplate, utility inspection, recording nameplate information, or other evaluator-approved approach. Sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Retired cooling unit model number, serial number, manufacturer, and cooling capacity (rightsizing or early retirement unless default EUL is applied consistently across the program)
- Manual J load calculation (rightsizing). See the Eligibility Criteria section for applicable scenarios.
- Photograph of retired cooling unit nameplate (required for all rightsizing projects and early retirement projects unless default age is applied consistently across the program)
 - If a photograph of the retired unit nameplate is unavailable or not legible, provide a photo and/or description documenting the reason why the nameplate photo was unobtainable (early retirement only)
 - If a photograph of the retired unit nameplate is unavailable or not legible, provide estimated square footage of conditioned area served by the retired unit (rightsizing only)
- Photograph demonstrating functionality of existing equipment and/or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only). This requirement also applies to projects using the default age.
- For installed HVAC systems equal to minimum federal standard SEER2 efficiency:
 - Age of removed equipment
 - Proof of functionality of removed equipment
 - Rated SEER of removed equipment, if available
- If replacing an evaporative cooler, application should include a statement that the customer decision to change equipment types predates or is independent of the decision to install efficient equipment

- Proof of purchase with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 33. Central and Mini-Split AC/HPs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Low-income and hard-to-reach Market Transformation section merged with main measure as “early retirement” option. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Early retirement savings may be claimed through any appropriately designed program in accordance with EM&V team’s memo, “Considerations for Early Replacement of Residential Equipment.” Remaining useful lifetimes updated.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Revision of cooling savings to reflect heat-pump-specific performance curves. Extension of early retirement cooling savings tables to higher SEER values. Clarification around summer demand savings for single-stage and two-stage units.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Added RUL value for units with an age of one year. Added a default RUL value for when the age of the unit is unknown. Eliminated the eligibility requirement of the existing unit to have a minimum age of five years. Updated savings for 15.0-15.9 SEER range.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Switched to air conditioner capacity and EIR curve coefficients for estimated heat pump cooling savings. Updated energy savings to use TMY3 temperature bin hours. Updated demand savings for compliance with current peak definition. Added 12.44 SEER and 6.8 HSPF baseline savings tables previously referencing earlier version of TRM. Updated baseline to include replacing air conditioners with gas heat.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated baseline and eligibility requirements. Added rightsizing savings for replace-on-burnout in winter demand tables. Added language clarifying use of rated capacity vs nominal and updated the deemed savings tables to show rated Btuh. Clarified required documentation for early retirement.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Consolidated central AC and HP measures. Moved deemed savings tables to Appendix A. Updated eligibility for low-income and hard-to-reach.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Clarified early retirement age eligibility. Updated electric resistance baseline documentation.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility. Clarified eligibility for systems where EER does not meet CEE Tier 1 requirements.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Temporarily split measures to address SEER2 compliance sell through for central HPs. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Defined rightsizing and documentation requirements. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified documentation requirements and minimum efficiency. Updated EUL and combined RUL tables for AC and HP. Updated early retirement eligibility.

2.2.3 ENERGY STAR® Room Air Conditioners Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-RA

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, early retirement, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

The following deemed savings values are applicable to the installation of a high-efficiency room air conditioner.

Eligibility Criteria

Installed room air conditioners (RACs) must be compliant with the current ENERGY STAR specification for RACs.

To claim early retirement savings, the replaced unit must be functioning at the time of removal with a maximum age of 12 years, coinciding with the point at which there is no assumed remaining useful life.

Baseline Condition

For new construction and replace-on-burnout, the baseline is assumed to be a new room air conditioning unit that is compliant with the current federal standard,¹¹⁶ effective June 1, 2014. The standard refers to a revised efficiency rating, Combined Energy Efficiency Ratio (CEER), which accounts for standby/off-mode energy usage.

For early retirement, the baseline efficiency is assumed to match the minimum federal standard efficiencies in place prior to June 1, 2014. Since the effective date occurred mid-year, existing systems manufactured as of 2015 are not eligible for early retirement.

¹¹⁶ Legacy DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential room air conditioners effective during current federal standard manufacturer lag period. <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-II/subchapter-D/part-430/subpart-C/section-430.32>.

A new federal standard went into effect on August 30, 2023. However, this standard does not require manufacturer compliance until May 26, 2026.¹¹⁷

Table 34. RACs—Baseline Efficiencies for ER, ROB, and NC

Reverse cycle (yes/no)	Louvered sides (yes/no)	Capacity (Btu/hr)	Federal standard prior to June 1, 2014	Federal standard as of June 1, 2014
			ER baseline EER	ROB/NC baseline CEER
No	Yes	< 6,000	9.7	11.0
		6,000-7,999	9.7	11.0
		8,000-13,999	9.8	10.9
		14,000-19,999	9.7	10.7
		20,000-27,999	8.5	9.4
		≥ 28,000	8.5	9.0
No	No	< 6,000	9.0	10.0
		6,000-7,999	9.0	10.0
		8,000-10,999	8.5	9.6
		11,000-13,999	8.5	9.5
		14,000-19,999	8.5	9.3
		≥ 20,000	8.5	9.4
Yes	Yes	< 20,000	9.0	9.8
		≥ 20,000	8.5	9.3
Yes	No	< 14,000	8.5	9.3
		≥ 14,000	8.0	8.7
Casement-only		All capacities	8.7	9.5
Casement-slider		All capacities	9.5	10.4

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 5.0 Requirements for eligible room air conditioners effective October 30, 2023.¹¹⁸ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

¹¹⁷ Current DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential room air conditioners.

<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2014-BT-STD-0059-0057>.

¹¹⁸ ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioners Final Version 5.0 Program Requirements.

<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%205.0%20Room%20Air%20Conditioners%20Specification%20and%20Partner%20Commitments.pdf>.

Table 35. RACs—Efficient Condition Requirements

Reverse cycle (Yes/No)	Louvered sides (Yes/No)	Capacity (Btu/hr)	Minimum CEER ¹¹⁹
No	Yes	< 6,000	13.1
		6,000-7,999	13.7
		8,000-13,999	14.7
		14,000-19,999	14.4
		20,000-27,999	12.7
		≥ 28,000	12.2
No	No	< 6,000	12.8
		6,000-7,999	12.8
		8,000-10,999	13.0
		11,000-13,999	12.8
		14,000-19,999	12.6
		≥ 20,000	12.7
Yes	Yes	< 20,000	13.2
		≥ 20,000	12.6
Yes	No	< 14,000	12.6
		≥ 14,000	11.7
Casement-only		All capacities	12.8
Casement-slider		All capacities	14.0

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy and demand savings algorithms and associated input variables are listed below.

New Construction or Replace-on-Burnout

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = Cap \times \frac{1\ kW}{1,000\ W} \times AOHC \times \left(\frac{1}{CEER_{Base}} - \frac{1}{CEER_{RAC}} \right)$$

Equation 24

¹¹⁹ The updated ENERGY STAR specification discontinues the five percent energy credit for “connected functionality”.

Where:

- Cap = Rated equipment cooling capacity of the installed (Btu/hr)
- AOH_C = Annual operating hours for cooling (Table 36)
- $CEER_{Base}$ = Combined energy efficiency ratio of the baseline cooling equipment (Table 34)
- $CEER_{RAC}$ = Combined energy efficiency ratio of the installed RAC

Table 36. RACs—Annual Operating Hours for Cooling¹²⁰

Climate Zone	AOH_C
Zone 1: Amarillo	820
Zone 2: Dallas	1,374
Zone 3: Houston	1,308
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,150
Zone 5: El Paso	1,204

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$Summer\ Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = Cap \times \frac{1\ kW}{1,000\ W} \times \left(\frac{1}{CEER_{Base}} - \frac{1}{CEER_{RAC}} \right) \times CF_S$$

Equation 25

Where:

- CF_S = Summer peak coincidence factor (Table 37)

Table 37. RACs—Coincidence Factor¹²¹

Season	CF
Summer ¹²²	0.87

¹²⁰ Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers (AHAM) Room Air Conditioner Cooling Calculator.

¹²¹ Coincidence factors calculated in accordance with the current peak definition are lower than expected for the Texas climate. Residential HVAC measures will temporarily revert to the coincidence factors used in TX TRM v4.0 before the change to the peak definition. These values will be reevaluated in upcoming TRM cycles to better align with the current peak definition.

¹²² Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

Early Retirement

Annual energy (kWh) and summer peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and

The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL).

Annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining useful life (see Table 38); if unknown, assume the age of the replaced unit is equal to the EUL resulting in a default RUL of 3 years

EUL = Estimated useful life = 10 years

Table 38. RACs—RUL of Replaced Unit¹²³

Age of replaced unit (years)	RUL (years)	Age of replaced unit (years)	RUL (years)
1	8.0	8	5.0
2	7.2	9	4.0
3	6.2	10	3.0
4	5.2	11	2.0
5	5.2	12	1.0
6	5.2	13 ^{124,125}	0.0
7	5.2		


¹²³ Current federal standard effective date is 6/1/2014. Since the effective date occurred mid-year, existing systems installed as of 2015 are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should instead use the ROB baseline.

¹²⁴ RULs are capped at the seventy-fifth percentile of equipment age as determined based on DOE survival curves (see Figure 2). Systems older than this age should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, “Considerations for Early Replacement of Residential Equipment,” for further detail.

¹²⁵ Ward, B., Bodington, N., Farah, H., Reeves, S., and Lee, L. “Considerations for Early Replacement of Residential Equipment.” Prepared by the Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (EM&V) team for the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). January 2015. This document has been made available to all Texas investor-owned utilities through the EM&V team’s SharePoint.

Derivation of RULs

RACs have an estimated useful life of 10 years. This estimate is consistent with the age at which approximately 50 percent of the RACs installed in a given year will no longer be in service, as described by the survival function in Figure 2.



The method for estimating the RUL of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 2. The age of the system being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving system is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new estimated useful lifetime applicable to the current unit age. The age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

The method for estimating the RUL of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 2. The age of the room air conditioner being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving RACs is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new percentage. Then, the age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

¹²⁶ Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 FR 22454, Technical Support Document: 8.2.2.6 Product Lifetime. April 2011.
http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/41. Download TSD at: <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2007-BT-STD-0010-0053>.

Energy Savings Algorithms

For the RUL time period:

$$kWh_{savings,ER} = CAP \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W} \times AOH_C \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{ER}} - \frac{1}{CEER_{RAC}} \right)$$

Equation 26

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

$$kWh_{savings,ROB} = Cap \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W} \times AOH_C \times \left(\frac{1}{CEER_{ROB}} - \frac{1}{CEER_{RAC}} \right)$$

Equation 27

Where:

$$EER_{ER} = \text{Energy efficiency ratio of the early retirement baseline cooling equipment (Table 34)}$$

Summer Demand Savings Algorithms

To calculate demand savings for the early retirement of a RAC, a similar methodology is used as for replace-on-burnout installations, with separate savings calculated for the remaining useful life of the unit, and the remainder of the EUL as outlined in the section above.

For the RUL time period:

$$kW_{savings,ER} = CAP \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W} \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{ER}} - \frac{1}{CEER_{RAC}} \right) \times CF_S$$

Equation 28

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

$$kW_{savings,ROB} = CAP \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W} \times \left(\frac{1}{CEER_{ROB}} - \frac{1}{CEER_{RAC}} \right) \times CF_S$$

Equation 29

Where:

$$CF_S = \text{Summer peak coincidence factor (Table 37)}$$

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4, for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of a room air conditioning unit is 10 years based on the Technical Support Document for the current DOE Final Rule standards for RACs.

This value is consistent with the EUL reported in the DOE Technical Support Document for RACs.¹²⁷

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type (early retirement, replace-on-burnout, new construction)
- New unit manufacturer, model, and serial number
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching model
- Cooling capacity of the installed unit (Btu/hr)
- Combined energy efficiency ratio (CEER) of the new unit

¹²⁷ Technical Support Document: Room Air Conditioners, June 2020, p. ES-14.
<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2014-BT-STD-0059-0013>.

- Age of the replaced unit (early retirement only)
- Photograph of retired unit nameplate (early retirement)
 - If a photograph of the retired unit nameplate is unavailable or not legible, provide a photo and/or description documenting the reason why the nameplate photo was unobtainable (early retirement only)
- Photograph demonstrating functionality of existing equipment and/or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only)
- Proof of purchase with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 39. RACs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Low-income and hard-to-reach Market Transformation section merged with main measure as “early retirement” option. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. early retirement savings may be claimed through any appropriately designed program in accordance with EM&V team’s memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Remaining useful lifetimes updated. Updated EUL to align with median lifetime. New construction permitted to claim savings. New ENERGY STAR standards incorporated.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Added RUL values for units with an age of one to three years. Added a default RUL value for when the age of the unit is unknown. Eliminated the eligibility requirement of the existing unit to have a minimum age of five years.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated peak coincidence factors for compliance with current Texas peak definition. Single coincidence factor replaced with individual factors for each climate zone.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Update to documentation requirements.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Clarified early retirement age eligibility.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility. Clarified eligibility for units with connected functionality.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Update minimum CEER requirement for units with connected functionality. Updated coincidence factors, early retirement age eligibility, and documentation requirements.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Incorporated updated DOE final rule and ENERGY STAR specification v5.0. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.2.4 Packaged Terminal Heat Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-PT

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Multifamily

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Replace-on-burnout, early retirement

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This section presents the deemed savings methodology for the installation of packaged terminal heat pumps (PTHP) replacing packaged terminal air conditioners (PTAC) with electric resistance heat. This document covers assumptions made for baseline equipment efficiencies for early retirement (ER) and replace-on-burnout (ROB), based current and previous on efficiency standards. For ER, the actual age of the baseline system should be determined from the equipment nameplate or other physical documentation whenever possible. Default values are provided for when the actual age of the unit is unknown.

Applicable efficient measure types are restricted to packaged terminal heat pumps. Both standard and non-standard size equipment types are covered. *Standard size* refers to equipment with wall sleeve dimensions having an external wall opening greater than, equal to 16 inches high or greater than, or equal to 42 inches wide and a cross-sectional area greater than 670 in². *Non-standard size* refers to equipment with existing wall sleeve dimensions having an external wall opening of fewer than 16 inches high or fewer than 42 inches wide and a cross-sectional area less than 670 in².

Eligibility Criteria

Existing PTAC and installed PTHP must be the primary cooling source in the residence. Installed PTHPs must be compliant with the current commercial code.

ER projects must involve the replacement of a working system before natural burnout. Additionally, the ER approach cannot be used for projects involving a simultaneous renovation where a major structural change or internal space remodel has occurred. A ROB approach should be used for these scenarios.

Manufacturer datasheets for new equipment or documentation of AHRI or DOE CCMS certification must be provided.^{128,129}

¹²⁸ Air-Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration Institute (AHRI) Directory: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/>.

¹²⁹ Department of Energy Compliance Certification Management System (DOE CCMS): <https://www.regulations.doe.gov/certification-data/>.

Baseline Condition

Early Retirement

Two baseline condition efficiency values are required for an ER scenario, one for the ER (RUL) period and one for the ROB (EUL – RUL) period. For the ROB period, the baseline efficiency is the same as for a ROB scenario. For the ER period, the baseline efficiency should be estimated according to the capacity, system type (PTAC), and age (based on year of manufacture) of the replaced system.¹³⁰ When the system age can be determined (from a nameplate, building prints, equipment inventory list, etc.), the baseline efficiency levels provided in Table 40, reflecting ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2001 through 90.1-2007, should be used. PTHPs replacing PTACs with built-in electric resistance heat should use a baseline heating efficiency of 1.0 COP.

When the system age is unknown, assume 15 years.¹³¹ A default RUL may be used exclusively if applied consistently for all eligible early retirement projects. Otherwise, the default should only be used when a project is reported and documented as having a nameplate that is illegible.

Existing systems manufactured as of February 2013 are not eligible for early retirement.

Table 40. PTHPs—ER Baseline Efficiency Levels for Standard Size PTACs¹³²

Equipment	Cooling capacity (Btuh)	Baseline cooling efficiency (EER)	Baseline heating efficiency (COP) (no built-in resistance heat)	Baseline heating efficiency (COP) (replacing built-in resistance heat)
PTAC	< 7,000	11.0	–	1.0
	7,000-15,000	12.5 – (0.213 x Cap/1,000)		
	> 15,000	9.3		

¹³⁰ The actual age should be determined from the nameplate, building prints, equipment inventory list, etc. and whenever possible the actual source used should be identified in the project documentation.

¹³¹ As noted in Docket 40885, page 14-15: Failure probability weights are established by assuming that systems for which age information will be unavailable are likely to be older, setting a minimum age threshold, and using the survival functions for the relevant system type to estimate the likelihood that an operational system is of a given age beyond that threshold. Baseline efficiency for each year of system age is established relative to program year. Baseline efficiency levels can be estimated for the next ten program years, considering increments in efficiency standards that took place in the historical period.

¹³² ER only applies to standard size units because the minimum efficiency requirements for non-standard systems have never changed, making the ER baseline efficiency the same as for ROB.

Replace-on-Burnout

Table 41 provides minimum efficiency standards for PTAC/PTHP units and reflects the federal standards for packaged terminal air-conditioners and heat pumps effective February 2013 and reflected in 10 CFR 431.

Table 41. PTHPs—ROB Minimum Efficiency Levels^{133,134}

Equipment	Category	Cooling capacity (Btuh)	Minimum cooling efficiency (EER)	Minimum heating efficiency (COP)	Baseline heating efficiency (COP) (replacing built-in resistance heat)
PTHP	Standard size	< 7,000	11.9	3.3	1.0
		7,000-15,000	$14.0 - (0.300 \times \text{Cap}/1,000)$	$3.7 - (0.052 \times \text{Cap}/1,000)$	
		>15,000	9.5	2.9	
	Non-standard size	<7,000	9.3	2.7	
		7,000-15,000	$10.8 - (0.213 \times \text{Cap}/1,000)$	$2.9 - (0.026 \times \text{Cap}/1,000)$	
		>15,000	7.6	2.5	

¹³³ IECC 2015 Table C403.2.3(3).

¹³⁴ Cap refers to the rated cooling capacity in Btuh. If the capacity is less than 7,000 Btuh, use 7,000 Btuh in the calculation. If the capacity is greater than 15,000 Btuh, use 15,000 Btuh in the calculation.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency retrofits must exceed the minimum federal standards found in Table 41.

The high-efficiency retrofits must also meet the following criteria:¹³⁵

- For ER projects only, the installed equipment cooling capacity must be within 80 percent to 120 percent of the replaced electric cooling capacity.
- No additional measures are being installed that directly affect the operation of the cooling equipment (i.e., control sequences).

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings [kW]} = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{C,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,C}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{C,post}}{\eta_{installed,C}} \right) \times CF_S \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 30

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings [kW]} = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{H,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,H}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{H,post}}{\eta_{installed,H}} \right) \times CF_W \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{3,412 \text{ Btu/h}}$$

Equation 31

$$\text{Total Energy Savings [kWh]} = kWh_C + kWh_H$$

Equation 32

$$\text{Cooling Energy Savings [kWh}_C] = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{C,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,C}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{C,post}}{\eta_{installed,C}} \right) \times EFLH_C \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 33

$$\text{Heating Energy Savings [kWh}_H] = \left(\frac{\text{Cap}_{H,pre}}{\eta_{baseline,H}} - \frac{\text{Cap}_{H,post}}{\eta_{installed,H}} \right) \times EFLH_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kWh}}{3,412 \text{ Btu}}$$

Equation 34

¹³⁵ Modified from PUCT Docket #41070 for TRMv3 to limit replacement of only smaller-sized units and extend early retirement to cover PTAC/PTHP.

Where:

- $Cap_{C/H,pre}$ = For ER, rated equipment cooling/heating¹³⁶ capacity of the existing equipment at AHRI standard conditions; for ROB & NC, rated equipment cooling/heating capacity of the new equipment at AHRI standard conditions [BTUH]; 1 ton = 12,000 Btuh
- $Cap_{C/H,post}$ = Rated equipment cooling/heating capacity of the newly installed equipment at AHRI standard conditions [Btuh]; 1 ton = 12,000 Btuh
- $\eta_{baseline,C}$ = Cooling efficiency of existing (ER) or standard (ROB/NC) equipment [EER, Btu/W-h] (Table 40 through Table 41)
- $\eta_{installed,C}$ = Rated cooling efficiency of the newly installed equipment [EER, Btu/W-h] (must exceed minimum requirements from Table 41)¹³⁷
- $\eta_{baseline,H}$ = Heating efficiency of existing (ER) or standard (ROB/NC) equipment [COP] (Table 40 through Table 41)
- $\eta_{installed,H}$ = Rated heating efficiency of the newly installed equipment [COP] (must exceed minimum requirements from Table 41)¹³⁸
- $CF_{S/W}$ = Summer/winter seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 42)
- $EFLH_{C/H}$ = Cooling/heating equivalent full-load hours for newly installed equipment based on appropriate climate zone, building type, and equipment type [hours] (Table 43)

Table 42. PTHPs—Coincidence Factors¹³⁹

Season	CF
Summer ¹⁴⁰	0.87
Winter ¹⁴¹	0.83

¹³⁶ Baseline cooling capacity refers to the rated cooling capacity of the existing PTAC. Assume baseline heating capacity is equal to rated heating capacity for newly installed PTHP.

¹³⁷ Rated efficiency is commonly reported at both 230 V and 208 V. Savings calculations should reference efficiency at 230 V, as AHRI rating conditions specify that voltage.

¹³⁸ Ibid.

¹³⁹ Coincidence factors calculated in accordance with the current peak definition are lower than expected for the Texas climate. Residential HVAC measures will temporarily revert to the coincidence factors used in TX TRM v4.0 before the change to the peak definition. These values will be reevaluated in upcoming TRM cycles to better align with the current peak definition.

¹⁴⁰ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

¹⁴¹ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling dominated climates). Based on AHRI data for 1.5–5 ton HVAC systems, the average ratio of rated heating capacity to cooling capacity is 0.96. Assuming that maximum heating occurs during the peak period and

Table 43. PTHPs—Cooling/Heating EFLHs¹⁴²

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,142	1,880
Zone 2: Dallas	1,926	1,343
Zone 3: Houston	2,209	1,127
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,958	776
Zone 5: El Paso	1,524	1,559

The first-year savings algorithms in the above equations are used for all HVAC projects, across ROB and ER projects. However, ER projects require weighted savings calculated over both the ER and ROB periods taking the EUL and RUL into account. The ER savings are applied over the remaining useful life (RUL) period, and the ROB savings are applied over the remaining period (EUL – RUL). The final reported savings for ER projects are not actually a “first-year” savings, but an “average annual savings over the lifetime (EUL) of the measure.” These savings calculations are explained in Volume 3, Appendix A.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

A summer peak period value is used for this measure. Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Estimated Useful Life (EUL)

The EUL is 15 years, as specified in as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID HVAC-PTHP.¹⁴³

Remaining Useful Life (RUL) for PTHP Systems

Annual energy (kWh) and summer peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and

The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL).

adjusting for the average ratio of heating to cooling capacity, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $0.96 / 1.15 = 0.83$.

¹⁴² ENERGY STAR Central AC/HP Savings Calculator. April 2009 update.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ASHP_Sav_Calc.xls.

¹⁴³ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining useful life (see Table 44); if unknown, assume the age of the replaced unit is equal to the EUL resulting in a default RUL of 2.8 years

EUL = Estimated useful life = 15 years

Default RUL may be used exclusively if applied consistently for all projects. Otherwise, the default should only be used when a project is reported and documented as having a nameplate that is illegible.

Table 44. PTHPs—RUL of Replaced PTAC^{144,145}

Age of replaced system (years)	PTAC RUL (Years)	Age of replaced system (years)	PTAC RUL (years)
1	14.0	10	5.7
2	13.0	11	5.0
3	12.0	12	4.4
4	11.0	13	3.8
5	10.0	14	3.3
6	9.1	15	2.8
7	8.2	16	2.0
8	7.3	17	1.0
9	6.5	18 ¹⁴⁶	0.0

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The below list of primary inputs and contextual data is recommended to be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Decision/action type: ROB or ER
- Climate zone or county
- Equipment configuration category: standard/non-standard

¹⁴⁴ PUCT Docket No. 40083, Attachment A describes the process in which the RUL of replaced systems has been calculated.

¹⁴⁵ Current federal standard effective date is 2/2013. Existing systems manufactured after this date are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should instead use the ROB baseline.

¹⁴⁶ RULs are capped at the seventy-fifth percentile of equipment age as determined based on DOE survival curves. Systems older than this age should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, "Considerations for Early Replacement of Residential Equipment," for further detail.

- Baseline equipment rated cooling capacity (Btuh)
- Baseline number of units
- Installed equipment rated cooling and heating capacities
- Installed number of units
- Installed cooling and heating efficiency rating
- Installed make and model
- AHRI/DOE CCMS certificate or reference number matching manufacturer and model number
- Baseline age and method of determination (e.g., nameplate, blueprints, customer reported, not available) (early retirement only)
- A representative sample of photographs of retired unit nameplate demonstrating model number, serial number, and manufacturer if blueprints are not provided (early retirement only)
 - If a photograph of the nameplate is unavailable or not legible, provide documentation demonstrating reason why the nameplate photo was unobtainable, including but not limited to a photo or description documenting the reason why the nameplate photo was unobtainable (alternate forms of documentation can be approved at the evaluator's discretion)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Documentation demonstrating the functionality of existing equipment, including but not limited to photograph demonstrating the functionality of existing equipment or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- PUCT Docket 36779—Provides EUL for HVAC equipment.
- PUCT Docket 40083—Provides incorporation of early retirement savings for existing commercial HVAC SOP designs and updates for baseline equipment efficiency levels for ROB and new construction projects involving package and split systems.
- PUCT Docket 40885—Provides a petition to revise deemed savings values for commercial HVAC replacement measures. This petition updated demand and energy coefficients for all commercial HVAC systems.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 45. PTHPs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Clarified early retirement age eligibility. Added winter demand algorithm. Updated coincidence factors and documentation requirements
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Clarified early retirement age eligibility. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Clarified electric resistance baseline. Updated coincidence factors and early retirement age eligibility.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.2.5 ENERGY STAR® Ground Source Heat Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-GH

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure requires the installation of a ground-source heat pump (GSHP) meeting the minimum requirements of ENERGY STAR geothermal heat pump key product criteria. Savings calculations are presented for systems with and without desuperheaters.

Eligibility Criteria

The deemed savings apply to units with a capacity of $\leq 65,000$ Btu/hour.

Energy savings for desuperheaters only apply if the desuperheater is attached to an electric storage water heater. The electric storage water heating cannot replace a gas water heater in a retrofit installation.

Baseline Condition

The baseline unit is assumed to be an air-source heat pump (ASHP) for new construction, and either an ASHP or an air conditioner with an electric resistance furnace for replace-on-burnout projects. New construction baseline efficiency values for ASHPs are compliant with the current federal minimum standard, effective January 1, 2023.¹⁴⁷

¹⁴⁷ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential air conditioners/heat pumps.
[https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=48&action=vi
ewlive](https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=48&action=vi
ewlive)

For replace-on-burnout (ROB) projects, the cooling baseline is reduced to 13.7 SEER2. This value incorporates an adjustment to the baseline SEER2 value to reflect the percentage of current replacements that do not include the installation of an AHRI-matched system.¹⁴⁸ The heating baseline for replace-on-burnout projects is dependent on the heating type of the baseline equipment.

Table 46. GSHPs—Baseline Efficiencies

Project type	Cooling mode ¹⁴⁹	Heating mode ¹⁵⁰
New construction	9.8 EER2 (14.3 SEER2)	2.2 COP (7.5 HSPF2)
ROB—air source heat pump baseline	9.8 EER2 (13.7 SEER2)	2.2 COP (7.5 HSPF2)
ROB—air conditioner with electric resistance furnace baseline		1 COP (3.412 HSPF2)

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 3.2 requirements for eligible geothermal heat pumps effective January 1, 2012.¹⁵¹ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Table 47. GSHPs—ENERGY STAR Requirements

Product type	Cooling mode (EER)	Heating mode (COP)
Closed loop water-to-air	17.1	3.6
Open loop water-to-air	21.1	4.1
Closed loop water-to-water	16.1	3.1
Open loop water-to-water	20.1	3.5
Direct geoexchange (DGX)	16.0	3.6

¹⁴⁸ Frontier Energy on behalf of the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). “Petition to revise Existing Commission-Approved Deemed Savings Values for Central Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Systems: Docket No. 36780.” Public Utility Commission of Texas. Approved August 27, 2009. <https://interchange.puc.texas.gov/>. Adapted for new 14 SEER baseline.

¹⁴⁹ The Central HP EER2 baseline is reduced to 9.8 EER2 for systems rated at 15.2 SEER2 or higher. While GSHPs do not have a SEER2 rating, all full-load EER minimum efficiency requirements exceed that threshold. Therefore, the reduced EER2 baseline is extended to all GSHP installations.

¹⁵⁰ Code specified HSPF value converted to COP using $COP = HSPF \times 1,055 \text{ J/Btu} \div 3,600 \text{ J/W-h} = HSPF \div 3.412$.

¹⁵¹ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Geothermal Heat Pumps, v3.2. <https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/Geothermal%20Heat%20Pump%20Version%203.2%20Final%20Specification.pdf>.

The specifications in the charts above apply to single-stage models. Multi-stage models may be qualified based on:¹⁵²

$$EER = (\text{highest rated capacity EER} + \text{lowest rated capacity EER}) / 2$$

Equation 35

$$COP = (\text{highest rated capacity COP} + \text{lowest rated capacity COP}) / 2$$

Equation 36

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Peak demand and annual energy savings for GSHP systems should be calculated, as shown below. Where a desuperheater is also installed, please see the Deemed Energy Savings Tables section for additional energy savings and the Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables for additional demand savings.

Energy and demand savings for desuperheaters were adapted from a 2001 study conducted by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) on GSHPs in Texas.¹⁵³ Desuperheater savings were calculated for each climate zone by taking the difference in savings between GSHPs with and without desuperheaters and averaging the savings between low and high-efficiency units. Savings for GSHP systems with desuperheaters should be calculated using the algorithms below with an additional energy credit based on the system capacity and efficiency.

The ORNL study draws from a 1998 analysis based on a study conducted at the Fort Polk Joint Readiness Training Center in Leesville, Louisiana. The Fort Polk study used calibrated simulations of 200 multifamily residences in the complex to estimate energy savings attributable to the replacement of air source heat pumps with GSHPs. These estimates were found to be within 5 percent of actual post-retrofit savings. Building models were developed using TRNSYS.¹⁵⁴

Using the Fort Polk models, the ORNL study assumed a baseline of a 1.5-ton, 10-SEER air source heat pump. Simulations of low-, medium-, and high-efficiency GSHPs with and without desuperheaters were compared against the baseline unit. The models were run using TMY-2 weather profiles for Climate Zones 1-4. Energy and demand differences between the pre- and post-retrofit models were used to estimate average savings per ton of cooling capacity.

¹⁵² Geothermal Heat Pumps Key Product Criteria, https://www.energystar.gov/products/heating_cooling/heat_pumps_geothermal/key_product_criteria.

¹⁵³ Shonder, J. A., Hughes, P., and Thornton, J. Development of Deemed Energy and Demand Savings for Residential Ground Source Heat Pump Retrofits in the State of Texas. Transactions-American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers. 108, no. 1: 953-961, 2001.

¹⁵⁴ Klein, S. A. TRNSYS Manual: A Transient Simulation Program. Solar Engineering Laboratory, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Version 14.2 for Windows, September 1996.

In the 1998 analysis, low-efficiency GSHPs were assumed to be units with an EER of 12.4 and capacity of 19 kBtuh, while medium-efficiency units had an EER of 16.8 and capacity of 21 kBtuh. High-efficiency units had an EER of 18.3, with a capacity of 22 kBtuh.

These models were used to derive the energy and demand savings associated with installation of a desuperheater along with a GSHP, as shown in Table 50 and Table 51, respectively.

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$Total\ Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{Savings,C} + kWh_{Savings,H} + kWh_{DSH} \quad \text{Equation 37}$$

$$Cooling\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh_C] = Cap_C \times \frac{1\ kW}{1,000\ W} \times EFLH_C \times \left(\frac{1}{SEER2_{Base}} - \frac{1}{EER_{GSHP}} \right) \quad \text{Equation 38}$$

$$Heating\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh_H] = Cap_H \times \frac{1\ kWh}{3,412\ Btu} \times EFLH_H \times \left(\frac{1}{COP_{Base}} - \frac{1}{COP_{GSHP}} \right) \quad \text{Equation 39}$$

Where:

- kWh_{DSH} = Energy savings (kWh) associated with installation of a desuperheater (see Table 50); these savings should only be added if a desuperheater is installed
- $Cap_{C/H}$ = Rated equipment cooling/heating capacity of the installed GSHP (Btu/hr)
- $EFLH_{C/H}$ = Equivalent full load hours for cooling/heating (Table 48)
- $SEER2_{Base}$ = Energy efficiency ratio of the baseline cooling equipment (Table 46)
- EER_{GSHP} = Energy efficiency ratio of the installed GSHP
- COP_{Base} = Coefficient of performance of the baseline heating equipment converted from HSPF2 (Table 46)
- COP_{GSHP} = Coefficient of performance of the installed GSHP

Table 48. GSHPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours¹⁵⁵

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,142	1,880
Zone 2: Dallas	1,926	1,343

¹⁵⁵ ENERGY STAR Central AC/HP Savings Calculator.

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 3: Houston	2,209	1,127
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,958	776
Zone 5: El Paso	1,524	1,559

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] \\ &= Cap_C \times \frac{1 kW}{1,000 W} \times \left(\frac{1}{EER2_{Base}} - \frac{1}{EER_{GSHP}} \right) \times CF_S + kW_{DSH} \end{aligned}$$

Equation 40

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = Cap_H \times \frac{1 kWh}{3,412 Btu} \times \left(\frac{1}{COP_{Base}} - \frac{1}{COP_{GSHP}} \right) \times CF_W$$

Equation 41

Where:

- $EER2_{Base}$ = Energy efficiency ratio of the baseline cooling equipment (see Table 46)
- $CF_{S/W}$ = Summer/winter peak coincidence factor (see Table 49)
- kW_{DSH} = Summer demand savings (kW) associated with installation of a desuperheater (see Table 51); these savings should only be added if a desuperheater is installed

Table 49. GSHPs—Coincidence Factors¹⁵⁶

Season	CF
Summer ¹⁵⁷	0.87
Winter ¹⁵⁸	0.83

¹⁵⁶ Coincidence factors calculated in accordance with the current peak definition are lower than expected for the Texas climate. Residential HVAC measures will temporarily revert to the Coincidence factors used in TX TRM v4.0 before the change to the peak definition. These values will be reevaluated in upcoming TRM cycles to better align with the current peak definition.

¹⁵⁷ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

¹⁵⁸ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Based on AHRI data for 1.5–5 ton HVAC systems, the average ratio of rated heating capacity to cooling capacity is 0.96. Assuming that maximum heating occurs during the peak period and

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 50. GSHPs—Energy Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage

Climate zone	kWh/ton
Zone 1: Amarillo	612
Zone 2: Dallas	791
Zone 3: Houston	802
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	847
Zone 5: El Paso	791

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 51. GSHPs—Summer Peak Demand Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage

Climate zone	kW/ton
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.440
Zone 2: Dallas	0.405
Zone 3: Houston	0.405
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.410
Zone 5: El Paso	0.405

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on winter peak demand savings and methodology.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of a GSHP unit is 24 years.

adjusting for the average ratio of heating to cooling capacity, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $0.96 / 1.15 = 0.83$.

This value is consistent with the life expectancy of the heat pump components reported in multiple Department of Energy GSHP guides. Underground ground-loop infrastructure is expected to last 25–50 years.^{159,160}

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type (new construction, replace-on-burnout)
- Replaced unit heating type (heat pump, electric resistance furnace)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Manufacturer, model number, and serial number
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching installed model number
- Installed GSHP type (closed loop water-to-air, open loop water-to-air, closed loop water-to-water, open loop water-to-water, direct geexchange)
- Energy efficiency ratio (EER) of the new unit
- Coefficient of performance (COP) of the new unit
- Product specification sheet
- Rated cooling and heating capacity of the new unit (Btu/hr)¹⁶¹
- Whether a desuperheater was also installed or present
- Proof of purchase with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

¹⁵⁹ Department of Energy. Geothermal Heat Pump Energy Saver article.
<https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/geothermal-heat-pumps>.

¹⁶⁰ Department of Energy. “Guide to Geothermal Heat Pumps. February 2011.
http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/guide_to_geothermal_heat_pumps.pdf.

¹⁶¹ Rated capacities are not specified on the ENERGY STAR certificate and should be taken from the product specification sheet.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 52. GSHPs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards and alternative methodology.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated peak coincidence factors for compliance with current Texas peak definition. Single coincidence factor replaced with individual factors for each climate zone.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updates to tracking requirements.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated algorithms to make units consistent.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Added clarifying language and updated algorithm units.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated coincidence factors and EUL.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Integrated federal standard change and SEER2 test procedure.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated algorithms to match coefficient definitions.

2.2.6 Large Capacity Split and Packaged Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-LC

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of a split/package air conditioner (AC) or heat pump (HP) with a capacity exceeding that of a typical residential system (greater than or equal to 65,000 Btu/hr) in a retrofit or new construction application. This measure also applies to the installation of ground-source heat pumps (GSHP) with a capacity exceeding 65,000 Btu/hr.

Eligibility Criteria

- The deemed savings apply to central AC/HPs with a capacity of 65,000-240,000 Btu/hr (5.4-20 tons) and GSHPs with a capacity of 65,000-135,000 Btu/hr (5.4-11.3 tons).
- Equipment shall be properly sized to dwelling based on ASHRAE or ACCA Manual J standards.
- Manufacturer datasheets for new equipment or documentation of AHRI or DOE CCMS certification must be provided.^{162,163}

Baseline Condition

New construction and replace-on-burnout baseline efficiency levels are provided in Table 53 and Table 54. These baseline efficiency levels reflect the latest minimum efficiency requirements from the current federal manufacturing standard, IECC 2015, and ASHRAE 90.1-2013.

¹⁶² Air-Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration Institute (AHRI) Directory: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/>.

¹⁶³ Department of Energy Compliance Certification Management System (DOE CCMS): <https://www.regulations.doe.gov/certification-data/>.

Table 53. Large Capacity AC/HPs—NC/ROB Baseline Efficiency Levels for AC/HPs¹⁶⁴

System type	Capacity (tons)	Baseline efficiencies	Source ¹⁶⁵
Air conditioners	5.4 to < 11.3	11.0 EER 14.6 IEER	DOE Standards/ IECC 2015
	11.3 to < 20	10.8 EER 14.0 IEER	
Heat pump (cooling) ¹⁶⁶	5.4 to < 11.3	11.0 EER 14.1 IEER	DOE Standards/ IECC 2015
	11.3 to < 20	10.6 EER 13.5 IEER	
Heat pump (heating) ¹⁶⁷	5.4 to < 11.3	3.4 COP	DOE Standards
	11.3 to < 20	3.3 COP	

Table 54. Large Capacity AC/HPs—NC/ROB Baseline Efficiency Levels for GSHPs¹⁶⁸

System type	Capacity (Btuh)	Cooling EWT rating condition	Minimum cooling EER	Heating EWT rating condition	Minimum heating COP
Water-to-air (water loop)	≥ 65,000 and < 135,000	86°F	13.0	68°F	4.3
Water-to-air (groundwater)		59°F	18.0	50°F	3.7
Brine-to-air (ground loop)		77°F	14.1	32°F	3.2
Water-to-water (water loop)		86°F	10.6	68°F	3.7
Water-to-water (groundwater)		59°F	16.3	50°F	3.1
Brine-to-water (ground loop)		77°F	12.1	32°F	2.5

¹⁶⁴ IECC 2015 Table C403.2.3(1) and C403.2.3(2).

¹⁶⁵ These baseline efficiency standards noted as “DOE Standards” are cited in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 431.97. <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec431-97.pdf>.

¹⁶⁶ ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Table 6.8.1B. These systems larger than 5.4 tons; the minimum efficiency levels provided in this table are based on systems with heating type *no heating or electric resistance heating*, excluding systems with *all other types of heating*.

¹⁶⁷ Heat pump retrofits must also exceed the baseline efficiency levels for heating efficiencies.

¹⁶⁸ Values from ASHRAE 90.1-2013.

High-Efficiency Condition

Split and packaged systems must exceed the minimum efficiencies specified in Table 53 and Table 54.

For reference, both ENERGY STAR and the Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE) offer suggested guidelines for high-efficiency equipment.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

$$Total\ Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = kWh_C + kWh_H$$

Equation 42

$$Cooling\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh_C] = Cap_C \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,C}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{installed,C}} \right) \times EFLH_C \times \frac{1\ kW}{1,000\ W}$$

Equation 43

$$Heating\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh_H] = Cap_H \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,H}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{installed,H}} \right) \times EFLH_H \times \frac{1\ kWh}{3,412\ Btu}$$

Equation 44

$$Summer\ Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = Cap_C \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,C}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{installed,C}} \right) \times CF_S \times \frac{1\ kW}{1,000\ W}$$

Equation 45

$$Winter\ Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = Cap_H \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,H}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{installed,H}} \right) \times CF_W \times \frac{1\ kW}{3,412\ Btu}$$

Equation 46

Where:

$Cap_{C/H}$	=	Rated equipment cooling/heating capacity of the installed equipment at AHRI standard conditions (Btu/hr); 1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr
$\eta_{baseline,C}$	=	Cooling efficiency of standard equipment (Btu/W)
$\eta_{installed,C}$	=	Rated cooling efficiency of the newly installed equipment (Btu/W)
$\eta_{baseline,H}$	=	Heating efficiency of standard equipment (Btu/W or COP)
$\eta_{installed,H}$	=	Rated heating efficiency of the newly installed equipment (Btu/W or COP)

Note: Use EER for cooling kW and COP for heating kW and kWh savings calculations. SEER/IEER should be used to calculate cooling kWh for central ACs and HPs. EER should be used to calculate cooling kWh for GSHPs. Heating efficiencies expressed as HSPF will be approximated as a seasonal COP and should be converted using the following equation:

$$COP = \frac{HSPF}{3.412}$$

Equation 47

$CF_{S/W}$ = Summer/winter peak coincidence factor (Table 55)
 $EFLH_{C/H}$ = Cooling/heating equivalent full-load hours (Table 56)

Table 55. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Coincidence Factors¹⁶⁹

Season	CF
Summer ¹⁷⁰	0.87
Winter ¹⁷¹	0.83

Table 56. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours¹⁷²

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,142	1,880
Zone 2: Dallas	1,926	1,343
Zone 3: Houston	2,209	1,127
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,958	776
Zone 5: El Paso	1,524	1,559

¹⁶⁹ Coincidence factors calculated in accordance with the current peak definition are lower than expected for the Texas climate. Residential HVAC measures will temporarily revert to the coincidence factors used in TX TRM v4.0 before the change to the peak definition. These values will be reevaluated in upcoming TRM cycles to better align with the current peak definition.

¹⁷⁰ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

¹⁷¹ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Based on AHRI data for 1.5–5 ton HVAC systems, the average ratio of rated heating capacity to cooling capacity is 0.96. Assuming that maximum heating occurs during the peak period and adjusting for the average ratio of heating to cooling capacity, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $0.96 / 1.15 = 0.83$.

¹⁷² ENERGY STAR Central AC/HP Savings Calculator. April 2009 update.
https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ASHP_Sav_Calc.xls.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 18 years for a large-capacity air conditioner and 15 years for a large capacity heat pump based on the current DOE Final Rule standards for central heat pumps.¹⁷³ The EUL of a high-efficiency ground source heat pump unit is 24 years, consistent with the EUL reported in the DOE GSHP guide.¹⁷⁴

These values are consistent with the life expectancy of the heat pump components reported in multiple DOE GSHP guides. Underground ground-loop infrastructure is expected to last 25–50 years.^{175,176}

¹⁷³ Final Rule: Standards, Federal Register, 76 FR 37408 (June 27, 2011) and associated Technical Support Document.

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=75.

¹⁷⁴ Department of Energy. “Guide to Geothermal Heat Pumps. February 2011.

http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/guide_to_geothermal_heat_pumps.pdf.

¹⁷⁵ Department of Energy. Geothermal Heat Pump Energy Saver article.

<https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/geothermal-heat-pumps>.

¹⁷⁶ Department of Energy. “Guide to Geothermal Heat Pumps. February 2011.

http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/guide_to_geothermal_heat_pumps.pdf.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type (new construction, retrofit)
- Cooling and heating capacities (Btu/hr)
- Full-load efficiency rating (EER) of the installed unit
- Part-load efficiency rating (SEER/IEER) of the installed unit (if applicable)
- Coefficient of Performance (COP) of the unit installed (heat pumps and GSHPs only)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
- Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification
- Manufacturer, model, capacity, and serial number
- AHRI/DOE CCMS certificate or reference number matching manufacturer and model number

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 57. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Measure removed from TRM.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Consolidated AC and HP measures and reintroduced to TRM. Extended measure applicability to GSHPs. Updated from deemed savings to algorithm approach.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated coincidence factors
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated baseline efficiency table to remove categories applicable to larger capacity ranges. Added GSHP coincidence factors.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated GSHP EUL.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated baseline efficiency table.

2.2.7 Evaporative Cooling Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-EC

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculations

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

The following deemed savings values are applicable in calculating an incentive for the installation of a direct evaporative cooler instead of a refrigerated air system in an existing or new construction home in a dwelling occupied by a residential energy consumer.

Eligibility Criteria

Direct whole-house evaporative cooling systems with a saturation efficiency of 0.85 or greater are eligible for this measure. Portable, window, indirect, and hybrid systems are not eligible.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a new refrigerated air conditioner with a rated efficiency at 14 SEER, the federal minimum standard.¹⁷⁷ The system being replaced is likely to be a less efficient evaporative cooling system, but the alternative to the new evaporative cooling unit is a minimally efficient refrigerated air conditioning system.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high efficiency condition is a direct evaporative cooling system with a saturation efficiency of at least 0.85.

¹⁷⁷ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential air conditioners/heat pumps.
https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=48&action=ewlive.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Deemed savings for this measure were derived using a reference metering study of evaporative cooling projects for Xcel Energy.¹⁷⁸ The energy savings from the Xcel study are adjusted for climate using a cooling degree day (CDD) ratio derived from TMY 2020 weather data.¹⁷⁹ Demand savings are calculated using the coincidence factor for the room air conditioner measure and an EFLH estimation simulated in a calibrated BEopt model that is used for other modeled measures in the Texas TRM.

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$kWh_{Savings} = kWh_{Ref} \times \left(\frac{CDD_{Site}}{CDD_{Ref}} \right)$$

Equation 48

Where:

kWh_{Ref}	=	Reference kWh savings from Xcel Energy metering evaluation of evaporative cooling project in Grand Junction, CO: 2,041
CDD_{Ref}	=	Cooling degree days for the reference location of Grand Junction, CO: 1,452
CDD_{Site}	=	Cooling degree days for the project site location, El Paso, TX: 2,446

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{kWh_{Savings}}{EFLH_{Site}} \times CF_S$$

Equation 49

Where:

$EFLH_{Site}$	=	Equivalent full-load hours of an evaporative cooling system for the project site location, El Paso, TX: 1,288 ¹⁸⁰
---------------	---	--

¹⁷⁸ Evaporative Cooling Rebate Program Evaluation by The Cadmus Group, Inc., January 2010, Page 64, Table 23, Savings kWh value for Grand Junction Tier 2.
<https://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xcel/Regulatory/Regulatory%20PDFs/EvaporativeCoolingProgramEvaluation.pdf>.

¹⁷⁹ NSRDB Viewer: <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/>.

¹⁸⁰ EFLH are calculated as the total annual kilowatt-hours divided by the max kilowatt value output by the BEopt model.

$$CF_s = \text{Summer coincidence factor}^{181} = 0.87$$

Deemed Savings Tables

Table 58. Evaporative Cooling—Deemed Savings per System

Climate zone	kWh savings	Summer kW savings	Winter kW savings
5	3,438	2.46	0

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 15 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID HV-Evap.¹⁸²

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Retired system model number and serial number (if applicable)
- Installed evaporative cooler model number and serial number
- Installed evaporative cooler saturation effectiveness
- Proof of purchase with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification

¹⁸¹ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) Manual S recommends that residential heat pumps be sized at 115 percent of the maximum cooling requirement of the residence (for cooling-dominated climates). Assuming that maximum cooling occurs during the peak period, the guideline leads to a coincidence factor of $1 / 1.15 = 0.87$.

¹⁸² DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 59. Evaporative Cooling—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated CDD reference.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision

2.2.8 ENERGY STAR® Connected Thermostats Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-CT

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering spreadsheets and estimates

Measure Description

Deemed savings are provided for the replacement of a standard or programmable thermostat with an ENERGY STAR connected thermostat.

Eligibility Criteria

All residential customers with refrigerated air conditioning are eligible to claim cooling savings for this measure. Customers must have electric central heating (either an electric resistance furnace or a heat pump) to claim heating savings.

The connected thermostats measure is primarily a residential retrofit measure; savings are presented for the average efficiency ratings of installed HVAC systems. Deemed savings are also presented for new construction efficiency ratings (minimum efficiency set by Federal standards).

Customers should be advised against using the emergency heat (EM HEAT) setting on heat pump thermostats. This setting is meant only for use in emergency situations when the heat pump is damaged or malfunctioning. Supplemental heating automatically kicks on in below-freezing conditions using the regular HEAT setting. Contractors installing a new heat pump thermostat with equipment install shall advise customer of correct thermostat usage.

Customers that receive incentives for purchasing a thermostat device through an energy efficiency program may be able to enroll in the load management program offered by the utility at the point of purchase. Deemed demand savings can only be claimed for those customers if they participate in the peak demand events. Otherwise, these devices are only eligible for the deemed energy efficiency savings.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a residential central HVAC system controlled by a thermostat that does not meet the criteria for a connected thermostat (see high efficiency condition). For connected thermostats installed in conjunction with an existing HVAC unit, the baseline condition is an HVAC unit controlled by a manual or programmable thermostat with an average efficiency for existing HVAC units in Texas estimated as shown in Table 60.

Table 60. Connected Thermostats—Baseline Efficiency of Existing ACs

Project type	Capacity (Btu/hr)	Cooling mode
Split air conditioners (manufactured as of 1/1/2023)	< 45,000	14.3 SEER2
	≥ 45,000	13.8 SEER2
Packaged air conditioners (manufactured as of 1/1/2023)	All	13.4 SEER2
Split/packaged air conditioners (manufactured 1/1/2015 through 12/31/2022)	All	12.8 SEER2
Split/packaged air conditioners (when age is unknown) ¹⁸³	All	12.3 SEER2
Split/packaged air conditioners (manufactured 1/23/2006 through 12/31/2014)	All	11.9 SEER2
Split/packaged air conditioners (manufactured before 1/23/2006)	All	9.1 SEER2

Table 61. Connected Thermostats—Baseline Efficiency of Existing HPs

Project type	Cooling mode	Heating mode
Split heat pumps (manufactured as of 1/1/2023)	14.3 SEER2	7.5 HSPF2
Packaged heat pumps (manufactured as of 1/1/2023)	13.4 SEER2	6.7 HSPF2
Split heat pumps (manufactured 1/1/2015 through 12/31/2022)	12.8 SEER2	6.9 HSPF2
Packaged heat pumps (manufactured 1/1/2015 through 12/31/2022)	12.8 SEER2	6.7 HSPF2
Split heat pumps (when age is unknown) ¹⁸⁴	12.3 SEER2	6.7 HSPF2
Packaged heat pumps (when age is unknown) ¹⁸⁵	12.3 SEER2	6.6 HSPF2

¹⁸³ Baseline efficiencies are calculated by taking the average the early retirement categories for 2006–2014 and 2015–2022.

¹⁸⁴ Baseline efficiencies are calculated by taking the average the early retirement categories for 2006–2014 and 2015–2022.

¹⁸⁵ Ibid.

Project type	Cooling mode	Heating mode
Split/package heat pumps (manufactured 1/23/2006 through 12/31/2014)	11.9 SEER2	6.5 HSPF2
Split/package heat pumps (manufactured before 1/23/2006)	9.1 SEER2	5.7 HSPF2
Electric resistance furnace	–	3.412 HSPF2

For connected thermostats installed in conjunction with a new HVAC unit (for both retrofit and new construction applications), the baseline condition is an HVAC unit controlled by a manual or programmable thermostat with the baseline HVAC unit efficiency being equal to the efficiency of the installed system. The efficiency ratings of newly installed HVAC units should meet or exceed minimum values set by the federal manufacturing standards in effect at the time of the installation.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is an HVAC unit being controlled by a connected thermostat compliant with the ENERGY STAR Final Version 1.0 requirements for eligible connected thermostats effective December 3, 2016.¹⁸⁶ A list of eligible thermostats is available on the ENERGY STAR website.¹⁸⁷ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Energy savings are estimated according to the program requirements established by the ENERGY STAR program for thermostat service providers seeking certification. In addition to a series of other technical and programmatic requirements, providers must demonstrate that their thermostat services result in significant run-time reductions for the controlled cooling and heating equipment. Specifically, ENERGY STAR provides the runtime reduction criteria reproduced in Table 62.

ENERGY STAR runtime reductions are translated to energy savings using the methodologies defined in the Central and Mini-Split Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps measure.

Demand (kW) savings are not estimated for the Connected Thermostats measure.

¹⁸⁶ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Connected Thermostats, v1.0. <https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Program%20Requirements%20or%20Connected%20Thermostats%20Version%201.0.pdf>.

¹⁸⁷ ENERGY STAR Certified Products: ENERGY STAR Certified Smart Thermostats. Online. Available: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-connected-thermostats/results>.

Table 62. Connected Thermostats—Runtime Reduction Criteria for ENERGY STAR Certification

Metric	Statistical measure	Performance requirement
Annual percentage run time reduction, cooling	Lower 95 percent confidence limit of weighted national average	≥ 10 percent
	Weighted national average of 20 th percentiles	≥ 5 percent
Annual percentage run time reduction, heating	Lower 95 percent confidence limit of weighted national average	≥ 8 percent
	Weighted national average of 20 th percentiles	≥ 4 percent
Average resistance heat utilization for heat pump installations	National mean in 5°F outdoor temperature bins from 0 to 60°F	Reporting requirement

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy and demand savings algorithms and associated input variables are listed below.

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Total Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_c + kWh_H$$

Equation 50

$$\text{Cooling Energy Savings } [kWh_c] = \frac{Cap_c}{\eta_c} \times EFLH_c \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \times CRF$$

Equation 51

$$\text{Heating Energy Savings } [kWh_H] = \frac{Cap_H}{\eta_H} \times EFLH_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \times HRF \times DAF$$

Equation 52

Where:

$Cap_{C/H}$ = HVAC equipment cooling/heating capacity. For thermostats installed on existing equipment, use the nominal tonnage converted to nominal capacity. For thermostats installed with a new HVAC system, use the AHRI rated capacity of the new equipment. For thermostats installed with a new or existing electric furnace, use the applicable nominal or rated cooling capacity as a proxy for heating capacity. [Btuh]; 1 ton = 12,000 Btuh

- η_C = HVAC equipment cooling efficiency. For thermostats installed on existing equipment, default to the code SEER2 rating from Table 60 for ACs and Table 61 for HPs. For thermostats installed with a new HVAC system, use the AHRI SEER2 rating of the new equipment. [Btuh/W]
- η_H = HVAC equipment heating efficiency. For thermostats installed on existing HP equipment, default to the code HSPF2 from Table 61. For thermostats installed with a new HP, use the AHRI HSPF2 rating of the new equipment. For thermostats installed with a new or existing electric resistance furnace, use 3.412 HSPF. [Btuh/W]
- $EFLH_{C/H}$ = Cooling/heating equivalent full-load hours (Table 63)
- CRF = Cooling hours reduction factor = 10% (Table 62)
- HRF = Heating hours reduction factor = 8% (Table 62)
- DAF = Documentation adjustment factor; set to 0.75 for residences reporting electric resistance heat with no backup documentation or set to 1.0 in all other cases

Table 63. Connected Thermostats—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours¹⁸⁸

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,142	1,880
Zone 2: Dallas	1,926	1,343
Zone 3: Houston	2,209	1,127
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2,958	776
Zone 5: El Paso	1,524	1,559

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Deemed savings tables are only provided for connected thermostats installations where the cooling and heating equipment is unspecified. Savings are presented in kWh per thermostat, assuming a default of 3.7 tons.¹⁸⁹

The following table describes various equipment replacement scenarios that may be encountered and specifies which baseline should be used in each case.

¹⁸⁸ ENERGY STAR Central AC/HP Savings Calculator.

¹⁸⁹ Based on review of average reported cooling capacity for central air conditioners and heat pumps installed in Texas utility programs in previous program years.

Table 64. Connected Thermostats—Baseline for Various Equipment Replacement Scenarios

Equipment replacement scenario	Baseline	
	Cooling	Heating
No HVAC equipment replacement	Existing	Existing
Coil replacements	Existing	Existing
Gas furnace replacements	Existing	No savings
Electric furnace replacements	Existing	Existing
Air conditioner condenser replacement with gas furnace	New	No savings
Air conditioner condenser replacement with electric heat	New	Existing ¹⁹⁰
Heat pump condenser replacement	New	New

For upstream and midstream programs where the existing HVAC system is unknown, assume a heating type weighting of 41.7 percent gas, 30.0 percent electric resistance, and 28.3 percent heat pump heat.¹⁹¹

Table 65. Connected Thermostats—Energy Savings for Thermostats Installed on Unspecified Existing HVAC (kWh/thermostat)^{192, 193, 194}

Climate zone	Total energy savings
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,281
Zone 2: Dallas	1,316
Zone 3: Houston	1,318
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1,427
Zone 5: El Paso	1,271

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Summer demand savings shall not be claimed for the connected thermostats measure.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Winter demand savings shall not be claimed for the connected thermostats measure.

¹⁹⁰ In this scenario, utilize the existing cooling capacity as the existing heating capacity as a proxy due the fact that the existing heating capacity is not provided.

¹⁹¹ Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2020: Space heating in homes in the South and West Regions (HC6.8). <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

¹⁹² US Census Bureau American Community Survey (CBACC), 2022. Table DP04. Texas. <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSDP1Y2022.DP04?q=American%20Community%20survey&t=Housing%20Units&q=040XX00US48>.

¹⁹³ Assuming smart thermostat is installed in conjunction with an existing 3.7 ton HVAC unit.

¹⁹⁴ CBACC used as primary source for percentage of gas and electric heating fuel type. RECS 2020 used to estimate percentages of central electric resistance and heat pump contributions to total electric heat.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Not applicable.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A direct installed connected thermostat is installed on an existing 3.5-ton split air conditioner manufactured in 2015 in Climate Zone 2.

$$\text{Cooling Energy Savings} = \frac{3.5 \times 12,000 \times 1,926 \times 0.10}{12.8 \times 1,000} = 632 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Heating Energy Savings} = 0 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Total kWh Savings} = 632 + 0 = 632 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. A direct install connected thermostat is installed with a new 5-ton split heat pump rated at 56,000 cooling Btuh, 55,000 heating Btuh, 15.2 SEER2 and 8 HSPF2 in Climate Zone 3.

$$\text{Cooling Energy Savings} = \frac{56,000 \times 2,209 \times 0.10}{15.2 \times 1,000} = 814 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Heating Energy Savings} = \frac{55,000 \times 1,127 \times 0.08}{8 \times 1,000} = 620 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Total kWh Savings} = 814 + 620 = 1,434 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

Example 3. A midstream/upstream connected thermostat is installed in Climate Zone 4.

$$\text{Total kWh Savings} = 1,427 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 11 years as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID HV-ProgTstat.¹⁹⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

All program types:

- Climate zone or county
- Thermostat quantity sold/installed
- Thermostat manufacturer and model number
- Copy of ENERGY STAR certificate matching model number

Additional requirements for all program types other than upstream/midstream:

- HVAC system type (split AC, packaged AC, split HP, packaged HP)
- Determine whether HVAC condenser was replaced in conjunction with the thermostat
- If installed with existing HVAC equipment:
 - HVAC capacity (Btuh): Nominal tons converted to capacity
 - Manufacture year
- If installed with new HVAC system:
 - HVAC capacity (Btuh): AHRI rated capacity
 - Part-load cooling efficiency (SEER2)
 - Heating efficiency (HSPF2) – HPs only
 - Heating type (gas, electric resistance, heat pump, none)
- Proof of purchase with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification
- Retired or replaced heating unit model number, serial number, manufacturer, and heating capacity (electric resistance only)
 - Photograph of retired heating unit nameplate, utility inspection, recording nameplate information, or other evaluator-approved approach. Sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes.
 - If documentation is not provided, an adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to the heating energy savings.

¹⁹⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 48265. Petition of AEP Texas Inc., CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company. Petition to Approve Deemed Savings for New Nonresidential Door Air Infiltration, Nonresidential Door Gaskets, and Residential ENERGY STAR Connected Thermostats. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 66. Connected Thermostats—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 origin.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 revision. Updated documentation requirement.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Provided guidance about emergency heat settings and updated EUL reference. Added clarification to prevent double counting of savings with smart thermostat load management measure.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Incorporated algorithm approach. Incorporated new SEER2 test procedure.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated heating type weighting. Added electric resistance baseline and documentation requirement.

2.2.9 Smart Thermostat Load Management Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-TD

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Measurement and verification

Measure Description

Deemed demand savings are provided for calling load management events on smart thermostats¹⁹⁶ in summer afternoons. A load management event is a process through which a utility may optimize available resources by sending a signal to customers' smart thermostats. The signal modifies the smart thermostats temperature setting to reduce overall load demand from central refrigerated air conditioning.

Eligibility Criteria

All Texas residential customers with smart thermostats participating in Climate Zone 5 load management events are eligible to claim demand savings for this measure.

Customers that receive incentives for purchasing a thermostat device through an energy efficiency program may be able to enroll in the load management program offered by the utility at the point of purchase. Deemed demand savings can only be claimed for those customers if they participate in the peak demand events. Otherwise, these devices are only eligible for the deemed energy efficiency savings.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) unit operating in the absence of the load management event and subsequent load management activities.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is an HVAC unit being controlled by a smart thermostat and participating in a load management event.

¹⁹⁶ In this case, smart thermostats are internet-enabled devices that control a home's heating and air conditioning and can be remotely controlled by El Paso Electric Company for load management events.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Demand savings were calculated using the “High 3 of 5 Baseline with Day-of Adjustment” method adopted in the Texas Technical Reference Manual Version 5.0 (TRM 5.0). This method considered the five most recent non-event non-holiday weekdays preceding an event and used data from the three days with the highest load within those five days to establish the baseline. “Day-of” adjustments were used to scale the baseline load estimate to the load conditions on the day of the event using data from the two hours prior to the time on the event day when participants were notified of the pending call for curtailment. In this specific program, customers were likely to experience a pre-cool period lasting up to one hour prior to the event. Therefore, the adjustment period was set as the two-hour period three hours prior to the event.

Interval metering devices were installed on a sample of households to record 15-minute interval kW demand of each house. Consumption data were recorded for a total of 50 homes in Texas. Among these 50 homes, 43 have un-anonymized thermostat run-time data, which allow linking interval consumption data with run-time data for each home. Data for customers in the sample was recorded beginning June 23, 2017. The deemed demand savings presented below were derived from these 43 homes in the summer 2018 data.

Event-level savings are calculated by multiplying kW savings per device by the number of participating devices for each event. Devices that participated no less than 50 percent of the total event duration are identified as participating devices. The average of the events’ savings represents the program year savings.

Energy savings are not estimated through this specific measure.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

The demand algorithms and associated input variables are listed below:

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \text{Baseline Period kW} - \text{Curtailment kW}$$

Equation 53

Where:

Baseline Period kW = *Baseline average demand calculated according to the High 3 of 5 Baseline Method*

Curtailment kW = *Average demand measured during the curtailment period*

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Energy savings shall not be claimed using the methodology described in this measure.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 67. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Deemed kW Savings per Device

Climate zone	kW/device
5	1.45

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Winter demand savings shall not be claimed using the methodology described in this measure.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4, for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A smart thermostat is installed in a home participating in summer load management events:

$$\text{Summer kW savings} = 1.45 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter kW savings} = 0 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{kWh savings} = 0 \text{ kWh}$$

Example 2. Suppose ten events were called in an entire summer with participation counts listed in the table below. The total program year demand savings would be the average of the event-level savings.

Table 68. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Example Total Program Year Savings Calculation

Event number	Texas		Event-level demand savings (kW)
	Deemed savings per device (kW)	Participating device number	
Event 1	1.45	600	870
Event 2	1.45	671	973
Event 3	1.45	744	1,079
Event 4	1.45	819	1,188
Event 5	1.45	868	1,259
Event 6	1.45	975	1,414
Event 7	1.45	826	1,198
Event 8	1.45	910	1,320
Event 9	1.45	804	1,166
Event 10	1.45	704	1,021
Total program year demand savings (kW):			1,149

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is one year for smart thermostat load management.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- A list of all load management events affecting residential participants, describing their date, the time the event started, and the time the event ended.
- List of targeted smart thermostats in each event and unique identifier for each device.
- Participation status for targeted thermostats (e.g., participant and non-participant as described below), runtime data, or other information to assign participation status (e.g., duration of participation, offline, opted-out).
 - Participants are smart thermostats that participated no less than 50 percent of the total event duration.
 - Devices that opted out after participating for no less than 50 percent of the total event duration may be included in the participants list for that specific event.
 - All other devices that participated for less than 50 percent of the total event duration or were offline are considered non-participants and should be excluded from the participants list and savings calculation for that event.
- Summary of savings calculations and rounding practices.
 - Data rounding to the nearest whole number should only occur at the event and program levels for residential load management programs (NOT at the customer level). Utilities that prefer not to round the savings should document that in their calculations and inform the EM&V team (see Volume 5 section 3.1 for more details).

Utilities close to having advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) fully deployed in their territory can use the M&V approach (see Volume 4 section 2.6.1) for program participants with AMI meters and only use the deemed approach for participants without AMI meters.

Load management programs shall be tracked and reported separately from energy efficiency programs.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 69. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 origin.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updates to calculated savings.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated description and tracking requirements.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Added clarification to prevent double counting of savings with smart thermostat load management measure.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Added guidance for utilities close to having AMI fully deployed. Added guidance on tracking and reporting of load management programs separate from energy efficiency programs.

2.2.10 Duct Sealing Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-DS

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

This measure involves sealing leaks in supply and return ducts of the HVAC distribution systems in homes or converted residences with central air conditioning. The standard approach to estimate savings in this measure is based on the results obtained via pre- and post-leakage testing as defined in this measure. In lieu of leakage testing, savings for eligible duct sealing projects may be claimed using the alternate approach specified in this measure.

Eligibility Criteria

All single-family customers with ducted central refrigerated air conditioning or evaporative cooling are eligible to claim cooling savings for this measure. Customers must have ducted central heating with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. The specified deemed savings are not applicable to multifamily customers or to residences with space (non-central or ducted) air conditioning or heating.

For the standard approach with leakage testing, duct leakage should be assessed following the Building Performance Institute (BPI) standards. Duct leakage testing should not be conducted in homes where either evidence of asbestos or mold is present or suspected due to the age of the home.¹⁹⁷

¹⁹⁷ "Technical Standards for the Building Analyst Professional", Building Performance Institute (BPI), v1/4/12, Page 1 of 17, states:

"Health and Safety: Where the presence of asbestos, lead, mold and/or other potentially hazardous material is known or suspected, all relevant state and federal (EPA) guidelines must be followed to ensure technician and occupant safety. Blower door depressurization tests may not be performed in homes *where there is a risk of asbestos becoming airborne and being drawn into the dwelling.*"

<http://www.bpi.org/sites/default/files/Technical%20Standards%20for%20the%20Building%20Analyst%20Professional.pdf>.

Utility program manuals should be consulted for health and safety considerations related to the implementation of duct efficiency measures and/or testing procedures.

Duct sealing is a residential retrofit measure only and does not apply to new construction.

Baseline Condition

The savings calculation methods for this measure (when implemented with duct leakage testing) are valid up to a maximum pre-installation leakage rate of 35 percent of total fan flow.¹⁹⁸ For homes with an initial leakage rate greater than 35 percent of total fan flow, savings will be awarded with respect to this cap rather than the initial leakage. Data from nearly 28,000 single-family and mobile home duct blaster tests conducted for duct efficiency improvements in Texas between 2003 and 2006 show that more than 70 percent of all pre-retrofit leakage rates fall below 38 percent total leakage.¹⁹⁹

Engineering calculations show that the interior temperature in those settings that exceed 38 percent total leakage would be above the thermally acceptable comfort levels published by ASHRAE in its 2009 Fundamentals publication. The proposed pre-installation leakage limits will help ensure that the deemed savings are an accurate reflection of the program's impacts and that the program focuses its efforts on scenarios where leakage conditions are likely to persist if unaddressed for several years.

Low-income customers²⁰⁰ are exempt from the cap limiting the maximum pre-installation leakage rate to 35 percent of total fan flow.

While these baseline criteria were applied in deriving the deemed savings for the alternate approach (without duct leakage testing), it is not necessary to determine the pre-installation leakage rate for projects claiming the alternate deemed savings.

High-Efficiency Condition

Materials used should be long-lasting materials, such as mastics, UL 181A or UL 181B approved foil tape or aerosol-based sealants. Fabric-based duct tape is not allowed.

The selected methodology for estimating duct sealing deemed savings according to the standard approach requires duct leakage-to-outside testing using a combination duct pressurization and house pressurization.

¹⁹⁸ Total Fan Flow = Cooling Capacity (tons) x 400 cfm/ton.

¹⁹⁹ Based on data collected by Frontier Energy for investor-owned utilities in Texas.

²⁰⁰ Low-income customers are income-eligible customers served through a targeted low-income energy efficiency program as described in 25.181(r). This may also apply to income-eligible customers served through a hard-to-reach program that is also delivered following the guidelines in 25.181(r).
<https://www.puc.texas.gov/agency/rulesnlaws/subrules/electric/25.181/25.181.pdf>.

Duct Leakage Testing (Standard Approach)

Measurements to determine pre-installation and post-installation leakage rates must be performed in accordance with utility-approved procedures. For this measure, leakage-to-outside must be directly measured. The project sponsor shall use the Combination Duct Blaster™ (or equivalent) and blower door method. Prior to beginning any installations, the project sponsor must submit the intended method(s) and may be required to provide the utility with evidence of competency, such as RESNET certification, North American Technician Excellence (NATE) certification, or other certification by evaluator approved EPA-recognized ENERGY STAR Home Certification Organization (HCO). Leakage rates must be measured and reported at the average air distribution system operating pressure (25 Pa).²⁰¹

Categorizing Achieved Duct Leakage Reduction (Absent Leakage Testing)

Participating energy efficiency service providers (EESPs) electing not to perform leakage testing should nevertheless provide an estimate of the expected outcome of the leakage reduction work performed: projects should be characterized according to contractor estimation of whether the work required should result in a **low**, **average**, or **high reduction** in duct system leakage. EESPs should take the following considerations into account in assessing the likely leakage reduction achieved in a given project:

- The number and size of repaired leaks
- Leak location: a leak in an attic joint will cause more energy loss than a joint that leaks to conditioned space
- Supply/return: supply-side leaks, particularly in the return air plenum and near the air handling unit can be especially problematic, as they tend to draw additional unconditioned air into the system.

Systems that were not initially very leaky and in which few joints and supply vents were sealed should be characterized as low reduction. Jobs with a typical number of supply vents and joints sealed, and in which the supply air return or the return air plenum were sealed, should be characterized as average reduction. Jobs requiring significant interventions to eliminate large or numerous leaks should be considered high reduction.

The following table provides a guideline for selecting an appropriate leakage category. How the category is determined may fluctuate on a per-home basis.

²⁰¹ See ANSI/RESNET/ICC 380, Chapter 4 Procedure for Measuring Airtightness of Building or Dwelling Unit Enclosure and Chapter 5 Procedure for Measuring Airtightness of Duct Systems.

Table 70. Duct Sealing—Leakage Categorization Guide²⁰²

Category	Duct location	Duct insulation value	Leakage characteristics ²⁰³	
Low	> 90 percent conditioned	> R7	Some observable leaks	
			Substantial leaks	
		R4 - R7	Some observable leaks	
			Substantial leaks	
		< R4	Some observable leaks	
			Substantial leaks	
	50-90 percent conditioned	> R7	Some observable leaks	
		R4 - R7	Some observable leaks	
		< R4	Some observable leaks	
Average	> 90 percent conditioned	> R7	Catastrophic leaks	
		R4 - R7	Catastrophic leaks	
		< R4	Catastrophic leaks	
	50-90 percent conditioned	> R7	Substantial leaks	
			Catastrophic leaks	
		R4 - R7	Substantial leaks	
	< 50 percent conditioned	> R7	Some observable leaks	
		R4 - R7	Some observable leaks	
		< R4	Some observable leaks	
	High	50-90 percent conditioned	R4 - R7	Catastrophic leaks
			< R4	Catastrophic leaks
		< 50 percent conditioned	> R7	Substantial leaks
Catastrophic leaks				
R4 - R7			Substantial leaks	
< R4		Substantial leaks		
		Catastrophic leaks		

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings may be claimed according to either the standard approach (with duct leakage testing) or the alternate approach, according to the following sections.

²⁰² Based on typical distribution efficiency assumptions from the Building Performance Institute (BPI) Technical Standards for the Heating Professional, November 20, 2007, page 7.
<http://www.bpi.org/sites/default/files/Technical%20Standards%20for%20the%20Heating%20Professional.pdf>.

²⁰³ Catastrophic leaks are defined by BPI as disconnected ducts, missing end-caps, and other catastrophic holes.

Standard Approach (with Duct Leakage Testing)

The annual energy and summer and winter peak demand savings to be claimed according to the standard approach for this measure shall be calculated as a function of the reduction in duct leakage achieved, using the energy and demand savings coefficients from Table 71 through Table 73 for the climate zone in which the project was implemented and the type of heating equipment in the project home.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings, which are expressed as linear functions of the reduction in duct leakage achieved (in CFM₂₅). Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone were modified as follows: the base case duct leakage rate was set to 8 CFM₂₅ per 100 square feet. Results from running the base case model provide estimated hourly energy use for the prototypical home prior to treatment. Post-treatment conditions were simulated by setting the leakage rate to 6 CFM₂₅ per 100 square feet. Results from running the change case model provide estimated hourly energy use for the prototypical home after treatment. A comparison of these two runs provides the deemed savings estimates.

Deemed savings are presented as a function of the CFM₂₅ reduction achieved, as demonstrated by leakage to outside testing using the Combination Duct Blaster™ (or equivalent) and Blower Door method. The kWh and kW per CFM₅₀ values represented by the V_E , V_S , and V_W coefficients are derived by taking the difference between annual energy use and summer and winter peak demand, as estimated by the two model runs and normalizing to the CFM₂₅ reduction achieved.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 71 presents the annual energy savings per CFM₂₅ reduction for a residential duct sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate annual energy savings for duct leakage reduction:

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = (DL_{pre} - DL_{post}) \times V_E$$

Equation 54

Where:

DL_{pre}	=	Pre-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
DL_{post}	=	Post-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
$V_{E,C}$	=	Cooling Energy Savings Coefficient in Table 71
$V_{E,H}$	=	Heating Energy Savings Coefficient in Table 71

Table 71. Duct Sealing—Energy Savings V_E per CFM₂₅ Reduction

Climate zone	$V_{E,C}$: Cooling savings		$V_{E,H}$: Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.82	0.21	0.07	2.75	0.71
Zone 2: Dallas	1.05	–	0.03	1.19	0.31
Zone 3: Houston	1.23	–	0.02	0.85	0.26
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.46	–	0.01	0.61	0.19
Zone 5: El Paso	1.20	0.38	0.03	1.44	0.37

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 72 presents the summer peak demand savings per CFM₂₅ reduction for a residential duct sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed summer demand savings for duct leakage reduction:

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = (DL_{pre} - DL_{post}) \times V_S$$

Equation 55

Where:

$$V_S = \text{Summer Demand Savings Coefficient (see Table 72)}$$

Table 72. Duct Sealing—Summer Demand Savings V_S per CFM₂₅ Reduction

Climate zone	Summer kW impact per CFM ₂₅ reduction	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	9.28E-04	2.29E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	8.47E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston	1.06E-03	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	6.72E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso	7.66E-04	1.86E-04

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 73 presents the winter peak demand savings per CFM₂₅ reduction for a residential duct sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed winter demand savings for duct leakage reduction:

$$\text{Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW)} = (DL_{pre} - DL_{post}) \times V_W$$

Equation 56

Where:

$$V_W = \text{Winter Demand Savings Coefficient (see Table 73)}$$

Table 73. Duct Sealing—Winter Demand Savings V_w per CFM₂₅ Reduction

Climate zone	kWh impact per CFM ₂₅ reduction		
	Gas	Resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.38E-06	8.49E-04	1.46E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	1.22E-06	9.96E-04	6.98E-04
Zone 3: Houston	8.60E-06	8.61E-04	5.02E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.18E-05	6.71E-04	4.06E-04
Zone 5: El Paso	6.68E-06	2.81E-04	6.69E-05

Alternate Approach (No Duct Leakage Testing)

The following savings tables are provided for projects implemented without performing leakage testing, accounting for the application of pre-retrofit leakage caps to not hard-to-reach (HTR) projects. The annual energy and summer and winter peak demand savings to be claimed according to the alternate approach for this measure shall be taken from Table 71 through Table 73 for the climate zone in which the project was implemented and the type of heating equipment in the project home.

While savings for multiple duct systems are additive for the standard approach, the following savings are specified per home when using the alternate approach and should not be multiplied by the number of treated duct systems.

NOTE: This approach is only available to programs with an incentive structure that does not vary by leakage category. Additionally, energy efficiency service providers (EESPs) should not alternate between the standard and alternative approaches during the same program year. Utilities should either restrict all participants within an individual program to one approach or the other, or they should restrict individual EESPs to one approach or the other across all program types.

Hard-to-Reach and Targeted Low-Income Programs

Deemed Energy Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Table 74. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Energy Savings (kWh), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	204	52	17	685	177
2	Average	323	83	28	1,083	280
3	High	514	132	44	1,725	445

**Table 75. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Energy Savings (kWh),
HTR Alternate Approach**

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	262	–	7	297	77
2	Average	413	–	12	468	122
3	High	659	–	19	746	194

**Table 76. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Energy Savings (kWh),
HTR Alternate Approach**

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	307	–	5	212	65
2	Average	484	–	8	335	102
3	High	771	–	13	533	163

**Table 77. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Energy Savings (kWh),
HTR Alternate Approach**

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	364	–	2	152	47
2	Average	575	–	4	240	75
3	High	916	–	6	383	119

**Table 78. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Energy Savings (kWh),
HTR Alternate Approach**

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	299	95	7	359	92
2	Average	472	150	12	567	146
3	High	753	238	19	903	232

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Table 79. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.23	0.06
Average	0.37	0.09
High	0.58	0.14

Table 80. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.21	–
Average	0.33	–
High	0.53	–

Table 81. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.26	–
Average	0.42	–
High	0.66	–

Table 82. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.17	–
Average	0.26	–
High	0.42	–

Table 83. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.19	0.05
Average	0.30	0.07
High	0.48	0.12

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Table 84. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.21	0.04
Average	0.00	0.33	0.06
High	0.00	0.53	0.09

Table 85. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.25	0.17
Average	0.00	0.39	0.27
High	0.00	0.62	0.44

Table 86. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.21	0.13
Average	0.00	0.34	0.20
High	0.01	0.54	0.31

Table 87. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.17	0.10
Average	0.00	0.26	0.16
High	0.01	0.42	0.25

Table 88. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), HTR Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.07	0.02
Average	0.00	0.11	0.03
High	0.00	0.18	0.04

All Other Programs

Deemed Energy Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Table 89. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	187	48	16	628	162
2	Average	300	77	26	1,005	259
3	High	428	110	37	1,437	371

Table 90. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	240	–	7	272	71
2	Average	384	–	11	435	113
3	High	549	–	16	622	162

Table 91. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	281	–	5	194	59
2	Average	449	–	7	310	95
3	High	643	–	10	444	136

Table 92. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	333	–	2	139	43
2	Average	533	–	4	223	69
3	High	763	–	5	319	99

Table 93. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Energy Savings (kWh), Alternate Approach

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	274	87	7	329	84
2	Average	438	139	11	526	135
3	High	627	199	16	752	193

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Table 94. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.21	0.05
Average	0.34	0.08
High	0.48	0.12

Table 95. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.19	–
Average	0.31	–
High	0.44	–

Table 96. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.24	–
Average	0.39	–
High	0.55	–

Table 97. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.15	–
Average	0.25	–
High	0.35	–

Table 98. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Low	0.17	0.04
Average	0.28	0.07
High	0.40	0.10

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Table 99. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.19	0.03
Average	0.00	0.31	0.05
High	0.00	0.44	0.08

Table 100. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: Dallas—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.23	0.16
Average	0.00	0.36	0.25
High	0.00	0.52	0.36

Table 101. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: Houston—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.20	0.11
Average	0.00	0.31	0.18
High	0.00	0.45	0.26

Table 102. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.15	0.09
Average	0.00	0.25	0.15
High	0.01	0.35	0.21

Table 103. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: El Paso—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW), Alternate Approach

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.06	0.02
Average	0.00	0.10	0.02
High	0.00	0.15	0.03

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. Using the **standard approach**, a 1,700 square foot home with a 3.5-ton central air conditioner and a gas furnace in Climate Zone 3 is found to have a pre-retrofit duct leakage rate of 600 CFM₂₅. After sealing leaks, duct leakage is estimated at 100 CFM₂₅. The project is completed in a non-HTR program.

$$\text{Max Initial Leakage Rate} = \left(400 \frac{\text{CFM}}{\text{ton}} \times 3.5 \text{ tons} \right) \times 35\% = 490 \text{ CFM}_{25}$$

$$\text{Reported Initial Leakage} = \text{Min} (600, 490) = 490 \text{ CFM}_{25}$$

$$DL_{pre} - DL_{post} = (490 - 100) = 390 \text{ CFM}_{25}$$

$$kWh\ savings = (1.23 + 0.02) \times 390 = 488\ kWh$$

$$Summer\ kW\ savings = 1.06 \times 10^{-3} \times 390 = 0.41\ kW$$

$$Winter\ kW\ savings = 8.60 \times 10^{-6} \times 390 = 0.003\ kW$$

Example 2. Using the **alternate approach**, a duct sealing project is completed on a home of any square footage with a central heat pump of any tonnage in Climate Zone 3. The duct system is categorized as 50-90 percent in conditioned space with an existing duct insulation value of R4-R7 and substantial leaks. Therefore, that home is categorized as an average leakage home. No leakage testing is performed. The project is completed in an HTR program. All savings are taken directly from deemed savings lookup tables.

$$kWh\ savings = 484 + 102 = 586\ kWh$$

$$Summer\ kW\ savings = 0.42\ kW$$

$$Winter\ kW\ savings = 0.20\ kW$$

Additional Calculators and Tools

There is a calculator to estimate the energy and demand savings associated with this measure using the algorithms described in the previous subsection.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for a duct sealing measure is 18 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID HV-DuctSeal-BW.²⁰⁴

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (central refrigerated, evaporative cooling, none)
- Heating type (central gas furnace, central electric resistance furnace, heat pump, none)
- Additional documentation is required to validate resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach)
- Cooling capacity of home HVAC units (tons)

²⁰⁴ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

- EESPs claiming savings according to duct leakage testing:
 - Pre-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
 - Post-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
 - Pre- and post-photos of leakage test readings
- EESPs claiming savings without performing leakage testing should provide:
 - Description of the leakage severity in the home (low, average, or high)
 - Description of location and condition of ducts:
 - Duct location (>90 percent conditioned, 50-90 percent conditioned, <50 percent conditioned)
 - Existing duct insulation value (>R7, R4-R7, <R4)
 - Leakage characteristics (some observable leaks, substantial leaks, catastrophic leaks)
 - Other relevant details that may assist with validating claimed leakage category (recommended)
 - Description and photos of interventions taken (both pre- and post-condition), such as newly sealed joints, supply vents, and other relevant leaks sealed
 - Incentive rate structure: incentive should be paid per home and should not vary by leakage category to avoid providing an incentive to overstate the existing leakage category.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 104. Duct Sealing—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor formatting changes, and language introduced to provide further direction for low-income customers and testing procedure. Contractors now required to track cooling capacity of HVAC equipment. Language added to reflect updates to federal standards for central heat pumps and central air conditioners.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. Addition of language referring contractors to program manuals for information regarding health and safety precautions.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Update of reference sources for air temperatures and densities, heating degree-days. Cooling demand savings required to be claimed.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Approach changed from algorithm-based to deemed savings coefficients estimated using building simulation models. Updated energy and demand savings. Added separate savings for homes with evaporative cooling. Updated measure description to eliminate eligibility for homes without a central AC, but with a ducted heating system.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Remove PY 2017 option to use energy and demand adjustment factors in combination with algorithm methodology from TRM v3.1.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Added alternative approach to bypass the need to complete leakage testing based on preceding guidance memo.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v8.0 update. Added clarifying language on incentive rate per home.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated eligibility and documentation requirements for electric resistance heat.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Corrected typo in leakage categorization guide.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.3 RESIDENTIAL: BUILDING ENVELOPE

2.3.1 Air Infiltration Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-AI

Market Sector: Residential low-income and hard-to-reach

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

This measure involves the implementation of interventions to reduce the rate of air infiltration into residences. Pre- and post-treatment blower door air pressure readings are required to confirm air leakage reduction. The standard approach for estimating savings in this measure is based on the results obtained via pre- and post-leakage testing as defined in this measure.

Eligibility Criteria

Savings in this measure apply to low-income (LI) and hard-to-reach (HTR) customers only unless utility consumption data has demonstrated savings to expand to all residential customers. Utilities should work with the EM&V team to determine eligibility.

Cooling savings only apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes. Heating savings apply to customers with a central furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump in their homes. Customers who participate in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim heating or cooling savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters and/or cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings for the specified system.

There is an upper limit of 4.6 CFM₅₀ per square foot of house floor area for the pre-retrofit infiltration rate on eligible projects. For homes where the pre-retrofit leakage exceeds this limit, savings will be awarded against the leakage cap.

Utilities may require certification or competency testing of personnel who will perform the blower door tests. Air leakage should be assessed through testing following Building Performance Institute (BPI) standards. In some limited cases, where testing is not possible or unsafe (e.g., due to potential presence of asbestos), a visual assessment may be satisfactory. The air leakage testing should not be conducted in homes where either evidence of asbestos or mold is present or suspected due to the age of the home.²⁰⁵ Utilities' program manuals should be consulted for health and safety considerations related to the implementation of air sealing measures.

Baseline Condition

The baseline for this measure is the existing leakage rate of the treated residence. The existing leakage rate should be capped to account for the fact that the deemed savings values per CFM₅₀ leakage reduction are only applicable up to a point where the existing HVAC equipment would run continuously. Beyond that point, energy use will no longer increase linearly with an increase in leakage.

Baseline assumptions used in the development of these deemed savings are based on a conversion from ACH_{Natural}. ASHRAE Handbook: Fundamentals specifies that more than 80 percent of sampled low-income housing had a pre-leakage rate at or below 1.75 ACH_{Natural}.²⁰⁶ ACH_{Natural} was converted to CFM₅₀/sq. ft. using Equation 57.

$$CFM_{50,pre} = \frac{ACH_{Natural,pre} \times h \times N}{60}$$

Equation 57

Where:

$ACH_{Natural,pre}$	=	1.75 representing greater than 80 percent of sampled homes
h	=	Ceiling height (ft.) = 8.5 (default) ²⁰⁷
N	=	N factor for single story normal shielding (Table 105) = 18.5

Using the above approach, the maximum per-square-foot pre-installation infiltration rate is 4.6 CFM₅₀/sq. ft. Therefore, to avoid incentivizing homes with envelope problems not easily remedied through typical weatherization procedures, or where blower door tests were improperly conducted, these savings should only be applied starting at a baseline CFM₅₀/sq. ft. of 4.6 or lower.

²⁰⁵ The Building Performance Institute, Inc. (BPI) Standard Reference: Building Performance Institute Technical Standards for the Building Analyst Professional, v2/28/05mda, Page 1 of 17, states: "Health and Safety: Where the presence of asbestos, lead, mold and/or other potentially hazardous material is known or suspected, all relevant state and federal (EPA) guidelines must be followed to ensure technician and occupant safety. Blower door depressurization tests may not be performed in homes *where there is a risk of asbestos becoming airborne and being drawn into the dwelling.*"

²⁰⁶ 2017 ASHRAE Handbook: Fundamentals, Chapter 16, p. 16.19, Fig. 12.

²⁰⁷ Typical ceiling height of 8 feet adjusted to account for greater ceiling heights in some areas of a typical residence.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²⁰⁸ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²⁰⁹ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

Blower door air pressure measurements must also be used to ensure that post-treatment air infiltration rates are not less than those set forth by the standard in Equation 58, based on floor area and the number of bedrooms.²¹⁰ These calculated minimum CFM₅₀ values assume two occupants for a one-bedroom dwelling unit and an additional person for each additional bedroom. At the utility's discretion, this minimum CFM₅₀ requirement may be enforced as an eligibility requirement. Otherwise, savings may be claimed for projects where the measured final infiltration rate is less than the minimum allowable ventilation rate if the following conditions are met:

- Mechanical ventilation is present or introduced in compliance with ASHRAE 62.2-2019
- Post-treatment infiltration rate is reported as the actual measured CFM50 result
- Savings are calculated using the TRM minimum allowable ventilation rate with no additional savings claimed for CFM reduction below this amount

Where higher occupant densities are known, the minimum rate shall be increased by 7.5 CFM_{Nat} for each additional person. A CFM_{Nat} value can be converted to CFM₅₀ by multiplying by the appropriate N factor (Table 105).

$$\text{Min CFM}_{50} = [0.03 \times A_{\text{Floor}} + 7.5 \times \text{OCC}] \times N$$

Equation 58

Where:

<i>Min CFM₅₀</i>	=	<i>Minimum final ventilation rate (CFM₅₀)</i>
<i>A_{Floor}</i>	=	<i>Floor area (sq. ft.)</i>
<i>OCC</i>	=	<i>BR + 1, where BR is the number of bedrooms; if number of home occupants is known to exceed BR + 1, occupancy should be used instead</i>
<i>N</i>	=	<i>N factor (Table 105)</i>

²⁰⁸ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²⁰⁹ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

²¹⁰ ASHRAE 62.2-2013. CFM_{Nat} values converted to CFM₅₀ values by multiplying by appropriate N factor.

Table 105. Air Infiltration—N Factors²¹¹

Shielding	Number of stories		
	1 story	2 story	3+ stories
Well shielded	22.2	17.8	15.5
Normal	18.5	14.8	13.0
Exposed	16.7	13.3	11.7

The maximum CFM reduction percentage²¹² is capped at 30 percent. It is important to note that the minimum ventilation rate specified earlier in this section still applies for cases where the maximum 30 percent CFM reduction cannot be achieved due to the post CFM value being limited by the minimum allowable post CFM value provisioned for safety reasons.

The TRM stipulates an upper limit of 4.6 CFM₅₀ per square foot of house floor area for the pre-retrofit infiltration rate as part of eligibility criteria. For homes where the pre-retrofit leakage exceeds this limit, energy and demand savings must be calculated using the pre-measure-installation leakage cap. Therefore, when the pre-retrofit leakage is capped, energy and demand savings can only be claimed for a 30 percent reduction in CFM compared to the capped pre-CFM value. When the pre-retrofit leakage is not capped, energy and demand savings can only be claimed for a 30 percent reduction in CFM compared to the tested, actual pre-retrofit infiltration rate of the home.

The TRM requires all contractors to provide sufficient evidence (e.g., pictures capturing the scope/type of retrofit implemented and blower door test readings) for all homes.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings, which are expressed as linear functions of the leakage reduction achieved (in CFM₅₀).²¹³ Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone were modified as follows: the base case air infiltration rate was set to 20 ACH₅₀. Results from running the base case model provide estimated hourly energy use for the prototypical home prior to treatment. Post-treatment conditions were simulated by setting the leakage rate to 3 ACH₅₀.

²¹¹ Krigger, J. and Dorsi, C., "Residential Energy: Cost Savings and Comfort for Existing Buildings". A-11 Building Tightness Limits, p. 284. Use Zone 2 for Texas climate.

²¹² CFM reduction percentage is calculated as: (pre-CFM value – post-CFM value) / pre-CFM value

²¹³ Model testing indicates a straight-line relationship between demand and energy savings achieved and CFM₅₀ reductions is appropriate with beginning and ending leakage rates within the ranges permitted by the measure.

Deemed savings are presented as a function of the CFM₅₀ reduction achieved, as demonstrated by blower door testing. The kWh and kW per CFM₅₀ values represented by the V_E, V_S, and V_W coefficients are derived by taking the difference between annual energy use and summer and winter peak demand as estimated by the two model runs and normalizing to the CFM₅₀ reduction achieved. The pre- and post-treatment ACH₅₀ values (20 and 3, respectively) are converted to CFM₅₀ by multiplying the pressurized air-change rate by the volume of the model home and dividing by 60 (minutes/hour).

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 106 presents the energy savings per CFM₅₀ reduction for a residential air sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed energy savings for infiltration efficiency improvements.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta\text{kWh}] = \Delta\text{CFM}_{50} \times (V_{E,C} \times \text{CAF} + V_{E,H} \times \text{HAF} \times \text{DAF})$$

Equation 59

Where:

ΔCFM_{50}	=	<i>Air infiltration reduction in cubic feet per minute at 50 Pascal</i>
$V_{E,C}$	=	<i>Cooling energy savings coefficient (Table 106)</i>
CAF	=	<i>Cooling savings adjustment factor for homes with room air conditioners; set to 1.0 for homes with refrigerated air or set to 0.6 for homes with one or more room air conditioners</i>
$V_{E,H}$	=	<i>Heating energy savings coefficient (Table 106)</i>
HAF	=	<i>Heating savings adjustment factor for homes with electric resistance space heaters; set to 1.0 for homes with central heating with supplemental space heating or set to 0.24 for homes with primary electric resistance space heating</i>
DAF	=	<i>Documentation adjustment factor; set to 0.75 for residences reporting electric resistance heat with no backup documentation or set to 1.0 in all other cases</i>

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling values in Table 106 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 106 by a factor of 0.24.²¹⁴

²¹⁴ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields 10,200 ÷ 42,000 = 0.24.

Table 106. Air Infiltration—Energy Savings V_E per CFM₅₀ Reduction

Climate zone	$V_{E,C}$: Cooling savings	$V_{E,H}$: Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.12	0.09	1.92	0.78
Zone 2: Dallas	0.27	0.04	1.10	0.45
Zone 3: Houston	0.22	0.02	0.63	0.25
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.39	0.02	0.55	0.21
Zone 5: El Paso	0.07	0.03	0.88	0.34

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 107 presents the summer peak demand savings per CFM 50 reduction for a residential air sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed summer demand savings for air infiltration improvements:

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \Delta CFM_{50} \times V_S \times CAF$$

Equation 60

Where:

$$V_S = \text{Summer demand savings coefficient (Table 107)}$$

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling values in Table 107 by a factor of 0.6.

Table 107. Air Infiltration—Peak Summer Demand Savings V_S per CFM₅₀ Reduction

Climate zone	Summer kW impact per CFM ₅₀ reduction
Zone 1: Amarillo	1.64E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	2.10E-04
Zone 3: Houston	1.90E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2.24E-04
Zone 5: El Paso	9.40E-05

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 108 by a factor of 0.24. For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 108 by a factor of 0.24.

Table 108 presents the summer peak demand savings per CFM₅₀ reduction for a residential air sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed winter demand savings for air infiltration improvement:

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \Delta CFM_{50} \times V_W \times HAF \times DAF$$

Equation 61

Where:

$$V_W = \text{Winter demand savings coefficient (Table 108)}$$

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 108 by a factor of 0.24.²¹⁵

Table 108. Air Infiltration—Peak Winter Demand Savings V_w per CFM₅₀ Reduction

Climate zone	Winter kW impact per CFM ₅₀ reduction	
	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	9.42E-04	5.48E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	1.25E-03	6.93E-04
Zone 3: Houston	8.61E-04	4.41E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	7.81E-04	3.60E-04
Zone 5: El Paso	2.92E-04	1.19E-04

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A contractor uses a blower door test to estimate 12,000 CFM₅₀ of pre-retrofit air leakage in a 2,200 square foot, 2-story, 3-bedroom home in Climate Zone 4 with a heat pump. The home is located in a normally shielded area. After identifying and sealing leaks, she performs another blower door test and measures 8,000 CFM₅₀ of air leakage.

$$\text{Max Initial Leakage Rate} = 4.6 \times 2,200 = 10,120 \text{ CFM}_{50}$$

$$\text{Reported Initial Leakage} = \text{Min} (12,000, 10,120) = 10,120 \text{ CFM}_{50}$$

$$\text{Capped Post Retrofit Leakage} = 10,120 \times (1 - 0.3) = 7,084 \text{ CFM}_{50}$$

$$\text{Reported Post Retrofit Leakage} = \text{Max} (8,000, 7,084) = 8,000 \text{ CFM}_{50}$$

²¹⁵ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields 10,200 ÷ 42,000 = 0.24.

$$\text{Min. Post Retrofit Leakage (safety)} = [0.03 \times 2,200 + 7.5 \times 4] \times 14.8 = 1,421 \text{ CFM}_{50}$$

$$\Delta\text{CFM}_{50} = (10,120 - 8,000) = 2,120$$

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.39 + 0.21) \times 2,120 = 1,272 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 2.24 \times 10^{-4} \times 2,120 = 0.47 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 3.60 \times 10^{-4} \times 2,120 = 0.76 \text{ kW}$$

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 11 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID BS-Wthr.²¹⁶

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Pre-retrofit air infiltration in cubic feet per minute at 50 Pascal
- Post-retrofit air infiltration in cubic feet per minute at 50 Pascal
- Cooling type (central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); representative sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Square footage of the house
- Shielding level (well shielded, normal, exposed)
- Number of bedrooms
- Number of stories
- Number of occupants
- Pre- and post-photos of blower door test readings
- Representative photos of leak repairs

²¹⁶ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 62. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 27903. Order Adopting New §25.184 as Approved at the August 21, 2003, Open Meeting and Submitted to the Secretary of State. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41070. Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Approve Revisions to Residential and Commercial Deemed Savings Based on Climate Data Specific to El Paso, Texas. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 109. Air Infiltration—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language. Added detail on methodology and model characteristics.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. Addition of language referring contractors to program manuals for information regarding health and safety precautions.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Revision of minimum ventilation requirements, pre-retrofit cap on infiltration levels, Climate Zone 5 savings values for homes with heat pumps, and tracking number of bedrooms and occupants in a house.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided clarification around effects of occupancy on minimum final ventilation.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated energy and demand savings per new prototype energy simulation models. Introduced new protocols related to maximum CFM reduction percentage and its associated documentation requirements. Added a new example for calculating savings.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Added alternative approach to bypass the need to complete leakage testing in guidance memo to follow.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Removed alternative approach allowance at this time. Clarified the eligibility of projects where CFM _{post} falls below the minimum ventilation rate requirement.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Reduced leakage cap and updated documentation requirements. Updated eligibility to only LI/HTR. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated savings calculation example and EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor. Updated eligibility to all residential customers, as applicable.

2.3.2 Ceiling Insulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-CI

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Savings are estimated for insulation improvements to the ceiling area above a conditioned space in a residence.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes, or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Baseline Condition

Ceiling insulation levels encountered in existing homes can vary significantly, depending on factors such as the age of the home, type of insulation installed, and level of attic use (equipment, storage, etc.). Deemed savings have been developed based on different levels of encountered (existing) ceiling insulation in participating homes, ranging from sparsely insulated (R-5) to the equivalent of about 6 inches of fiberglass batt insulation (R-22). The current average ceiling insulation level at participating homes is to be determined and documented by the insulation installer. Degradation due to age and density of the existing insulation should be taken into account.

In the event that existing insulation is or has been removed during measure implementation, the existing R-value for claiming savings shall be based upon the R-value of the existing insulation prior to removal.

In the event there are varying levels of existing insulation, an area-weighted U-factor can be used to find the effective R-value across the treated area. The U-factor should be taken from the existing insulation only. This approach can be used in single attic spaces, and savings should be estimated separately for independent spaces where there are separate heating or cooling methods (e.g., additions).

Area-Weighted U-Factor Calculation Method

$$U_A = [U_1 \times Area_1 + U_2 \times Area_2 + \dots] / [Area_1 + Area_2 + \dots]$$

$$Effective\ Rvalue = \frac{1}{U_A}$$

Equation 62

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²¹⁷ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²¹⁸ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

A minimum ceiling insulation level of R-30 is recommended throughout Texas as prescribed by the Department of Energy. Accordingly, deemed savings are provided for insulating to R-30. Adjustment factors are provided to allow contractors to estimate savings for installation of higher or lower levels of post-retrofit insulation. Contractors should estimate post-retrofit R-values according to the average insulation depth achieved across the area treated and the R per-inch of the insulation material installed.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings values. Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone was modified as follows: the default R-value of ceiling insulation (R-15 in most zones) was set at different levels, ranging from R-0 (no ceiling insulation) to R-22. These modifications are shown in Table 110.

The model runs are used to estimate peak demand and energy use in the modeled home at each of the base case ceiling insulation levels. The change-case models were run with the ceiling insulated to R-30.

²¹⁷ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²¹⁸ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

Table 110. Ceiling Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics

Shell characteristic	Value	Source
Base ceiling insulation	< R5 R5-R8 R9-R14 R15-R22	Existing insulation level
Change ceiling insulation	R-30	R-30 retrofit insulation level consistent with DOE recommendations

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 111 through Table 115, present the energy savings (kWh) associated with ceiling insulation for the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 111 through Table 117 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 111 through Table 117 by a factor of 0.24.²¹⁹

Table 111. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.41	0.12	0.12	3.07	1.31
R-5 to R-8	0.28	0.08	0.08	2.16	0.92
R-9 to R-14	0.15	0.04	0.05	1.17	0.50
R-15 to R-22	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.51	0.22

²¹⁹ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 112. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.67	0.07	1.90	0.79
R-5 to R-8	0.46	0.05	1.34	0.55
R-9 to R-14	0.25	0.03	0.72	0.30
R-15 to R-22	0.11	0.01	0.32	0.13

Table 113. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.68	0.05	1.30	0.53
R-5 to R-8	0.46	0.03	0.92	0.37
R-9 to R-14	0.24	0.02	0.50	0.20
R-15 to R-22	0.10	0.01	0.22	0.09

Table 114. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Energy (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-5	0.52	0.03	0.89	0.34
R-5 to R-8	0.35	0.02	0.62	0.24
R-9 to R-14	0.18	0.01	0.33	0.13
R-15 to R-22	0.08	0.00	0.14	0.06

Table 115. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.63	0.21	0.07	1.96	0.81
R-5 to R-8	0.43	0.15	0.05	1.40	0.57
R-9 to R-14	0.23	0.08	0.03	0.75	0.31
R-15 to R-22	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.33	0.13

Scale-Down/Up Factors for Energy Savings: Insulation to Below or Above R-30

The factors presented in this section are to be used when the average post-retrofit insulation depth is providing more or less than R-30 insulation. Scale-down factors are provided for the case when average post-retrofit insulation depth is not sufficient to achieve R-30; scale-up factors are provided for the case when insulating to a level greater than R-30. In either case, the following equation should be applied to scale down or scale up the energy savings.

$$Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = \left\{ R30 \frac{Savings}{ft^2} + \left[\frac{S_{D/U}}{U} \times (R_{Achieved} - 30) \right] \right\} \times A \times DAF$$

Equation 63

Where:

$R30\ Savings/ft^2$ = Sum of project-appropriate deemed cooling and heating energy savings per square feet taken from Table 111 through Table 115

$S_{D/U}$ = Project-appropriate scale-down or scale-up factor from either Table 116 or Table 117

$R_{Achieved}$ = Achieved R-value of installed insulation (e.g., for R-28, $R_{Achieved} = 28$)

A = Treated area (sq. ft.)

DAF = Documentation adjustment factor; set to 0.75 for residences reporting electric resistance heat with no backup documentation or set to 1.0 in all other cases

Note: this factor should only be applied to heating savings.

If the ceiling is insulated to a level less than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale down the achieved energy savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

**Table 116. Ceiling Insulation—Energy Scale-Down Factors
for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR)**

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.00E-03	1.16E-03	1.27E-03	3.26E-02	1.38E-02
Zone 2: Dallas	6.66E-03	–	7.11E-04	2.00E-02	8.20E-03
Zone 3: Houston	6.22E-03	–	4.67E-04	1.38E-02	5.47E-03
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	4.92E-03	–	2.44E-04	9.04E-03	3.47E-03
Zone 5: El Paso	4.00E-03	1.16E-03	1.27E-03	3.26E-02	1.38E-02

If the ceiling is insulated to a level greater than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale up the achieved energy savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 117. Ceiling Insulation—Energy Scale-Up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.66E-03	7.63E-04	8.45E-04	2.18E-02	9.18E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	4.45E-03	–	4.82E-04	1.33E-02	5.47E-03
Zone 3: Houston	4.00E-03	–	2.97E-04	9.19E-03	3.66E-03
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.24E-03	–	1.62E-04	5.99E-03	2.30E-03
Zone 5: El Paso	2.66E-03	7.63E-04	8.45E-04	2.18E-02	9.18E-03

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 118 through Table 122 present the summer demand savings (kW/sq. ft.) associated with ceiling insulation for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in the refrigerated air column in Table 118 through Table 124 by a factor of 0.6.

Table 118. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Refrigerated	Evaporative
< R-5	8.00E-04	2.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	4.50E-04	1.47E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.33E-04	7.16E-05
R-15 to R-22	1.02E-04	2.87E-05

Table 119. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
< R-5	9.00E-04
R-5 to R-8	5.17E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.67E-04
R-15 to R-22	1.15E-04

Table 120. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
< R-5	6.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	5.51E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.87E-04
R-15 to R-22	1.22E-04

Table 121. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
< R-5	4.75E-04
R-5 to R-8	3.40E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.79E-04
R-15 to R-22	7.95E-05

Table 122. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Refrigerated	Evaporative
< R-5	8.00E-04	2.23E-04
R-5 to R-8	4.72E-04	1.53E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.38E-04	6.25E-05
R-15 to R-22	1.03E-04	2.09E-05

Scale-Down/Up Factors: Insulation to Below or Above R-30

If the ceiling is insulated to a level less than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale down the achieved summer peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 123. Ceiling Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Zone 1: Amarillo	6.41E-06	1.97E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	7.30E-06	–
Zone 3: Houston	7.91E-06	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	5.20E-06	–
Zone 5: El Paso	6.41E-06	1.97E-06

If the ceiling is insulated to a level greater than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale up the achieved summer peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 124. Ceiling Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.22E-06	1.89E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	4.92E-06	–
Zone 3: Houston	5.92E-06	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.47E-06	–
Zone 5: El Paso	4.22E-06	1.89E-06

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 125 through Table 129 present the winter demand savings associated with ceiling insulation for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 125 through Table 131 by a factor of 0.24.²²⁰

Table 125. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	4.25E-05	9.75E-04	8.00E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.51E-05	8.74E-04	4.53E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.37E-05	4.56E-04	2.38E-04
R-15 to R-22	4.72E-06	1.95E-04	1.01E-04

Table 126. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	3.50E-05	1.30E-03	8.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.79E-05	9.84E-04	6.60E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.45E-05	5.13E-04	3.51E-04
R-15 to R-22	6.42E-06	2.23E-04	1.52E-04

²²⁰ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 127. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	4.25E-05	1.15E-03	6.75E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.91E-05	7.71E-04	4.49E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.39E-05	4.01E-04	2.35E-04
R-15 to R-22	5.36E-06	1.74E-04	1.03E-04

Table 128. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	2.50E-05	8.25E-04	4.50E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.18E-05	6.31E-04	3.03E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.13E-05	3.28E-04	1.57E-04
R-15 to R-22	5.71E-06	1.44E-04	6.95E-05

Table 129. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	2.25E-05	5.75E-04	2.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	1.14E-05	3.72E-04	1.57E-04
R-9 to R-14	5.38E-06	1.79E-04	7.54E-05
R-15 to R-22	2.26E-06	7.41E-05	3.11E-05

Scale-Down/Up Factors for Demand Reduction: Insulation to Below or Above R-30

The factors presented in this section are to be used when the average post-retrofit insulation depth is providing more or less than R-30 insulation. Scale-down factors are provided for the case when average post-retrofit insulation depth is not sufficient to achieve R-30; scale-up factors are provided for the case when insulating to a level greater than R-30. In either case, the following equation should be applied to scale down or scale up the summer peak demand savings.

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = \left\{ R30 \frac{Savings}{ft^2} + \left[S_{D/U} \times (R_{Achieved} - 30) \right] \right\} \times A \times DAF$$

Equation 64

Where:

R30 Savings/ft² = Sum of project-appropriate deemed cooling and heating energy savings per square feet taken from Table 118 through Table 122 or Table 125 through Table 129

S_{D/U} = Project-appropriate scale-down or scale-up factor from either Table 123 and Table 124 (summer) or Table 130 and Table 131 (winter)

Note: the heating documentation adjustment should only be applied to winter peak savings calculations. If the ceiling is insulated to a level less than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale down the achieved winter peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 130. Ceiling Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.29E-07	1.21E-05	6.30E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	3.97E-07	1.40E-05	9.55E-06
Zone 3: Houston	3.05E-07	1.10E-05	6.53E-06
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.19E-07	9.18E-06	4.32E-06
Zone 5: El Paso	4.29E-07	1.21E-05	6.30E-06

If the ceiling is insulated to a level greater than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale up the achieved winter peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 131. Ceiling Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30(kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.76E-07	7.85E-06	4.19E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	2.57E-07	8.33E-06	4.80E-06
Zone 3: Houston	2.19E-07	7.33E-06	4.46E-06
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.72E-07	5.79E-06	2.72E-06
Zone 5: El Paso	2.76E-07	7.85E-06	4.19E-06

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1 (Scale-Up). A home in Climate Zone 5 with evaporative cooling and an electric resistance furnace insulates 400 square feet from a baseline of R-1 to an efficient condition of R-38.

$$\text{Cooling kWh savings per sq. ft.} = 0.21 + 7.63 \times 10^{-4} \times (38 - 30) = 0.22 \text{ kWh/sq. ft.}$$

$$\text{Heating kWh savings per sq. ft.} = 1.96 + 2.18 \times 10^{-2} \times (38 - 30) = 2.13 \text{ kWh/sq. ft.}$$

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.22 + 2.13) \times 400 = 940 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Summer kW savings per sq. ft.} &= 2.23 \times 10^{-4} + 1.89 \times 10^{-6} \times (38 - 30) \\ &= 2.38 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kW/sq. ft.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 2.38 \times 10^{-4} \times 400 = 0.10 \text{ kW}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Winter kW savings per sq. ft.} &= 5.75 \times 10^{-4} + 7.85 \times 10^{-6} \times (38 - 30) \\ &= 1.20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kW/sq. ft.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 1.20 \times 10^{-3} \times 400 = 0.48 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2 (Scale-Down). A home in Climate Zone 3 with an air-source heat pump insulates 550 square feet from a baseline of R-5 to an efficient condition of R-28.

$$\text{Cooling kWh savings per sq. ft.} = 0.46 + 5.47 \times 10^{-3} \times (28 - 30) = 0.45 \text{ kWh/sq. ft.}$$

$$\text{Heating kWh savings per sq. ft.} = 0.37 + 3.66 \times 10^{-3} \times (28 - 30) = 0.36 \text{ kWh/sq. ft.}$$

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.45 + 0.36) \times 550 = 446.4 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Summer kW savings per sq. ft.} &= 5.51 \times 10^{-4} + 7.91 \times 10^{-6} \times (28 - 30) \\ &= 5.35 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kW/sq. ft.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 5.35 \times 10^{-4} \times 550 = 0.29 \text{ kW}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Winter kW savings per sq. ft.} &= 4.49 \times 10^{-4} + 6.53 \times 10^{-6} \times (28 - 30) \\ &= 4.36 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kW/sq. ft.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 4.36 \times 10^{-4} \times 550 = 0.24 \text{ kW}$$

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

According to the GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007),²²¹ the estimated useful life is 25 years for ceiling insulation.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Base R-value of original insulation
- R-value of installed insulation
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes.
- Square footage of ceiling insulation installed above a conditioned space
- Only for homes with a reported baseline R-value that is less than R-5:
 - Two pictures: (1) a picture showing the entire attic floor, and (2) a close-up picture of a ruler that shows the measurement of the depth of the insulation.

Note: The second photo type is required for each area of insulation where there are varying R-values less than R-5. Additionally, both photo types are required for all separate attic/ceiling areas, even when the installed R-value is the same.

²²¹ GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007). http://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/8842/CEE_Eval_MeasureLifeStudyLightsandHVACGDS_1Jun2007.pdf.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 62. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41070. Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Approve Revisions to Residential and Commercial Deemed Savings Based on Climate Data Specific to El Paso, Texas. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 132. Ceiling Insulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Added detail on methodology and model characteristics.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Provided savings tables for installation of insulation up to R-38. Multiplier provided to adjust cooling side savings for homes with evaporative cooling due to lower energy usage and demand associated with evaporative coolers relative to refrigerated air conditioning. Climate Zone 2 savings values awarded for Climate Zone 5 homes with heat pumps.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided example savings calculations. Clarified that no heating demand savings are to be claimed for homes with a gas furnace.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated energy and demand savings per new prototype simulation models and introduced new protocols for baseline and post-retrofit R-values, their associated savings estimations and documentation requirements.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Added clarifying language for U-factor methodology.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated savings tables. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated savings tables for < R-5 baseline category.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

2.3.3 Attic Encapsulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-AE

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Savings are estimated for bringing the attic into conditioned space by insulating and sealing the attic walls and roofs, eliminating leakage (to outside) and removing ceiling insulation, if present, to enhance airflow between the attic and the conditioned space directly below. Savings are presented according to Insulation Improvement and Infiltration Reduction components. Participants are expected to claim the sum of component savings.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a vented, unfinished attic with some level of ceiling insulation. Ceiling insulation levels in existing construction can vary significantly, depending on the age of the home, type of insulation installed, and activity in the attic (such as using the attic for storage and HVAC equipment). Deemed savings have been developed based on different levels of encountered (existing) ceiling insulation in participating homes, ranging from sparsely insulated (< R-5) to the equivalent of about 6 inches of fiberglass batt insulation (R-22). The average ceiling insulation level prior to the retrofit for at participating homes is to be determined and documented by the contractor. Degradation due to age and density of the existing insulation should be taken into account.

Because existing ceiling insulation must be removed during measure implementation, the existing R-value will be based upon the R-value of the existing insulation prior to removal.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²²² Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²²³ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

A minimum ceiling insulation level of R-30 is recommended throughout Texas as prescribed by the Department of Energy²²⁴. Accordingly, deemed savings are provided for insulating to R-30. Adjustment factors are provided to allow contractors to estimate savings for installation of higher or lower levels of post-retrofit insulation. Contractors should estimate post-retrofit R-value according to the average insulation depth achieved across the area treated and the R per-inch of the insulation material installed.

Vents, obvious leaks, are to be sealed. Ceiling insulation between the attic and the conditioned space is removed.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

The energy and demand savings produced by the attic encapsulation measures have two components: 1) reduced heat transfer into the attic from the insulation improvement, and 2) reduced leakage of conditioned air to outside by closing off vents and sealing of leaks. Accordingly, deemed energy and demand savings are presented by their insulation and air infiltration components. Both insulation improvement component and infiltration reduction component savings should be claimed for all projects. Insulation improvement component savings shall be claimed using deemed savings derived for the ceiling insulation measure, as explained below. There are two paths for claiming infiltration reduction component savings depending on whether pre- and post-retrofit blower door testing is undertaken when implementing the attic encapsulation measure. If blower door testing is performed, savings for the infiltration reduction component can be estimated according to the Residential Air Infiltration measure (Measure 2.3.1). If blower door testing is not undertaken, savings for the Infiltration Reduction component shall be claimed as presented in the air infiltration reduction component savings presented in this measure (below).

²²² Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²²³ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

²²⁴ Department of Energy Insulation R-value recommendations for zone 2/3, <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/weatherize/insulation>.

In previous versions of the TRM, energy and demand savings for the attic encapsulation measure have been presented according to the results achieved by directly modeling the attic encapsulation measure according to the best interpretation of how the measure should be represented. The expectation is that this measure should, at a minimum, provide savings commensurate with those obtained from the installation of ceiling insulation. In general, the measure is expected to out-perform ceiling insulation. However, modeling results have not reflected this expectation due to complications accounting for reduced infiltration, resulting in lower deemed savings for the attic encapsulation measure than those estimated for ceiling insulation. To encourage implementation of the measure and begin to develop information about the outcomes, the savings presented in this measure for the insulation improvement component of the Attic Encapsulation Measure are equivalent to the ceiling insulation measure savings. After adding air infiltration reduction component savings to the insulation improvement component savings, attic encapsulation measure savings will exceed those of the ceiling insulation measure.

Insulation Component Savings

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables (Insulation Component)

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings values. Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed by modeling the ceiling insulation measure using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. For details on the derivation of these savings, refer to the Residential Ceiling Insulation Measure (Measure 2.3.2).

Deemed Energy Savings Tables (Insulation Component)

Table 134 through Table 138 present the energy savings (kWh) associated with attic encapsulation for the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types. Savings are specified per square foot of conditioned space directly below the treated attic.

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling energy savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling savings value from Table 134 through Table 140 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 134 through Table 140 by a factor of 0.24.²²⁵

²²⁵ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 133. Attic Encapsulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics

Shell characteristic	Value	Source
Base attic encapsulation	Vented attic < R5 R5-R8 R9-R14 R15-R22	Typical construction practice throughout the state
Change attic encapsulation with blower door test	Sealed attic with no ceiling insulation and R-30 roof deck insulation	R-30 retrofit insulation level consistent with DOE recommendations
Change attic encapsulation without blower door test	Sealed attic with no ceiling insulation and R-30 roof deck insulation 18 percent leakage reduction	Insulation: R-30 retrofit insulation level consistent with DOE recommendations Leakage Reduction: mean reduction achieved via attic encapsulation according to ACCA Manual J, 8 th Edition, Section 21-14 ²²⁶

Table 134. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.41	0.12	0.12	3.07	1.31
R-5 to R-8	0.28	0.08	0.08	2.16	0.92
R-9 to R-14	0.15	0.04	0.05	1.17	0.50
R-15 to R-22	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.51	0.22

Table 135. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.67	0.07	1.90	0.79
R-5 to R-8	0.46	0.05	1.34	0.55
R-9 to R-14	0.25	0.03	0.72	0.30
R-15 to R-22	0.11	0.01	0.32	0.13

²²⁶ Section 21-14 of ACCA Manual J states that, "...a foam encapsulated attic eliminates ceiling leakage to the outdoors (i.e., to a vented attic), which means that the reduction in infiltration Cfm may range from 3 to 30 percent, with an 18 percent mean, as noted above". See Air Conditioning Contractors of America. Manual J, 8th Edition Version 2.10. Nov. 2011, p. 188.

Table 136. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.68	0.05	1.30	0.53
R-5 to R-8	0.46	0.03	0.92	0.37
R-9 to R-14	0.24	0.02	0.50	0.20
R-15 to R-22	0.10	0.01	0.22	0.09

Table 137. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.52	0.03	0.89	0.34
R-5 to R-8	0.35	0.02	0.62	0.24
R-9 to R-14	0.18	0.01	0.33	0.13
R-15 to R-22	0.08	0.00	0.14	0.06

Table 138. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.63	0.21	0.07	1.96	0.81
R-5 to R-8	0.43	0.15	0.05	1.40	0.57
R-9 to R-14	0.23	0.08	0.03	0.75	0.31
R-15 to R-22	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.33	0.13

Scale-Down/Up Factors for Energy Savings: Insulation to Below or Above R-30

The factors presented in this section are to be used when the average post-retrofit insulation depth is providing either more than or less than R-30 insulation. Scale-down factors are provided for the case when average post-retrofit insulation depth is not sufficient to achieve R-30; scale-up factors are provided for the case when insulating to a level greater than R-30. In either case, the following equation should be applied to scale down or scale up the energy savings.

$$Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = \left\{ R30 \frac{Savings}{ft^2} + \left[\frac{S_{D/U}}{U} \times (R_{Achieved} - 30) \right] \right\} \times A \times DAF$$

Equation 65

Where:

R30 Savings/ft² = Sum of project-appropriate deemed Cooling and Heating Energy Savings per square feet taken from Table 134 through Table 138

S_{D/U} = Project-appropriate scale-down or scale-up factor from either Table 139 or Table 140

R_{Achieved} = Achieved R-value of installed insulation (e.g., for R-28, *R_{Achieved}* = 28)

A = Treated area (sq. ft.)

DAF = Documentation adjustment factor; set to 0.75 for residences reporting electric resistance heat with no backup documentation or set to 1.0 in all other cases

Note: This factor should only be applied to heating savings.

If the roof deck and attic walls are insulated to a level less than R-30, the factors in Table 139 shall be applied to scale down the achieved energy savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 139. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Scale-down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.00E-03	1.16E-03	1.27E-03	3.26E-02	1.38E-02
Zone 2: Dallas	6.66E-03	–	7.11E-04	2.00E-02	8.20E-03
Zone 3: Houston	6.22E-03	–	4.67E-04	1.38E-02	5.47E-03
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	4.92E-03	–	2.44E-04	9.04E-03	3.47E-03
Zone 5: El Paso	4.00E-03	1.16E-03	1.27E-03	3.26E-02	1.38E-02

If the roof deck and attic walls are insulated to a level greater than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale up the achieved energy savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 140. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Scale-up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.66E-03	7.63E-04	8.45E-04	2.18E-02	9.18E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	4.45E-03	–	4.82E-04	1.33E-02	5.47E-03
Zone 3: Houston	4.00E-03	–	2.97E-04	9.19E-03	3.66E-03
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.24E-03	–	1.62E-04	5.99E-03	2.30E-03
Zone 5: El Paso	2.66E-03	7.63E-04	8.45E-04	2.18E-02	9.18E-03

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 141 through Table 145 present the summer demand savings (kW/sq. ft.) associated with the Insulation Improvement component of the Attic Encapsulation Measure for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in the refrigerated air column in Table 141 through Table 147 by a factor of 0.6.

Table 141. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Refrigerated	Evaporative
< R-5	8.00E-04	2.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	4.50E-04	1.47E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.33E-04	7.16E-05
R-15 to R-22	1.02E-04	2.87E-05

Table 142. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Demand savings
< R-5	9.00E-04
R-5 to R-8	5.17E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.67E-04
R-15 to R-22	1.15E-04

Table 143. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Demand savings
< R-5	6.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	5.51E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.87E-04
R-15 to R-22	1.22E-04

Table 144. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Demand savings
< R-5	4.75E-04
R-5 to R-8	3.40E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.79E-04
R-15 to R-22	7.95E-05

Table 145. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Summer Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Refrigerated	Evaporative
< R-5	8.00E-04	2.23E-04
R-5 to R-8	4.72E-04	1.53E-04
R-9 to R-14	2.38E-04	6.25E-05
R-15 to R-22	1.03E-04	2.09E-05

Scale-Down/Up Factors: Insulation to Below or Above R-30

If the roof deck and attic walls are insulated to a level less than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale down the achieved summer peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 146. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	6.41E-06	1.97E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	7.30E-06	—
Zone 3: Houston	7.91E-06	—
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	5.20E-06	—
Zone 5: El Paso	6.41E-06	1.97E-06

If the roof deck and attic walls are insulated to a level greater than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale up the achieved summer peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 147. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.22E-06	1.89E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	4.92E-06	–
Zone 3: Houston	5.92E-06	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.47E-06	–
Zone 5: El Paso	4.22E-06	1.89E-06

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 148 through Table 152 present the winter demand savings associated with the Insulation Improvement component of the Attic Encapsulation Measure for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 148 through Table 154 by a factor of 0.24.²²⁷

Table 148. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	4.25E-05	9.75E-04	8.00E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.51E-05	8.74E-04	4.53E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.37E-05	4.56E-04	2.38E-04
R-15 to R-22	4.72E-06	1.95E-04	1.01E-04

Table 149. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	3.50E-05	1.30E-03	8.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.79E-05	9.84E-04	6.60E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.45E-05	5.13E-04	3.51E-04
R-15 to R-22	6.42E-06	2.23E-04	1.52E-04

²²⁷ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 150. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	4.25E-05	1.15E-03	6.75E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.91E-05	7.71E-04	4.49E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.39E-05	4.01E-04	2.35E-04
R-15 to R-22	5.36E-06	1.74E-04	1.03E-04

Table 151. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	2.50E-05	8.25E-04	4.50E-04
R-5 to R-8	2.18E-05	6.31E-04	3.03E-04
R-9 to R-14	1.13E-05	3.28E-04	1.57E-04
R-15 to R-22	5.71E-06	1.44E-04	6.95E-05

Table 152. Attic Encapsulation—Zone 5: El Paso, R-30 Winter Peak Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation base R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	2.25E-05	5.75E-04	2.25E-04
R-5 to R-8	1.14E-05	3.72E-04	1.57E-04
R-9 to R-14	5.38E-06	1.79E-04	7.54E-05
R-15 to R-22	2.26E-06	7.41E-05	3.11E-05

Scale-Down/Up Factors for Demand Reduction: Insulation to Below or Above R-30

The factors presented in this section are to be used when the average post-retrofit insulation depth is providing more or less than R-30 insulation. Scale-down factors are provided for the case when average post-retrofit insulation depth is not sufficient to achieve R-30; scale-up factors are provided for the case when insulating to a level greater than R-30. In either case, the following equation should be applied to scale down or scale up the summer peak demand savings.

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = \left\{ R30 \frac{Savings}{ft^2} + \left[S_D \times (R_{Achieved} - 30) \right] \right\} \times A \times DAF$$

Equation 66

Where:

$R_{30} \text{ Savings}/\text{ft}^2$ = Sum of project-appropriate deemed Cooling and Heating Energy Savings per square feet taken from Table 141 through Table 145 or Table 148 through Table 152

$S_{D/U}$ = Project-appropriate scale-down or scale-up factor from either Table 146 and Table 147 (summer) or Table 153 and Table 154 (winter)

Note: the heating documentation adjustment should only be applied to winter peak savings calculations.

If the roof deck and attic walls are insulated to a level less than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale down the achieved winter peak demand savings (per square foot of treated ceiling area).

Table 153. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-down Factors for Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	4.29E-07	1.21E-05	6.30E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	3.97E-07	1.40E-05	9.55E-06
Zone 3: Houston	3.05E-07	1.10E-05	6.53E-06
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.19E-07	9.18E-06	4.32E-06
Zone 5: El Paso	4.29E-07	1.21E-05	6.30E-06

If the roof deck/attic walls are insulated to a level greater than R-30, the following factors shall be applied to scale up the achieved winter peak demand savings per square foot of treated ceiling area.

Table 154. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-up Factors for Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.76E-07	7.85E-06	4.19E-06
Zone 2: Dallas	2.57E-07	8.33E-06	4.80E-06
Zone 3: Houston	2.19E-07	7.33E-06	4.46E-06
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.72E-07	5.79E-06	2.72E-06
Zone 5: El Paso	2.76E-07	7.85E-06	4.19E-06

Air Infiltration Reduction Component Savings

Energy and demand savings for the air infiltration reduction component of the attic encapsulation measure are calculated either using the results of pre- and post-retrofit blower door testing or an average percent infiltration reduction. Regardless of how air infiltration reduction component savings are calculated, they should be added to the insulation improvement component savings to arrive at the total energy and demand savings for implementing the Attic Encapsulation measure.

Homes without refrigerated cooling should not claim air infiltration reduction component savings for attic encapsulation.

With Blower Door Testing

Implementers choosing to perform pre- and post-measure blower door testing should claim the air infiltration reduction component deemed energy and demand savings for the Attic Encapsulation measure using the estimated CFM₅₀ reduction from the blower door tests with the equations and coefficients in the Residential Infiltration measure (Measure 2.3.1).

Without Blower Door Testing

Implementers electing not to perform blower door testing when performing this measure shall claim air infiltration reduction component deemed energy and demand savings for the Attic Encapsulation measure using this section, which presents the annual energy (kWh) and summer and winter demand savings (kW) associated with attic encapsulation for the five Texas climate zones, taking into account a mean leakage reduction of 18 percent.²²⁸ Savings are presented per home.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables (Infiltration Reduction Component)

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop air infiltration reduction deemed savings, which are expressed in Measure 2.3.1 as linear functions of the leakage reduction achieved (in CFM₅₀).²²⁹ For details on the derivation of the air infiltration measure savings, refer to the Residential Air Infiltration measure (Measure 2.3.1).

²²⁸ Section 21-14 of ACCA Manual J states that, "...a foam encapsulated attic eliminates ceiling leakage to the outdoors (i.e., to a vented attic), which means that the reduction in infiltration CFM may range from 3 to 30 percent, with an 18 percent mean, as noted above". See Air Conditioning Contractors of America. Manual J, 8th Edition Version 2.10. Nov. 2011, p. 188.

²²⁹ Model testing indicates a straight-line relationship between demand and energy savings achieved and CFM₅₀ reductions is appropriate with beginning and ending leakage rates within the ranges permitted by the measure.

ACCA Manual J provides an average leakage reduction attributable to attic encapsulation projects of 18 percent.²³⁰ Accordingly, deemed savings attributable to the air infiltration reduction component of an attic encapsulation project implemented without pre- and post-implementation blower door testing are estimated by applying an 18 percent leakage reduction to the infiltration rates embedded in the deemed savings prototype model homes used in the derivation of residential envelope measure deemed savings for the Texas TRM. This 18 percent leakage reduction provides the CFM₅₀ reduction input required to estimate air infiltration measure deemed savings with the equations in Measure 2.3.1.

Table 155. Attic Encapsulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics

Shell characteristic	CFM ₅₀ reduction	Source
Air infiltration reduction from attic encapsulation (without blower door testing)	18 percent reduction	Mean reduction achieved via attic encapsulation according to ACCA Manual J, 8 th Edition, Section 21-14 ²³¹

Deemed Energy Savings Tables (Infiltration Reduction Component)

Annual energy savings are provided by the space heating equipment type combined with refrigerated cooling. Savings are specified per home based on a deemed 18 percent infiltration reduction. Homes without refrigerated cooling are not eligible to claim these savings.

Table 156. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Savings for Infiltration Reduction Component, 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kWh/home)

Climate zone	Heating type		
	Gas/ no heat	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	135	875	386
Zone 2: Dallas	209	600	316
Zone 3: Houston	162	470	260
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	180	412	263
Zone 5: El Paso	64	525	227

²³⁰ Air Conditioning Contractors of America. Manual J, 8th Edition Version 2.10. Nov. 2011, p. 188.

²³¹ Section 21-14 of ACCA Manual J states that, "...a foam encapsulated attic eliminates ceiling leakage to the outdoors (i.e., to a vented attic), which means that the reduction in infiltration Cfm may range from 3 to 30 percent, with an 18 percent mean, as noted above". See Air Conditioning Contractors of America. Manual J, 8th Edition Version 2.10. Nov. 2011, p. 188.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables (Infiltration Reduction Component)

Summer demand savings are specified per home based on a deemed 18 percent infiltration reduction. Homes without refrigerated cooling are not eligible to claim these savings.

Table 157. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings for Infiltration Reduction Component, 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kW/home)

Climate zone	Cooling type	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.088	–
Zone 2: Dallas	0.117	–
Zone 3: Houston	0.117	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.098	–
Zone 5: El Paso	0.056	–

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables (Infiltration Reduction Component)

Winter demand savings are provided by space heating equipment types. Savings are specified per home based on a deemed 18 percent infiltration reduction.

Table 158. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings for the Infiltration Reduction Component, 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kW/home)

Climate zone	Heating type		
	Gas/ no heat	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	–	0.404	0.235
Zone 2: Dallas	–	0.548	0.304
Zone 3: Houston	–	0.476	0.244
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	–	0.342	0.158
Zone 5: El Paso	–	0.161	0.066

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A contractor seals the attic and adds R-38 insulation to the underside of the roof to a home with 900 square feet of conditioned space below the treated attic in Climate Zone 3 with refrigerated air and a gas furnace, which has existing ceiling insulation estimated at R-7. No blower door testing is performed.

Insulation component savings:

$$\text{Energy Savings/ft}^2, \text{Insulation to R} - 30 = 0.46 + 0.03 = 0.49 \text{ kWh/ft}^2$$

$$\text{Energy Savings, Insulation to R} - 38 =$$

$$\{0.49 + [(4 \times 10^{-3} + 2.97 \times 10^{-4}) \times (38 - 30)]\} \times 900 = 472 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings, Insulation to R} - 38 =$$

$$\{5.51 \times 10^{-4} + [5.92 \times 10^{-6} \times (38 - 30)]\} \times 900 = 0.54 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings, Insulation to R} - 38 =$$

$$\{2.91 \times 10^{-5} + [2.19 \times 10^{-7} \times (38 - 30)]\} \times 900 = 0.03 \text{ kW}$$

Infiltration reduction component savings:

$$\text{Energy Savings, 18\% Infiltration Reduction} = 162 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings, 18\% Infiltration Reduction} = 0.12 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings, 18\% Infiltration Reduction} = 0$$

Measure savings:

$$\text{Energy Savings} = 472 + 162 = 634 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 0.54 + 0.12 = 0.66 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 0.03 + 0 = 0.03 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. A contractor seals the attic and adds R-30 insulation to the underside of the roof to a home with 1,200 square feet of conditioned space below the treated attic in Climate Zone 4 with an air-source heat pump in which existing ceiling insulation is demonstrated to be R-9. Blower door testing performed before and after measure implementation demonstrated a 750 CFM₅₀ reduction in leakage rate.

Insulation component savings:

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.18 + 0.13) \times 1,200 = 372 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = (1.79 \times 10^{-4}) \times 1,200 = 0.21 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = (1.57 \times 10^{-4}) \times 1,200 = 0.19 \text{ kW}$$

Infiltration reduction component savings:

$$\text{Energy Savings, 750 CFM}_{50} \text{ Infiltration Reduction} = 750 \times (0.39 + 0.21) = 450 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings, 750 CFM}_{50} \text{ Infiltration Reduction} = 750 \times 2.24 \times 10^{-4} = 0.17 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings, 750 CFM}_{50} \text{ Infiltration Reduction} = 750 \times 3.60 \times 10^{-4} = 0.27 \text{ kW}$$

Measure savings:

$$\text{Energy Savings} = 372 + 450 = 822 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 0.21 + 0.17 = 0.38 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 0.19 + 0.27 = 0.46 \text{ kW}$$

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

According to the GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007),²³² the Estimated Useful Life is 25 years for ceiling insulation. The measure life specified for ceiling insulation is also appropriate for attic encapsulation.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Base R-value of original insulation
- R-value of installed insulation
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)

²³² GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007). http://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/8842/CEE_Eval_MeasureLifeStudyLightsandHVACGDS_1Jun2007.pdf.

- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Square footage of conditioned space directly below the treated attic
- Indicate whether blower door testing was performed and whether air infiltration reduction component savings are claimed in this measure or separately using the Air Infiltration measure
- Only for homes with a reported baseline R-value that is less than R-5:
 - Two pictures: (1) a picture showing the entire attic floor, and (2) a close-up picture of a ruler that shows the measurement of the depth of the insulation

Note: The second photo type is required for each area of insulation where there are varying R-values less than R-5. Additionally, both photo types are required for all separate attic/ceiling areas, even when the installed R-value is the same.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- 10/2017

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 159. Attic Encapsulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 origin.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Incorporated alternative savings path that includes savings for infiltration reduction.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Removed closed cell recommendation.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Incorporated EM&V guidance memo.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated savings tables. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated savings tables for < R-5 baseline category.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor. Updated rounding for infiltration component energy savings.

2.3.4 Wall Insulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-WI

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Wall insulation is added to the walls surrounding conditioned space in existing homes, either by removing wall enclosures and applying batt or spray insulation or by otherwise filling (e.g., blowing in loose insulation) the cavity space between studs in the walls of existing homes. Walls may be either 2x4 or 2x6 construction. Savings are estimated for filling the wall cavities of 2x4 or 2x6 walls with fiberglass batts, cellulose, or closed-cell spray foam and are presented per square foot of treated wall area (gross wall area less window and door area).

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes, or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Refer to the Baseline Condition section below for eligibility criteria regarding the pre-retrofit level of wall insulation.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is a house with little or no wall insulation in the wall cavity. For those homes for which a minimal level of insulation is encountered, the baseline is established at R-4. This baseline should be used to represent homes for which installed insulation covers a very limited amount of the wall area to be treated, is significantly degraded, and/or is less than an inch thick. Homes with more than this base level of insulation are not eligible for the measure.

Baseline homes may have either 2x4 or 2x6 construction.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²³³ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²³⁴ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

The standard throughout Texas for adding wall insulation to an existing wall cavity is R-13, as prescribed by the United States Department of Energy (DOE) and Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA) programs. The standard is achieved by filling a 2x4 wall cavity with fiberglass batt or cellulose insulation, which typically provides an R-value per inch (thickness) of between 3 and 4 ft² · °F · hr/Btu. Other wall insulation materials may be used, such as closed-cell spray foam, which approximately provides R-6 per inch.

As such, deemed savings are provided for insulating 2x4 and 2x6 walls to the levels presented in Table 160.

Table 160. Wall Insulation—High-Efficiency Condition R-Values for 2x4 and 2x6 Walls

Insulation material	2x4 wall	2x6 wall
Fiberglass batt or cellulose	R-13	R-17
Closed-cell spray foam	R-21	R-33

Wall insulation reduces the ventilation rate in the home, and therefore, a post-installation blower door test must be conducted. Results must comply with the minimum final ventilation rate discussed in the High-Efficiency Condition section found in the Air Infiltration section of this document. This requirement applies to retrofits implemented under the HTR and RSOP programs.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings values. Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone were modified as follows: the default R-11 insulation was reduced to either R-0 or R-4.

The model runs calculated energy use for the prototypical home prior to the installation of the wall insulation measure. Next, change-case models were run to calculate energy use with the wall insulation measure in place.

²³³ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²³⁴ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

Table 161. Wall Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics

Shell characteristic	Value	Source
Base wall insulation	R-0 R-4	BEopt estimates wall assembly R-value for uninsulated walls to be 3.6 for 2x4 construction and 3.7 for 2x6 construction. Assembly R-values for R-4 walls are 6.7 and 7.1 for 2x4 and 2x6 construction, respectively. Listed base levels are for the insulation material only.
Change wall insulation 2x4 wall	R-13 R-21	For retrofit with fiberglass batt/cellulose and closed-cell spray foam, respectively.
Change wall insulation 2x6 wall	R-17 R-33	EF or retrofit with fiberglass batt/cellulose and closed-cell spray foam, respectively.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Savings are presented separately for insulating 2x4 wall construction and homes with 2x6 walls. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 162 through Table 165 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 162 through Table 165 by a factor of 0.24.²³⁵

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed heating energy savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

2x4 Walls

Table 162 presents the deemed energy savings values for insulating 2x4 walls to R-13 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 162. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R- 13

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	0.50	0.17	0.18	3.96	1.67
Zone 2: Dallas		0.85	–	0.09	2.44	0.99
Zone 3: Houston		0.90	–	0.07	1.67	0.66

²³⁵ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	R-4	0.53	–	0.04	1.19	0.45
Zone 5: El Paso		0.76	0.29	0.09	2.40	0.98
Zone 1: Amarillo		0.18	0.06	0.07	1.52	0.64
Zone 2: Dallas		0.32	–	0.04	0.93	0.38
Zone 3: Houston		0.33	–	0.03	0.64	0.25
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.19	–	0.01	0.45	0.17
Zone 5: El Paso		0.28	0.11	0.03	0.92	0.37

Table 163 presents the deemed energy savings values for insulating 2x4 walls to R-21 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 163. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	0.56	0.18	0.20	4.44	1.87
Zone 2: Dallas		0.95	–	0.10	2.73	1.11
Zone 3: Houston		1.01	–	0.08	1.88	0.74
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.59	–	0.04	1.33	0.50
Zone 5: El Paso		0.85	0.33	0.10	2.69	1.09
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	0.24	0.08	0.09	2.00	0.84
Zone 2: Dallas		0.42	–	0.05	1.23	0.50
Zone 3: Houston		0.43	–	0.03	0.84	0.33
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.26	–	0.02	0.59	0.22
Zone 5: El Paso		0.37	0.14	0.05	1.20	0.49

2x6 Walls

Table 164 presents the deemed energy savings values for insulating 2x6 walls to R-17 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 164. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling savings		Heating Savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	0.53	0.18	0.19	4.27	1.80
Zone 2: Dallas		0.91	–	0.10	2.63	1.07

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling savings		Heating Savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 3: Houston	R-4	0.97	–	0.08	1.81	0.71
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.56	–	0.04	1.27	0.48
Zone 5: El Paso		0.81	0.31	0.10	2.58	1.05
Zone 1: Amarillo		0.22	0.07	0.08	1.81	0.76
Zone 2: Dallas		0.38	–	0.04	1.11	0.45
Zone 3: Houston		0.39	–	0.03	0.76	0.30
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.23	–	0.02	0.53	0.20
Zone 5: El Paso		0.33	0.13	0.04	1.08	0.44

Table 165 presents the deemed energy savings values for insulating 2x6 walls to R-33 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 165. Wall Insulation—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	0.59	0.20	0.22	4.79	2.01
Zone 2: Dallas		1.01	–	0.11	2.94	1.20
Zone 3: Houston		1.07	–	0.09	2.02	0.80
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.62	–	0.04	1.42	0.54
Zone 5: El Paso		0.90	0.35	0.11	2.88	1.17
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	0.28	0.09	0.11	2.33	0.98
Zone 2: Dallas		0.48	–	0.05	1.42	0.58
Zone 3: Houston		0.49	–	0.04	0.98	0.38
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.29	–	0.02	0.67	0.25
Zone 5: El Paso		0.42	0.16	0.05	1.38	0.56

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 166 through Table 169 by a factor of 0.6.

2x4 Walls

Table 166 presents the deemed summer demand savings values for insulating 2x4 walls to R-13 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 166. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-13

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling type	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	6.41E-04	2.40E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		7.32E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		8.50E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		4.17E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		6.52E-04	2.00E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	2.35E-04	9.16E-05
Zone 2: Dallas		2.70E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		3.02E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		1.55E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		2.43E-04	7.40E-05

Table 167 presents the deemed summer demand savings values for insulating 2x4 walls to R-13 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 167. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21 (kW/sq. ft.)

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling type	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	7.34E-04	2.66E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		8.16E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		9.55E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		4.69E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		7.32E-04	2.23E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	3.29E-04	1.18E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		3.55E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		4.08E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		2.07E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		3.24E-04	9.68E-05

2x6 Walls

Table 168 presents the deemed summer demand savings values for insulating 2x6 walls to R-17 for all five Texas climate zones.

**Table 168. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.),
Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17**

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling type	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	8.00E-04	2.59E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		7.87E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		9.20E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		4.56E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		8.06E-04	2.14E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	2.88E-04	1.06E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		3.19E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		3.67E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		1.88E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		2.91E-04	8.44E-05

Table 169 presents the deemed summer demand savings values for insulating 2x6 walls to R-33 for all five Texas climate zones.

**Table 169. Wall Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.),
Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33**

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Cooling type	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	7.76E-04	2.83E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		8.77E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		1.02E-03	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		5.08E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		7.80E-04	2.38E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	3.64E-04	1.30E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		4.09E-04	–
Zone 3: Houston		4.64E-04	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		2.40E-04	–
Zone 5: El Paso		3.65E-04	1.08E-04

Deemed Winter Demand Savings

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 170 through Table 173 by a factor of 0.24.²³⁶

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed winter peak demand savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

2x4 Walls

Table 170 presents the deemed winter demand savings values for insulating 2x4 walls to R-13 for all five Texas climate zones.

**Table 170. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.),
Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-13**

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	6.93E-05	1.71E-03	8.78E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		6.66E-05	1.96E-03	1.30E-03
Zone 3: Houston		7.49E-05	1.48E-03	8.39E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		4.28E-05	1.22E-03	5.78E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		2.06E-05	6.78E-04	2.84E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	2.58E-05	6.20E-04	3.19E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		2.46E-05	7.32E-04	4.94E-04
Zone 3: Houston		2.61E-05	5.50E-04	3.20E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		1.61E-05	4.51E-04	2.13E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		6.23E-06	2.23E-04	9.39E-05

Table 171 presents the deemed winter demand savings values for insulating 2x4 walls to R-21 for all five Texas climate zones.

²³⁶ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

**Table 171. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.),
Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21**

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	7.69E-05	1.89E-03	9.75E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		7.41E-05	2.18E-03	1.46E-03
Zone 3: Houston		8.19E-05	1.65E-03	9.40E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		4.78E-05	1.36E-03	6.41E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		2.24E-05	7.37E-04	3.10E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	3.34E-05	8.06E-04	4.16E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		3.20E-05	9.57E-04	6.50E-04
Zone 3: Houston		3.31E-05	7.19E-04	4.21E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		2.11E-05	5.88E-04	2.77E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		8.01E-06	2.83E-04	1.20E-04

2x6 Walls

Table 172 presents the deemed winter demand savings values for insulating 2x6 walls to R-17 for all five Texas climate zones.

**Table 172. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.),
Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17**

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	6.99E-05	1.76E-03	9.09E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		7.01E-05	2.07E-03	1.40E-03
Zone 3: Houston		7.86E-05	1.57E-03	9.10E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		4.58E-05	1.29E-03	6.08E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		1.84E-05	6.24E-04	2.64E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	2.68E-05	6.93E-04	3.58E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		2.84E-05	8.49E-04	5.84E-04
Zone 3: Houston		2.96E-05	6.40E-04	3.82E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		1.90E-05	5.19E-04	2.41E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		5.59E-06	2.06E-04	8.81E-05

Table 173 presents the deemed winter demand savings values for insulating 2x6 walls to R-33 for all five Texas climate zones.

Table 173. Wall Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33

Climate zone	Baseline R-value	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	Uninsulated	7.66E-05	1.95E-03	1.00E-03
Zone 2: Dallas		7.77E-05	2.31E-03	1.56E-03
Zone 3: Houston		8.62E-05	1.75E-03	1.02E-03
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		5.11E-05	1.43E-03	6.73E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		1.96E-05	6.66E-04	2.82E-04
Zone 1: Amarillo	R-4	3.35E-05	8.76E-04	4.53E-04
Zone 2: Dallas		3.60E-05	1.08E-03	7.44E-04
Zone 3: Houston		3.72E-05	8.17E-04	4.92E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		2.43E-05	6.59E-04	3.06E-04
Zone 5: El Paso		6.87E-06	2.48E-04	1.06E-04

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A home with uninsulated 2x4 walls in Climate Zone 1 with evaporative cooling and an electric resistance furnace insulates 750 square feet to R-13 with fiberglass batt insulation.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.17 + 3.96) \times 750 = 3,091.5 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 2.40 \times 10^{-4} \times 750 = 0.18 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 1.71 \times 10^{-3} \times 750 = 1.28 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. A home in Climate Zone 4 with uninsulated 2x6 walls with a central air conditioning unit and a gas furnace insulates 500 square feet to R-21 with closed-cell spray foam.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.56 + 0.04) \times 500 = 300.0 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 4.56 \times 10^{-4} \times 500 = 0.23 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 4.58 \times 10^{-5} \times 500 = 0.02 \text{ kW}$$

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

According to the GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007), the Estimated Useful Life is 25 years for wall insulation.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Square footage of retrofitted wall area (gross wall area excluding window and door area)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 58. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 22241, Item 62. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41070. Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Approve Revisions to Residential and Commercial Deemed Savings Based on Climate Data Specific to El Paso, Texas. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 174. Wall Insulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Added detail on methodology and model characteristics.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Multiplier provided to adjust cooling side savings for homes with evaporative cooling due to lower energy usage and demand associated with evaporative coolers relative to refrigerated air. Climate Zone 2 savings values awarded for Climate Zone 5 homes with heat pumps.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided example savings calculations.
v4.0	8/31/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated energy and demand savings per new prototype energy simulation models. Added separate savings for 2x4 and 2x6 wall framing and for homes with central AC versus evaporative cooling. Added a two-tier baseline definition of R-0 and R-4.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Make an explicit allowance for cellulose insulation.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revision.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

2.3.5 Floor Insulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-FI

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Floor insulation is installed on the underside of floor areas sitting below conditioned space. Typically, it is installed in ventilated crawlspaces. Savings are presented per square foot of treated floor area.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes, or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. Homes must be centrally heated with either an electric resistance furnace or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Homes with gas heating are disqualified for adding floor insulation since this may result in an energy penalty due to floors not getting cooled from the ground during summer.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is a house with pier and beam construction and no floor insulation against the floor of the conditioned area.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²³⁷ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²³⁸ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

A floor insulation level of R-19 is recommended for site-built homes throughout Texas as prescribed by DOE and Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA) programs. Batt insulation is recommended in most cases and must have the vapor barrier installed facing up and against the floor or conditioned area. Insulation should be attached or secured so that it can reasonably be expected to remain in place for at least 10 years.

Typical floor construction depth of manufactured homes usually does not allow R-19 batt to be installed within the floor joists, so R-15 loose-fill insulation is recommended by TDHCA.

A minimum of 24-inch clearance from the bottom of the insulation to the ground is required by the Occupational Safety and Health Association (OSHA).

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings values.

Savings values for the deemed savings estimates for this measure were developed using demand and energy savings calculated using BEopt 2.6, running Energy Plus 8.1 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone were modified as follows: slab foundation was replaced with a crawlspace. A 5/8" thick wood floor is also specified.

The model runs calculated energy use for the prototypical home prior to the installation of the floor insulation measure. Next, change-case models were run to calculate energy use with the floor insulation measure in place.

²³⁷ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²³⁸ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

Table 175. Floor Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics

Shell characteristic	Value	Source
Foundation	Crawlspace	Skirting around the perimeter is assumed uninsulated and vented. The ground under the home is assumed to be bare, without any type of moisture barrier.
Base Floor Insulation	R-3.1	BEopt default for floor assembly, assuming 5/8" thick hardwood floor without carpet or another type of covering.
Change Floor Insulation	R-19 (except for manufactured housing, R-15)	Efficiency measure - retrofit insulation level as required by DOE and Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs programs in Texas. Due to the typical floor joists depths found in manufactured housing, TDHCA recommends R-15 loose-fill insulation for manufactured housing and other non-site-built homes.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 176 through Table 180 present energy savings on a kWh per square foot of insulation installed basis for all five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 176 through Table 180 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 176 through Table 180 by a factor of 0.24.²³⁹

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed heating energy savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

Table 176. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Home type	Cooling savings		Heating savings	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	-0.13	-0.07	1.72	0.68
Manufactured home	-0.11	-0.06	1.52	0.60

²³⁹ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 177. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Home type	Cooling savings		Heating savings	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	-0.12	-	0.96	0.38
Manufactured home	-0.10	-	0.85	0.33

Table 178. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Home type	Cooling savings		Heating savings	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	-0.12	-	0.63	0.24
Manufactured home	-0.10	-	0.56	0.21

Table 179. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Home type	Cooling savings		Heating savings	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	-0.07	-	0.40	0.15
Manufactured home	-0.06	-	0.35	0.13

Table 180. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Home type	Cooling savings		Heating savings	
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	-0.16	-0.07	1.10	0.43
Manufactured home	-0.13	-0.06	0.97	0.38

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 181 through Table 185 present the deemed summer demand savings (kW) for all five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 181 through Table 185 by a factor of 0.6.

Table 181. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Site-built home	6.17E-06	-1.52E-05
Manufactured home	5.48E-06	-1.30E-05

Table 182. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Site-built home	3.10E-05	–
Manufactured home	2.75E-05	–

Table 183. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Site-built home	3.36E-05	–
Manufactured home	2.77E-05	–

Table 184. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Site-built home	3.58E-05	–
Manufactured home	3.07E-05	–

Table 185. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Site-built home	6.29E-06	-1.34E-06
Manufactured home	8.30E-07	1.85E-07

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 186 through Table 190 present the deemed winter demand savings for all five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 186 through Table 190 by a factor of 0.24.²⁴⁰

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed winter peak demand savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

²⁴⁰ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 186. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	5.23E-04	2.55E-04
Manufactured home	4.62E-04	2.25E-04

Table 187. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	5.19E-04	2.88E-04
Manufactured home	4.56E-04	2.50E-04

Table 188. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	4.22E-04	2.03E-04
Manufactured home	3.64E-04	1.74E-04

Table 189. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	3.51E-04	1.53E-04
Manufactured home	3.02E-04	1.31E-04

Table 190. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Home type	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Site-built home	3.54E-04	1.44E-04
Manufactured home	3.19E-04	1.30E-04

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A manufactured home in Climate Zone 5 with evaporative cooling and an electric resistance furnace insulates 500 square feet.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (-0.06 + 0.97) \times 500 = 455.0 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 1.85 \times 10^{-7} \times 500 = 0.00 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 3.19 \times 10^{-4} \times 500 = 0.16 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. A site-built home in Climate Zone 2 with an air-source heat pump insulates 825 square feet.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (-0.12 + 0.38) \times 825 = 214.5 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 3.10 \times 10^{-5} \times 825 = 0.03 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 2.88 \times 10^{-4} \times 825 = 0.24 \text{ kW}$$

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

According to the GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007), the Estimated Useful Life is 25 years for floor insulation.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are: The climate zone

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Home type (site built or manufactured)
- Square footage of installed insulation

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 62. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41070. Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Approve Revisions to Residential and Commercial Deemed Savings Based on Climate Data Specific to El Paso, Texas. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 191. Floor Insulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of Change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Added detail on methodology and model characteristics.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Multiplier provided to adjust cooling side savings for homes with evaporative cooling due to lower energy usage and demand associated with evaporative coolers relative to refrigerated air. Climate Zone 2 savings values awarded for Climate Zone 5 homes with heat pumps.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided example savings calculations.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated energy and demand savings per new prototype energy simulation models. Added separate savings for homes with evaporative cooling. Disqualified homes with gas heating for adding floor insulation.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Added an explicit reference to mini-split technology.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revision.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

2.3.6 Duct Insulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-DI

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

This measure consists of the installation of duct insulation with a minimum R value of 5.6 or 8.0 to uninsulated metal supply and return ductwork, located in unconditioned space that previously had no existing insulation. This measure applies to residential retrofit applications.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heating are not eligible to claim heating savings.

Baseline Condition

The baseline for this measure is uninsulated sheet metal ducts or insulated metal ducts in which the insulation has failed. Failed insulation is insulation which has non-repairable tears to the vapor barrier or exhibits gaps with exposed metal between the insulation. Flex ducts and fiber board ducts are not eligible for this measure. The ducts must be located in unconditioned spaces, such as attics or crawl spaces. Old ductwork insulation must be removed prior to installation of new duct wrap insulation.

Unconditioned space is defined as a space which is neither directly nor indirectly conditioned and is isolated from conditioned space by partitions, such as walls and/or closeable doors and ceilings. The two specified locations available for claimed savings are attics and crawl spaces.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition for this measure requires that ducts must be insulated with duct wrap to an R-value of 5.6 or 8.0.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

This measure references deemed savings from the Arkansas Technical Reference Manual (TRM) v9.1 where calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings.²⁴¹ Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt, running EnergyPlus as the underlying simulation engine. Since duct insulation savings are sensitive to weather, savings were modeled using typical meteorological year (TMY) 3 weather data.

Arkansas savings were mapped to Texas climate zones by comparing cooling and heating degree days developed using TMY data. Since TMY3 data is no longer accessible through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Viewer, degree days were compared using TMY 2020 weather data.²⁴²

Degree day ratios were derived by dividing Texas cooling and heating degree days by the closest degree day match among Arkansas climate zones. These ratios were multiplied against corresponding Arkansas TRM deemed savings yielding savings values adjusted for Texas climate. The resulting ratios are specified in Table 192.

Table 192. Duct Insulation—Cooling and Heating Adjustment Factors (AF)²⁴³

Climate zone	Cooling AF	Heating AF
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.95	1.02
Zone 2: Dallas	1.06	0.88
Zone 3: Houston	1.12	0.56
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.44	0.27
Zone 5: El Paso	0.99	0.99

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 193 through Table 197 present the energy savings (kWh) in the five Texas climate zones per square foot of exposed metal duct area located in an unconditioned attic or crawl space. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed heating energy savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

²⁴¹ [Arkansas Public Service Commission. Arkansas TRM v9.1](#)

²⁴² NSRDB Viewer: <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/>.

²⁴³ These adjustment factors were multiplied against respective cooling and heating savings from the Arkansas TRM v9.1 Duct Insulation measure. The cooling factor for Amarillo was applied against Arkansas Climate Zone 8 (Fort Smith), and the heating factor for Amarillo was applied against Arkansas Climate Zone 9 (Fayetteville). Factors for all remaining Texas climate zones were applied against savings for Arkansas Climate Zone 6 (El Dorado).

Table 193. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Refrigerated cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Attic to R-8	0.093	–	0.354	0.347
Attic to R-5.6	0.048	–	0.182	0.177
Crawl space to R-8	0.064	–	0.352	0.338
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.032	–	0.180	0.173

Table 194. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Refrigerated cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Attic to R-8	0.132	–	0.224	0.198
Attic to R-5.6	0.068	–	0.114	0.102
Crawl space to R-8	0.086	–	0.252	0.209
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.043	–	0.129	0.108

Table 195. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Refrigerated cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Attic to R-8	0.139	–	0.142	0.125
Attic to R-5.6	0.071	–	0.072	0.064
Crawl space to R-8	0.090	–	0.159	0.132
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.046	–	0.082	0.068

Table 196. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy (kWh/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Refrigerated cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Attic to R-8	0.180	–	0.068	0.060
Attic to R-5.6	0.092	–	0.035	0.031
Crawl space to R-8	0.117	–	0.077	0.064
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.059	–	0.039	0.033

Table 197. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Refrigerated cooling savings	Heating savings		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Attic to R-8	0.123	–	0.253	0.223
Attic to R-5.6	0.063	–	0.129	0.115
Crawl space to R-8	0.080	–	0.285	0.236
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.040	–	0.146	0.122

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 198 through Table 202 present the summer demand savings (kW) in the five Texas climate zones per square foot of exposed metal duct area located in an unconditioned attic or crawl space.

Table 198. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
Attic to R-8	0.00016
Attic to R-5.6	0.00009
Crawl space to R-8	0.00004
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.00002

Table 199. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
Attic to R-8	0.00020
Attic to R-5.6	0.00011
Crawl space to R-8	0.00007
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.00003

Table 200. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
Attic to R-8	0.00021
Attic to R-5.6	0.00011
Crawl space to R-8	0.00008
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.00003

Table 201. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
Attic to R-8	0.00027
Attic to R-5.6	0.00014
Crawl space to R-8	0.00010
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.00004

Table 202. Duct Insulation—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)

Unconditioned duct location and added R-value	Demand savings (kW/sq. ft.)
Attic to R-8	0.00019
Attic to R-5.6	0.00010
Crawl space to R-8	0.00007
Crawl space to R-5.6	0.00003

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Winter demand savings are not specified for this measure at this time. They will be added when savings are updated to reflect Texas consumption data.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

According to the GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007),²⁴⁴ the estimated useful life is 20 years for duct insulation.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Uninsulated duct location
- R-value of installed insulation
- Square footage of treated duct area in unconditioned space
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 203. Duct Insulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 origin.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

²⁴⁴ GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007). http://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/8842/CEE_Eval_MeasureLifeStudyLightsandHVACGDS_1Jun2007.pdf.

2.3.7 Radiant Barriers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-RB

Market Sector: Residential, low-income, and hard-to-reach

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Radiant barriers are a highly reflective material designed to block radiant heat transfer between a roof and attic space insulation. They typically consist of a metallic foil material (usually aluminum) and are generally installed on the roof decking or beneath roof sheathing. Radiant barriers are most effective at reducing cooling consumption by reflecting heat away from a home.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure is only applicable to retrofit applications. All radiant barriers should be installed according to the Reflective Insulation Manufacturers Association International (RIMA-I) Handbook, Section 7.4.²⁴⁵ However, horizontal installation is not eligible due to the potential of moisture/dust accumulation and wear-and-tear damage to the radiant barrier that may negatively impact product performance.

A radiant barrier cannot be in contact with any other materials on its underside. Therefore, once a radiant barrier is installed on the roof decking, no additional roof deck insulation can be installed. However, additional insulation may still be added where it is not in contact (e.g., attic floor).

A study performed by RIMA-I found that none of the coating-type products currently on the market had an emittance of 0.10 or lower as required by the standards set by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) for a product to be considered a radiant barrier.²⁴⁶ Therefore, interior radiation control coatings are ineligible to use this measure.

²⁴⁵ RIMA-I Handbook. <https://rimainternational.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/HandbookAll-2014-Final-1.pdf>.

²⁴⁶ "Radiant Barrier and STS Interior Coatings," RIMA International. <https://rimainternational.org/technical/ircc/>.

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that are specified for homes with central refrigerated air. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that are specified for that heat type.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is defined as a residence with no existing radiant barrier installed on roof decking.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high efficiency condition is defined as a radiant barrier installed on roof decking. The radiant barrier must be compliant with the standards set by RIMA-I, including proper attic ventilation. A list of verified products is available on the RIMA-I website.²⁴⁷

Table 204. Radiant Barriers—RIMA-I Product Testing Requirements²⁴⁸

Physical property	Test method or standard	Requirement
Surface emittance	ASTM C 1371	0.1 or less
Water vapor transmission	ASTM E 96 Procedure A desiccant method	0.02 for vapor retarder; 0.5 or greater for perforated products
Surface burning		
Flame spread	ASTM E 84	25 or less
Smoke density	ASTM E 84	450 or less
Corrosivity	ASTM D 3310	Corrosion on less than two percent of the affected surface
Tear resistance	ASTM D 2261	N/A
Adhesive performance		
Bleeding	Section 10.1 of ASTM C 1313	Bleeding of delamination of less than two percent of the surface area
Pliability	Section 10.2 of ASTM C 1313	No cracking or delamination
Mold and mildew	ASTM C 1338	No growth when visually examined under 5x magnification
Tensile strength	ASTM D 2261	Report tearing strength in machine direction and cross direction

²⁴⁷ RIMA International verified products. <https://rimainternational.org/verify/>.

²⁴⁸ RIMA International Product Testing Requirements. <https://rimainternational.org/technical/testing/>.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

This measure references deemed savings from the Arkansas Technical Reference Manual (TRM) v9.0 where calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings.²⁴⁹ Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt, running EnergyPlus as the underlying simulation engine. Since radiant barrier savings are sensitive to weather, savings were modeled using typical meteorological year (TMY) 3 weather data.

Arkansas savings were mapped to Texas climate zones by comparing cooling and heating degree days developed using TMY data. Since TMY3 data is no longer accessible through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Viewer, degree days were compared using TMY 2020 weather data.²⁵⁰

Degree day ratios were derived by dividing Texas cooling and heating degree days by the closest degree day match among Arkansas climate zones. These ratios were multiplied against corresponding Arkansas TRM deemed savings yielding savings values adjusted for Texas climate. The resulting ratios are specified in Table 205.

Table 205. Radiant Barriers—Cooling and Heating Adjustment Factors (AF)²⁵¹

Climate zone	Cooling AF	Heating AF
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.95	1.02
Zone 2: Dallas	1.06	0.88
Zone 3: Houston	1.12	0.56
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.44	0.27
Zone 5: El Paso	0.99	0.99

Deemed Energy Demand Savings Tables

Table 206 through Table 210 present the energy savings (kWh) in the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

²⁴⁹ Arkansas Public Service Commission. AR TRM v9.0.
<http://www.apscservices.info/EEInfo/TRMV9.0.pdf>.

²⁵⁰ NSRDB Viewer: <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/>.

²⁵¹ These adjustment factors were multiplied against respective cooling and heating savings from the Arkansas TRM v9.0 Radiant Barriers measure. The cooling factor for Amarillo was applied against Arkansas Climate Zone 8 (Fort Smith), and the heating factor for Amarillo was applied against Arkansas Climate Zone 9 (Fayetteville). Factors for all remaining TX climate zones were applied against savings for Arkansas Climate Zone 6 (El Dorado).

For customers who participate in HTR or LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 206 through Table 210 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 206 through Table 210 by a factor of 0.24.²⁵²

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed heating energy savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

Savings are specified per square foot of ceiling area over conditioned space directly below an unconditioned attic where the radiant barrier is installed. The square footage should not reflect the total area of installed radiant barrier.

Table 206. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Radiant barrier with existing ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
≤ R-19	0.2234	0.0072	0.2099	0.1106
> R-19	0.1350	0.0031	0.0962	0.0573

Table 207. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Radiant barrier with existing ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
≤ R-19	0.2887	0.0044	0.1449	0.0334
> R-19	0.1777	0.0026	0.0676	0.0132

Table 208. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Radiant barrier with existing ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
≤ R-19	0.3046	0.0028	0.0916	0.0211
> R-19	0.1874	0.0017	0.0427	0.0083

²⁵² This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1,200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500 W portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields 10,200 / 42,000 = 0.24.

Table 209. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Radiant barrier with existing ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
≤ R-19	0.3937	0.0013	0.0443	0.0102
> R-19	0.2423	0.0008	0.0207	0.0040

Table 210. Radiant Barriers—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)

Radiant barrier with existing ceiling insulation base R-value	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
≤ R-19	0.2691	0.0050	0.1636	0.0377
> R-19	0.1656	0.0030	0.0764	0.0149

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 211 presents the summer demand savings (kW) in the five Texas climate zones per square foot of ceiling area over conditioned space directly below an unconditioned attic where the radiant barrier is installed.

For customers who participate in HTR or LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying the appropriate cooling value in Table 211 by a factor of 0.6.

Table 211. Radiant Barriers—Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residences with Refrigerated Air (kWh/sq. ft.)

Radiant barrier with existing ceiling insulation base R-value	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
≤ R-19	0.00014	0.00015	0.00016	0.00020	0.00014
> R-19	0.00008	0.00010	0.00010	0.00013	0.00009

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Winter demand savings are not specified for this measure at this time. They will be added when savings are updated to reflect Texas consumption data.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Radiant barriers and other reflective insulation systems have similar lifetime expectations to other attic insulation measures.²⁵³ The estimated useful life (EUL) of radiant barriers is 25 years for radiant barriers based on the GDS Associates Measure Life Report value for ceiling insulation.

This value matches lifetime assumptions for radiant barriers from both Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)²⁵⁴ and National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).²⁵⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Baseline R-value of existing ceiling insulation (\leq R-19, $>$ R-19)
- Square footage of ceiling area over conditioned space directly below an unconditioned attic where the radiant barrier is installed
- Manufacturer and product name/model number

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

²⁵³ US Department of Energy (DOE) Insulation Fact Sheet.

<https://web.ornl.gov/sci/buildings/docs/factSheets/Insulation-FactSheet-2008.pdf>.

²⁵⁴ “Radiant Barrier: Effect of Radiant Barriers on Heating and Cooling Bills”, ORNL.

<https://web.ornl.gov/sci/buildings/tools/radiant/rb2/>.

²⁵⁵ National Residential Efficiency Measures Database, NREL.

<https://remdb.nrel.gov/measures.php?gld=13&ctld=51>.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 212. Radiant Barriers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 origin.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Clarified savings normalization by area. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

2.3.8 Cool Roofs Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-CR

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Reflective roofing materials reduce the overall heat load on a home by reducing the total heat energy absorbed into the building system from incident solar radiation. This reduction in total load provides space cooling energy savings during the cooling season, but reduces free heat during the heating season, so the measure saves energy in the summer but uses more energy in winter. As such, cool roofs are most beneficial in warmer climates and may not be recommended for homes where the primary heat source is electric resistance. The measure is for retrofit of existing homes.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes, or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an existing home with a standard medium- or dark-colored roof.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²⁵⁶ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²⁵⁷ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

The ENERGY STAR roofing products certification program was discontinued effective June 1, 2022.²⁵⁸ Moving forward, installed roofing products will still be required to demonstrate compliance with the previous ENERGY STAR specification below.²⁵⁹

In lieu of the former ENERGY STAR list of qualified products, roofing products must now have a performance rating that is validated by the Cool Roof Rating Council (CRRC)^{260,261} and be listed on the CRRC Rated Roof Products Directory.²⁶² This is consistent with the former ENERGY STAR test criteria, which allows for products already participating in the CRRC Product Rating Program to submit solar reflectance and thermal emittance product information derived from CRRC certification.

The ENERGY STAR program classifies roofs with a slope greater than 2/12 as having a steep slope and roofs with a slope less than or equal to 2/12 as low slope roofs. ENERGY STAR performance specifications for cool roof products for use on roofs with steep slopes and low slopes are provided in Table 213.

Table 213. Cool Roofs—ENERGY STAR Specification²⁶³

Roof slope	Characteristic	Performance specification
Low slope ≤ 2/12	Initial solar reflectance	≥ 0.65
	3-year solar reflectance	≥ 0.50
High slope > 2/12	Initial solar reflectance	≥ 0.25
	3-year solar reflectance	≥ 0.15

²⁵⁶ Electric Resistance Heating. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²⁵⁷ Portable Heaters. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

²⁵⁸ ENERGY STAR Roof Products Sunset Decision Memo. <https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Roof%20Products%20Sunset%20Decision%20Memo.pdf>.

²⁵⁹ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Roof Products v2.1. https://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/product_specs/program_reqs/roofs_prog_req.pdf.

²⁶⁰ CRRC guidance for roof rating alternative to discontinued ENERGY STAR® program. <https://coolroofs.org/documents/CRRC-ENERGY-STAR-Sunset-Info-Sheet-2022-03-07.pdf>.

²⁶¹ CRRC Roof Rating Program. <https://coolroofs.org/programs/roof-rating-program>.

²⁶² CRRC Rated Roof Products Directory. <https://coolroofs.org/directory/roof>.

²⁶³ ENERGY STAR Roof Products Specification. https://www.energystar.gov/products/building_products/roof_products/key_product_criteria.

If a cool roof is installed concurrently with changes to attic insulation levels, savings should be claimed for the reflective roof according to the post-retrofit (ceiling or roof deck) insulation levels. Savings for changes in insulation levels should be claimed separately according to the ceiling insulation or attic encapsulation measures, assuming the retrofit performed meets the requirements of those measures.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings values. Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone were modified as follows. Roof slopes were modified to reflect representative levels for the low slope and steep slope roofs. A 1/12 slope was selected for modeling low slope roofs (defined as having slope $\leq 2/12$), and a 4/12 slope was selected for modeling steep slope roofs (slope $> 2/12$). Based on the performance criteria and review of the rated 3-year reflectance of rated products listed in the CRR database, four reflectance levels were selected for modeling: 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8, representing 20 to 80 percent reflectance.

Because of the interplay between the performance of insulation and attic/roof deck temperatures, which are directly affected by the installation of a cool roof, savings were estimated for a range of different attic insulation scenarios: a range of ceiling insulation levels from no insulation (R-0) to R-30, and two roof deck insulation levels, R-19 and R-38, were modeled. Savings for a roof deck insulation level of R-30 are provided by interpolating between the R-19 and R-38 scenarios.

These modifications are shown in Table 214.

The model runs calculated energy use for the prototypical home prior to encapsulating the attic. Change-case models were run to calculate energy use with the floor insulation measure in place with either R-30 or R-38 insulation.

Table 214. Cool Roofs—Prototypical Home Characteristics

Shell characteristic	Value	Source
Base case roof material	Medium asphalt shingle, reflectance = 0.15	Prototype home default
Change case roof material	Medium asphalt shingle, reflectance = 0.2 reflectance = 0.4 reflectance = 0.6 Reflectance = 0.8	Lower reflectance levels only relevant for steep slope roofs. Modeled reflectance levels reflect midpoints of ranges: $0.15 \leq R < 0.3$ Reflectance $0.3 \leq R < 0.5$ Reflectance $0.5 \leq R < 0.7$ Reflectance > 0.7
Roof slope: low-slope roof	1/12	Not modified between base and change cases
Roof slope: steep slope roof	4/12	Not modified between base and change cases

Shell characteristic	Value	Source
Ceiling (attic floor) insulation levels	< R-5 R-5 to R-8 R-9 to R-14 R-15 to R-22 R-30	Not modified between base and change cases
Roof Deck (underside) Insulation Levels	R-19 R-38	Not modified between base and change cases

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Savings are presented first for homes with ceiling insulation and subsequently for those with roof deck insulation. For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling values in Table 215 through Table 219 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 215 through Table 219 by a factor of 0.24.²⁶⁴

Homes with Ceiling Insulation

Table 215 through Table 219 present the energy savings (kWh) for installation of a reflective roof on homes with varying levels of ceiling (attic floor) insulation for the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types. Savings are per square foot of treated roof area.

Table 215. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope						
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	0.03	0.01	0.00	-0.05	-0.02
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	0.15	0.06	-0.02	-0.26	-0.09
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.27	0.10	-0.03	-0.47	-0.18
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.40	0.15	-0.06	-0.71	-0.26
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.01	0.00	-0.04	-0.01
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	0.12	0.04	-0.02	-0.20	-0.07
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.21	0.08	-0.03	-0.36	-0.14

²⁶⁴ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1,200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500 W portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.31	0.12	-0.05	-0.54	-0.20
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.01	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	0.08	0.03	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.15	0.06	-0.03	-0.25	-0.09
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.22	0.08	-0.04	-0.37	-0.14
R-15 to R-22	0.15 - 0.29	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	0.06	0.02	-0.01	-0.09	-0.04
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.10	0.04	-0.02	-0.17	-0.06
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.15	0.06	-0.03	-0.25	-0.10
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.04	0.01	-0.01	-0.06	-0.02
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.07	0.02	-0.02	-0.11	-0.04
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.10	0.04	-0.03	-0.16	-0.06
Low slope						
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.30	0.11	-0.04	-0.52	-0.20
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.43	0.16	-0.06	-0.77	-0.29
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.23	0.09	-0.03	-0.40	-0.15
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.34	0.13	-0.05	-0.59	-0.22
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.16	0.06	-0.03	-0.27	-0.10
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.23	0.09	-0.04	-0.41	-0.15
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.11	0.04	-0.02	-0.19	-0.07
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.17	0.07	-0.03	-0.28	-0.11
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.08	0.03	-0.02	-0.13	-0.05
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.12	0.05	-0.03	-0.19	-0.07

**Table 216. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas,
Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)**

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope					
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	0.05	0.00	-0.04	-0.01
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	0.23	-0.01	-0.17	-0.07
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.43	-0.01	-0.32	-0.12

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.64	-0.02	-0.48	-0.18
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	0.04	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	0.18	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.34	-0.01	-0.24	-0.09
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.50	-0.02	-0.36	-0.14
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	0.03	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	0.13	-0.01	-0.09	-0.03
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.24	-0.01	-0.16	-0.06
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.35	-0.02	-0.25	-0.09
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	0.09	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	-0.01	-0.11	-0.04
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.25	-0.01	-0.17	-0.06
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.06	0.00	-0.04	-0.02
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.12	-0.01	-0.07	-0.03
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.18	-0.01	-0.11	-0.04
Low slope					
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.47	-0.01	-0.35	-0.13
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.70	-0.02	-0.53	-0.20
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.37	-0.01	-0.27	-0.10
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.55	-0.02	-0.40	-0.15
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.26	-0.01	-0.19	-0.07
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.39	-0.02	-0.28	-0.10
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.28	-0.01	-0.19	-0.07
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.14	-0.01	-0.08	-0.03
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.20	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05

**Table 217. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston,
Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)**

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope					
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	0.05	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	0.26	0.00	-0.13	-0.05
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.48	-0.01	-0.24	-0.09
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.71	-0.01	-0.37	-0.13
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	0.04	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	0.20	0.00	-0.10	-0.04
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.37	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.55	-0.01	-0.28	-0.10
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	0.03	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	0.14	0.00	-0.07	-0.03
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.26	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.39	-0.01	-0.19	-0.07
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	0.10	0.00	-0.05	-0.02
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.18	-0.01	-0.09	-0.03
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.27	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.06	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.12	-0.01	-0.06	-0.02
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.18	-0.01	-0.08	-0.03
Low slope					
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.54	-0.01	-0.27	-0.10
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.79	-0.01	-0.41	-0.15
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.42	-0.01	-0.21	-0.08
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.62	-0.01	-0.31	-0.12
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.30	-0.01	-0.14	-0.05
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.44	-0.01	-0.21	-0.08

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.21	-0.01	-0.10	-0.04
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.31	-0.01	-0.15	-0.06
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.14	-0.01	-0.07	-0.03
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.22	-0.01	-0.10	-0.04

Table 218. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating Savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Step slope					
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	0.04	0.00	-0.01	0.00
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	0.19	0.00	-0.08	-0.03
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.34	0.00	-0.15	-0.06
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.50	-0.01	-0.23	-0.08
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	0.03	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	0.14	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.26	0.00	-0.11	-0.04
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.38	-0.01	-0.17	-0.06
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	0.10	0.00	-0.04	-0.02
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	0.00	-0.08	-0.03
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.26	0.00	-0.11	-0.04
R-15 to R-22	0.15 - 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	0.06	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.12	0.00	-0.05	-0.02
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.17	0.00	-0.08	-0.03
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.04	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.07	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.11	0.00	-0.05	-0.02

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating Savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low slope					
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.37	0.00	-0.17	-0.07
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.54	-0.01	-0.25	-0.09
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.28	0.00	-0.13	-0.05
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.41	-0.01	-0.19	-0.07
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	0.00	-0.09	-0.03
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.28	0.00	-0.13	-0.05
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.13	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.19	0.00	-0.08	-0.03
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.09	0.00	-0.04	-0.01
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.13	0.00	-0.06	-0.02

Table 219. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope						
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	0.05	0.02	0.00	-0.05	-0.02
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	0.27	0.10	-0.01	-0.26	-0.09
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.50	0.19	-0.02	-0.49	-0.19
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.74	0.29	-0.04	-0.77	-0.29
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	0.04	0.02	0.00	-0.04	-0.01
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	0.21	0.08	-0.01	-0.20	-0.07
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.39	0.15	-0.02	-0.38	-0.14
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.58	0.23	-0.03	-0.59	-0.22
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	0.03	0.01	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	0.15	0.06	-0.01	-0.14	-0.05
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.27	0.11	-0.01	-0.27	-0.10
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.41	0.16	-0.02	-0.41	-0.15
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.01	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	0.10	0.04	-0.01	-0.10	-0.04
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	0.08	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.29	0.12	-0.02	-0.28	-0.10
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.07	0.03	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.13	0.05	-0.01	-0.12	-0.04
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.20	0.08	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07
Low slope						
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	0.57	0.22	-0.02	-0.56	-0.21
< R-5	≥ 0.7	0.84	0.32	-0.04	-0.88	-0.33
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	0.45	0.18	-0.02	-0.44	-0.16
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	0.66	0.26	-0.03	-0.68	-0.25
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	0.32	0.13	-0.02	-0.31	-0.12
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	0.47	0.19	-0.03	-0.47	-0.18
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	0.23	0.09	-0.01	-0.21	-0.08
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	0.34	0.14	-0.02	-0.32	-0.12
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	0.07	-0.01	-0.14	-0.06
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.25	0.10	-0.02	-0.22	-0.08

Homes with Roof Deck Insulation

Table 220 through Table 224 present the energy savings (kWh) for the installation of a reflective roof on homes with varying levels of roof deck insulation for the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types. Savings are per square foot of treated roof area.

Table 220. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope						
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	0.06	0.02	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.13	0.04	-0.01	-0.28	-0.11
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.20	0.07	-0.02	-0.42	-0.16
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.01	-0.01

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.05	0.02	-0.01	-0.12	-0.04
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.11	0.03	-0.01	-0.23	-0.09
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.16	0.05	-0.02	-0.35	-0.14
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	0.05	0.02	-0.01	-0.11	-0.04
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.09	0.03	-0.01	-0.20	-0.08
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.13	0.04	-0.02	-0.30	-0.12
Low slope						
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.13	0.04	-0.01	-0.27	-0.11
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.20	0.07	-0.02	-0.42	-0.16
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.11	0.03	-0.01	-0.23	-0.09
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.16	0.05	-0.02	-0.34	-0.13
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.09	0.03	-0.01	-0.20	-0.08
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.13	0.04	-0.02	-0.29	-0.11

Table 221. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope					
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	0.10	0.00	-0.09	-0.03
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.21	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.32	-0.01	-0.28	-0.11
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.09	0.00	-0.08	-0.03
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	-0.01	-0.15	-0.06
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.26	-0.01	-0.23	-0.09
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	0.08	0.00	-0.07	-0.03
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.14	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.21	-0.01	-0.19	-0.07

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low slope					
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.21	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.32	-0.01	-0.28	-0.11
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	-0.01	-0.15	-0.06
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.26	-0.01	-0.23	-0.09
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.14	-0.01	-0.13	-0.05
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.21	-0.01	-0.19	-0.07

Table 222. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope					
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	0.11	0.00	-0.07	-0.03
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.22	-0.01	-0.14	-0.05
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.34	-0.01	-0.22	-0.08
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.09	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	0.00	-0.12	-0.04
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.28	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	0.08	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.16	0.00	-0.10	-0.04
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.23	-0.01	-0.15	-0.06
Low slope					
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.22	-0.01	-0.14	-0.06
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.35	-0.01	-0.22	-0.08
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	0.00	-0.12	-0.05
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.28	-0.01	-0.18	-0.07
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.16	0.00	-0.10	-0.04
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.23	-0.01	-0.15	-0.06

**Table 223. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi,
Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)**

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings (refrigerated)	Heating savings		
			Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope					
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	0.09	0.00	-0.04	-0.02
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	0.00	-0.09	-0.03
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.26	0.00	-0.13	-0.05
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.08	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.14	0.00	-0.07	-0.02
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.21	0.00	-0.11	-0.04
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.00
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	0.07	0.00	-0.03	-0.01
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.12	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.18	0.00	-0.09	-0.03
Low slope					
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.23	-0.01	-0.29	-0.11
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.36	-0.02	-0.46	-0.18
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.17	0.00	-0.16	-0.06
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.26	-0.01	-0.25	-0.09
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.12	0.00	-0.06	-0.02
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.18	0.00	-0.09	-0.03

**Table 224. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso,
Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)**

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Steep slope						
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	0.11	0.04	-0.01	-0.14	-0.05
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.22	0.08	-0.01	-0.28	-0.11
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.35	0.12	-0.02	-0.45	-0.17
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	0.10	0.03	0.00	-0.12	-0.04
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	0.06	-0.01	-0.23	-0.09
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.28	0.10	-0.01	-0.37	-0.14
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	0.02	0.01	0.00	-0.02	-0.01
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	0.09	0.03	0.00	-0.11	-0.04
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.16	0.05	-0.01	-0.20	-0.08
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.23	0.08	-0.01	-0.31	-0.12
Low slope						
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	0.23	0.08	-0.01	-0.29	-0.11
R-19	≥ 0.7	0.36	0.12	-0.02	-0.46	-0.18
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	0.19	0.06	-0.01	-0.24	-0.09
R-30	≥ 0.7	0.29	0.10	-0.01	-0.38	-0.15
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	0.16	0.05	-0.01	-0.21	-0.08
R-38	≥ 0.7	0.24	0.08	-0.01	-0.32	-0.12

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Savings are presented first for homes with ceiling insulation, and subsequently for those with roof deck insulation. For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling values in Table 225 through Table 229 by a factor of 0.6.

Homes with Ceiling Insulation

Table 225 through Table 229 present the summer demand savings (kW) associated with the installation of a reflective roof in homes with varying levels of ceiling insulation (attic floor) for the five Texas climate zones. Savings are per square foot of treated roof area.

Table 225. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	2.34 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.06 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	1.21 x 10 ⁻⁴	6.05 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	2.50 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.18 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.35 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.06 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	≥ 0.7	3.97 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.94 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.94 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.85 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	1.48 x 10 ⁻⁵	6.69 x 10 ⁻⁶

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	8.09 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.47 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	1.78 x 10 ⁻⁴	9.21 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.63 x 10 ⁻⁴	7.51 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	2.85 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.55 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.86 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.40 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	6.05 x 10 ⁻⁶	7.93 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	5.64 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.18 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	1.17 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.99 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.08 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.52 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	1.92 x 10 ⁻⁴	9.10 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.90 x 10 ⁻⁴	9.38 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	2.30 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.73 x 10 ⁻⁷
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	3.55 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.53 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	7.90 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.34 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.74 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	1.31 x 10 ⁻⁴	6.28 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.37 x 10 ⁻⁴	7.50 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	-8.06 x 10 ⁻⁷	3.42 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	2.36 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.83 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	5.39 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.76 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.99 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.70 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	9.25 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.31 x 10 ⁻⁵	9.56 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.99 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 226. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	3.46 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.79 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	3.63 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.41 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	≥ 0.7	5.36 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.15 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	2.63 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.36 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	2.83 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.64 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	4.10 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.06 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	1.78 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.02 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	1.99 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.73 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	2.85 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.85 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	9.26 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	7.69 x 10 ⁻⁵

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	1.47 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.23 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	2.04 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.15 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	1.34 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	5.58 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	1.01 x 10 ⁻⁴	8.64 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	1.52 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.58 x 10 ⁻⁴

Table 227. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	3.27 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.74 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	3.62 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.56 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	≥ 0.7	5.86 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.48 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	2.38 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.33 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	2.76 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.72 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	4.64 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.28 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	1.55 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.07 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	2.12 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.03 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	3.30 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.11 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	1.75 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	7.56 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	1.53 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.44 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	2.37 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.26 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	9.44 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	5.11 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	1.09 x 10 ⁻⁴	9.65 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	1.75 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.64 x 10 ⁻⁴

**Table 228. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi,
Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)**

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-Year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	1.82 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	9.19 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	1.67 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.66 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	≥ 0.7	2.75 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.69 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	1.46 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	6.97 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	1.22 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.23 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	2.02 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.01 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	6.80 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	4.15 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	7.62 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.37 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	1.26 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.28 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	4.71 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	2.55 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	4.24 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.39 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	7.33 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.94 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	2.50 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.01 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	2.41 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.04 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	4.01 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.77 x 10 ⁻⁵

**Table 229. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso,
Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)**

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	3.58 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.28 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	1.72 x 10 ⁻⁴	7.49 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	3.95 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.54 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.44 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.65 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	≥ 0.7	6.15 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.42 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.19 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.20 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	2.72 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.96 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	1.27 x 10 ⁻⁴	6.00 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	3.06 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.34 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.59 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.38 x 10 ⁻⁴

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	4.77 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	3.97 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.78 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	1.25 x 10 ⁻⁵	9.26 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	8.24 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.30 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	2.07 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.00 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.73 x 10 ⁻⁴	8.86 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	3.27 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.44 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.60 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.22 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	6.16 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.73 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	6.18 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.40 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	1.50 x 10 ⁻⁴	7.63 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.24 x 10 ⁻⁴	6.49 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	2.42 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.11 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.88 x 10 ⁻⁴	8.86 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	6.64 x 10 ⁻⁶	5.65 x 10 ⁻⁷
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	4.77 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.87 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	1.01 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.91 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.81 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.07 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	1.80 x 10 ⁻⁴	8.50 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.32 x 10 ⁻⁴	6.75 x 10 ⁻⁵

Homes with Roof Deck Insulation

Table 230 through Table 234 present the summer demand savings (kW) associated with the installation of a reflective roof in homes with varying levels of roof deck for the five Texas climate zones. Savings are per square foot of treated roof area.

Table 230. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	2.67 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.62 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	5.56 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.84 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.35 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.55 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	9.88 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.61 x 10 ⁻⁶	8.81 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.52 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	3.37 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.42 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	1.97 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.38 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	3.21 x 10 ⁻⁵	9.13 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.06 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.25 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	6.91 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.48 x 10 ⁻⁶	5.94 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.60 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	5.82 x 10 ⁻⁶	5.90 x 10 ⁻⁶

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	1.46 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.20 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	1.50 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.38 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.40 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.04 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	4.75 x 10 ⁻⁵	9.12 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.85 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.66 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 231. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
		Refrigerated	Refrigerated
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	–	5.45 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	9.02 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	7.41 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.21 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-19	≥ 0.7	1.16 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.18 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	2.22 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	5.01 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	4.37 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.67 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	7.41 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.37 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	–	-1.31 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	2.10 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	2.16 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.44 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	4.36 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.45 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 232. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
		Refrigerated	Refrigerated
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	9.43 x 10 ⁻⁵	9.42 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	1.32 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.21 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	-1.46 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	2.60 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	7.13 x 10 ⁻⁵	6.50 x 10 ⁻⁵

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
		Refrigerated	Refrigerated
R-30	≥ 0.7	8.56 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.46 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	–	-2.53 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	1.37 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	5.46 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.37 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	5.19 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.82 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 233. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope	Steep slope
		Refrigerated	Refrigerated
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	3.38 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	4.44 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.01 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	7.43 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.37 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	3.36 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	2.68 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	2.09 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.56 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	5.33 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.29 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	–	5.81 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	2.17 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	3.83 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.51 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	3.80 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.78 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 234. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Summer Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
R-19	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	3.64 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.24 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	8.11 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.76 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.95 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.42 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	1.33 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.30 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.35 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.44 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	6.66 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.11 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	3.01 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.29 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	5.61 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.09 x 10 ⁻⁵	6.63 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.83 x 10 ⁻⁵

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope		Steep slope	
		Refrigerated	Evaporative	Refrigerated	Evaporative
R-30	≥ 0.7	1.13 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.29 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.23 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	1.15 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.91 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	2.55 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.15 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	3.79 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.22 x 10 ⁻⁶	4.95 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.19 x 10 ⁻⁷
R-38	≥ 0.7	9.92 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.60 x 10 ⁻⁶	8.40 x 10 ⁻⁵	6.29 x 10 ⁻⁶

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Savings are presented first for homes with ceiling insulation, and subsequently for those with roof deck insulation. For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 235 through Table 239 by a factor of 0.24.²⁶⁵

Homes with Ceiling Insulation

Table 235 through Table 239 present the winter demand savings (kW) associated with the installation of a reflective roof in homes with varying levels of ceiling insulation (attic floor) for the five Texas climate zones. Savings are per square foot of treated roof area.

Table 235. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	-5.60 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.18 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.68 x 10 ⁻⁶
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.08 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.83 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.67 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	-3.03 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.47 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.38 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.06 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.91 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	≥ 0.7	-1.46 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.66 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.19 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.21 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.54 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.28 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	-1.01 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.53 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.74 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-4.25 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.66 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.12 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	1.52 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.25 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.52 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.04 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.62 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.15 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	-9.01 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.34 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.68 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.13 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.24 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.82 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	-8.59 x 10 ⁻⁷	-7.63 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.69 x 10 ⁻⁶

²⁶⁵ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields 10,200 ÷ 42,000 = 0.24.

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.68 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.63 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.55 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	-1.04 x 10 ⁻⁷	-7.28 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.43 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.49 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.07 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	-6.86 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.05 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.98 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.11 x 10 ⁻⁵	-9.83 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.57 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	-8.96 x 10 ⁻⁷	-5.40 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.51 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.85 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.60 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.08 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	-1.72 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.26 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.47 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.80 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.15 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	-9.72 x 10 ⁻⁷	-7.65 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.64 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.44 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.05 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.23 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	-	-	-	-8.09 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.58 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.64 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	-	-	-	-1.08 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.31 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-5.10 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.52 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.58 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.54 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.12 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.36 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-3.71 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.35 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.58 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.10 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.64 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.11 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 236. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	2.40 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.29 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.63 x 10 ⁻⁶
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.62 x 10 ⁻⁸	-6.19 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.24 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	-2.83 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.48 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.24 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.44 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.06 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	≥ 0.7	-6.02 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.17 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.07 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.75 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.81 x 10 ⁻⁴	-9.06 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	4.57 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.03 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.30 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	1.59 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.70 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.68 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	-3.36 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.69 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.33 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.88 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	-3.79 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.74 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.66 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.46 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.43 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.18 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	-7.26 x 10 ⁻⁷	-8.09 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.86 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.92 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.23 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.03 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	-1.29 x 10 ⁻⁵	-9.30 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.31 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.26 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.90 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.76 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	-1.27 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.41 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.53 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.53 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.52 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	3.23 x 10 ⁻⁷	-5.84 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.76 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.95 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.04 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.43 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	-1.48 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.81 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.23 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.74 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.69 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.66 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	-1.61 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.02 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.67 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.88 x 10 ⁻⁷	-8.65 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.05 x 10 ⁻⁵

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-30	0.15 – 0.29	–	–	–	-3.74 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.81 x 10 ⁻⁶	8.71 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.78 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.39 x 10 ⁻⁵	9.39 x 10 ⁻⁷
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-3.37 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.77 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.23 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.20 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.16 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.00 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	≥ 0.7	-1.67 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.04 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.03 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.41 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.14 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.57 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 237. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-7.91 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.54 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.77 x 10 ⁻⁶
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.12 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.71 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.90 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	-3.28 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.56 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.95 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.08 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.40 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.09 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	≥ 0.7	-4.78 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.23 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.11 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.97 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.04 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.05 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-7.39 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.25 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.46 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.67 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.28 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.05 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	-4.26 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.28 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.54 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.79 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.59 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	-4.68 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.84 x 10 ⁻⁴	-9.11 x 10 ⁻⁵	-9.38 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.68 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.50 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-6.93 x 10 ⁻⁷	-9.35 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.68 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.38 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.69 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.31 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	-5.14 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.71 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.78 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.46 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.68 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.28 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	-4.83 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.41 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.90 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.00 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.27 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.19 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-7.06 x 10 ⁻⁷	-6.48 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.22 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.70 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.32 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.62 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	-5.52 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.85 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.34 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.80 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.15 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.00 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	-8.06 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.00 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.89 x 10 ⁻⁵	-9.55 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.10 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.44 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-6.32 x 10 ⁻⁷	-4.54 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.25 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.32 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.23 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.07 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-5.55 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.83 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.35 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.05 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.13 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.00 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-6.77 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.30 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.95 x 10 ⁻⁵	-8.39 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.06 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.93 x 10 ⁻⁵

**Table 238. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi,
Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)**

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-4.72 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.47 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.94 x 10 ⁻⁶
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.45 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.36 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.49 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	-5.41 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.51 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.33 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.77 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.34 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.20 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	≥ 0.7	-7.53 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.19 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.02 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.11 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.99 x 10 ⁻⁴	-9.32 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-4.02 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.71 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.13 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.99 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.89 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	-3.72 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.20 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.60 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.17 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.08 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.08 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	-7.11 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.79 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.65 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.84 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.61 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.59 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-6.35 x 10 ⁻⁷	-8.94 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.36 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.95 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.53 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.21 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	-3.55 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.21 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.40 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.94 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.27 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.89 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	-4.77 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.35 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.41 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.95 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.23 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.95 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.73 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.16 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.94 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.67 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.25 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.62 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	-3.83 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.74 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.45 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.08 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.91 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.83 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	-4.47 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.81 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.84 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.82 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.34 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.34 x 10 ⁻⁷	-4.03 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.87 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-9.58 x 10 ⁻⁷	-2.14 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.03 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-3.13 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.69 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.41 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.42 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.01 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.00 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-3.46 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.78 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.32 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.98 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.89 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.88 x 10 ⁻⁵

**Table 239. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso,
Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)**

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
< R-5	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-6.68 x 10 ⁻⁷	-2.51 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.01 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-7.29 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.33 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.50 x 10 ⁻⁵
< R-5	0.5 – 0.69	-1.53 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.93 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.17 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.34 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.50 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.02 x 10 ⁻⁴
< R-5	≥ 0.7	-1.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.44 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.79 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.93 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.82 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.57 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-5 to R-8	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-2.41 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.98 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.98 x 10 ⁻⁶

Ceiling insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-5 to R-8	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-4.83 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.03 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.14 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	0.5 – 0.69	-1.33 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.36 x 10 ⁻⁴	-9.44 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.22 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.99 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.97 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-5 to R-8	≥ 0.7	-1.47 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.64 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.48 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.11 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.28 x 10 ⁻⁴
R-9 to R-14	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-5.77 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.35 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.48 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-9 to R-14	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-4.07 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.56 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.15 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	0.5 – 0.69	-9.52 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.70 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.83 x 10 ⁻⁵	-9.66 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.44 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.76 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-9 to R-14	≥ 0.7	-1.06 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.73 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.12 x 10 ⁻⁴	-1.38 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.33 x 10 ⁻⁴	-9.66 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-4.29 x 10 ⁻⁷	-9.41 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.20 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-15 to R-22	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-3.14 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.91 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.00 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	0.5 – 0.69	-7.55 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.66 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.70 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.71 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.02 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-15 to R-22	≥ 0.7	-8.94 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.85 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.43 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.05 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.55 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.29 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-2.85 x 10 ⁻⁷	-6.26 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.54 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-2.32 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.11 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.25 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-5.52 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.44 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.95 x 10 ⁻⁵	-6.01 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.97 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.46 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-7.73 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.20 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.89 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.78 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.69 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.98 x 10 ⁻⁵

Homes with Roof Deck Insulation

Table 240 through Table 244 present the winter demand savings (kW) associated with the installation of a reflective roof in homes with varying levels of roof deck for the five Texas climate zones. Savings are per square foot of treated roof area.

Table 240. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Amarillo, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-19	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	6.62 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.75 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.86 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	1.68 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.28 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.35 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.59 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.49 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.71 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	-1.78 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.77 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.08 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.13 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.12 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.19 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.08 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.00 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.52 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	2.49 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.23 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.75 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-5.08 x 10 ⁻⁷	-5.14 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.26 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.99 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.01 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.15 x 10 ⁻⁵

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-30	≥ 0.7	-1.76 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.76 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.59 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.24 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.76 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.38 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.87 x 10 ⁻⁷	-5.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.62 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	3.82 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.85 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.67 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	-2.10 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.31 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.20 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.82 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.93 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.74 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	-1.74 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.29 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.23 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.13 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.99 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.79 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 241. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: Dallas, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-19	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.68 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.21 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.13 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	3.73 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.26 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.29 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.93 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.72 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.49 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	2.09 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.33 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.96 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.27 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.30 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.31 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-7.35 x 10 ⁻⁸	-3.36 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.70 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.52 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.73 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	6.09 x 10 ⁻⁷	-6.66 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.33 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.00 x 10 ⁻⁸	-6.99 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.56 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-1.22 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.03 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.11 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.03 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.63 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.27 x 10 ⁻⁷	-5.81 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.93 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-8.41 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.02 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.44 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	-1.66 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.49 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.36 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.72 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.88 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	-3.63 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.17 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.49 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.70 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.42 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.14 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 242. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: Houston, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-19	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	5.21 x 10 ⁻⁸	-4.60 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.10 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	-4.82 x 10 ⁻⁷	-9.84 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.19 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.73 x 10 ⁻⁷	-9.69 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.88 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	1.47 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.47 x 10 ⁻⁴	-7.52 x 10 ⁻⁵	2.13 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.52 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.03 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	2.41 x 10 ⁻⁸	-3.94 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.10 x 10 ⁻⁶

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	1.86 x 10 ⁻⁷	-4.00 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.93 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-1.49 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.32 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.30 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.20 x 10 ⁻⁷	-7.79 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.01 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-1.30 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.17 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.28 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.36 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.33 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	4.96 x 10 ⁻⁸	-6.80 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.63 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	4.75 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.56 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.81 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	-2.23 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.22 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.66 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.99 x 10 ⁻⁷	-6.41 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.37 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	-3.32 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.52 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.37 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.82 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.58 x 10 ⁻⁵	-5.09 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 243. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-19	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.53 x 10 ⁻⁶	-4.45 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.26 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	-2.27 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.14 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.90 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.29 x 10 ⁻⁶	-9.18 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.65 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	≥ 0.7	-2.65 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.39 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.06 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.16 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.37 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.18 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.08 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.76 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.77 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.68 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.74 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	-2.72 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.35 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.29 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.34 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.31 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.62 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-3.34 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.09 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.88 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.60 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.09 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.07 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.87 x 10 ⁻⁷	-6.50 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.06 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-9.37 x 10 ⁻⁷	-3.12 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.36 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	-3.05 x 10 ⁻⁶	-6.05 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.85 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.37 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.95 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.87 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	-3.85 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.74 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.03 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-8.78 x 10 ⁻⁵	-4.27 x 10 ⁻⁵

Table 244. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: El Paso, Winter Peak Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-19	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	–	–	–
R-19	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	2.07 x 10 ⁻⁶	-5.87 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.38 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-19	0.5 – 0.69	7.97 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.30 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.39 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.10 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.31 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.30 x 10 ⁻⁵

Roof deck insulation R-value	Installed roof material 3-year reflectance	Low slope			Steep slope		
		Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
R-19	≥ 0.7	-1.19 x 10 ⁻⁶	-2.13 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.83 x 10 ⁻⁵	-8.95 x 10 ⁻⁷	-2.10 x 10 ⁻⁴	-8.53 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.04 x 10 ⁻⁷	-4.45 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.81 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-30	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	4.81 x 10 ⁻⁷	-4.81 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.95 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	0.5 – 0.69	3.74 x 10 ⁻⁸	-1.01 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.16 x 10 ⁻⁵	-7.12 x 10 ⁻⁷	-1.01 x 10 ⁻⁴	-4.15 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-30	≥ 0.7	-1.64 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.61 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.73 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.51 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.60 x 10 ⁻⁴	-6.58 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.15 - 0.29	–	–	–	-1.79 x 10 ⁻⁷	-7.68 x 10 ⁻⁶	-3.13 x 10 ⁻⁶
R-38	0.3 – 0.49	–	–	–	-6.75 x 10 ⁻⁷	-4.04 x 10 ⁻⁵	-1.63 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	0.5 – 0.69	-5.15 x 10 ⁻⁷	-7.93 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.26 x 10 ⁻⁵	-2.03 x 10 ⁻⁶	-7.94 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.31 x 10 ⁻⁵
R-38	≥ 0.7	-1.97 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.24 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.20 x 10 ⁻⁵	-3.68 x 10 ⁻⁶	-1.24 x 10 ⁻⁴	-5.16 x 10 ⁻⁵

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A contractor installs 1500 square feet of white asphalt shingle roofing with a 3-year rated reflectance of 0.55 on a home in Climate Zone 3 with a roof slope of 4/12, refrigerated air, and a gas furnace, which has existing ceiling insulation estimated at R-12.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.26 - 0.01) \times 1500 = 375 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 2.03 \times 10^{-4} \times 1500 = 0.30 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = -6.46 \times 10^{-6} \times 1500 = -0.01 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. A contractor applies a reflective coating to a 1200 square foot home with a heat pump and a low-slope roof in Climate Zone 2, with R-19 roof deck insulation. The coating has a 3-year rated reflectance of 0.75.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.32 - 0.11) \times 1200 = 252 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = N/A$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = -5.96 \times 10^{-5} \times 1200 = -0.07 \text{ kW}$$

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 15 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID BS-LtRoof.²⁶⁶

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Insulation R-value (as is, post measure installation of ceiling/roof insulation)
- Only for homes with a reported baseline R-value that is less than R-5:
 - Two pictures: (1) a picture showing the entire attic floor, and (2) a close-up picture of a ruler that shows the measurement of the depth of the insulation.

Note: The second photo type is required for each area of insulation where there are varying R-values less than R-5. Additionally, both photo types are required for all separate attic/ceiling areas, even when the installed R-value is the same.
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
 - Because heating savings are negative, no adjustment factor will be applied to projects with missing documentation
- Square footage of reflective roofing material installed
- Slope of the roof (low or high slope)
- Three-year solar reflectance as rated by Cool Roof Rating Certification of the reflective material installed
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

²⁶⁶ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 47755-1. Petition of AEP Texas Inc., CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company. Petition To Approve Revisions To Residential And Nonresidential Deemed Savings Incorporated In Texas Technical Reference Manual Version 5.0 Program Year 2018 And Deemed Savings Derived For A New Measure. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 245. Cool Roofs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 origin.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Added savings for R-30 insulation.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated savings tables. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated savings tables for < R-5 baseline category. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Addressed sunseting of ENERGY STAR Roof program.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.3.9 Solar Screens Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-SS

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Building envelope

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Savings are presented for the installation of solar screens on west- and/or south-facing windows or glass doors. Deemed savings are calculated per square foot of treated window or door opening.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes, or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. The heating savings penalty applies to homes that are centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Solar screens must be installed on windows or glass doors that face west or south and receive significant direct sun exposure. Solar screens must block at least 65 percent of the solar heat gain to qualify for deemed savings.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is a single pane, clear glass, unshaded, west-, or south-facing window with a solar heat gain coefficient of 0.68. The baseline window area is assumed to be 7.5 percent of the total wall area.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²⁶⁷ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²⁶⁸ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

Solar screen material installed on south- or west-facing windows must reduce solar heat gain by at least 65 percent. Solar screens are not recommended for homes with electric resistance heat.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Deemed savings values have been estimated using calibrated simulation models. Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. A single modification was made to the prototype models for the various climate zone-HVAC type combinations to create the base case models for estimating savings for the solar screens measure. Windows facing all directions are assumed to be single-pane windows with U-values of 1.16 BTU/h-sq. ft.-R and solar heat gain coefficients (SHGC) of 0.76.

For the change case models, an 80 percent reduction was applied to the solar heat gain coefficient for the south- and west-facing windows.

Summer and winter peak demand savings are estimated by taking the difference in demand for the 20 hours identified from the TMY3 datasets in which the summer and winter peaks are most likely to occur, as described in TRM Volume 1 Section 4 - Peak Demand Definitions.

The model assumes the average solar screen installed blocks 80 percent of the solar heat gain attributed to the south and west-facing windows based on performance data from solar screens analyzed at sun angles of 30, 45, and 75 degrees to the window.²⁶⁹

While it is recommended that solar screens be removed during winter to allow the advantage of free heat from the sun, they are often not removed seasonally. This may be due to solar screens serving as an insect screen in addition to blocking the sun or simply that they're installed in difficult-to-reach areas such as second-floor windows. The savings estimates presented herein assume that the installed solar screens remain in place year-round.

²⁶⁷ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²⁶⁸ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

²⁶⁹ Performance data from Matrix, Inc., Mesa, Arizona testing facility for Phifer Wire Products' SunTex screen, blocks 80 percent of solar heat gain.

Thermal Performance Improvement

Manual J and other studies researched indicate a thermal improvement to a window with a solar screen due to reduced air infiltration. The National Certified Testing Laboratories provided a report stating a 15 percent reduction in the thermal transmittance of a single pane, 1/4" clear glass window with a solar screen added to the exterior.

Another study that was conducted for NFRC indicated between a 22 percent and 4 percent improvement to the U-value of a window with a solar screen. A single pane, clear window has a 22 percent improvement with the addition of a solar screen, whereas a double pane, spectrally selective low-E window may only have a 4 percent improvement. The deemed savings models assume an average 10 percent improvement in thermal performance with the addition of a solar screen.

Window Frame

The window frame accounts for 10-30 percent²⁷⁰ of the window area, and since it is opaque and blocks sunlight from entering the home, it is factored into the model. An average of 15 percent frame area was incorporated into the performance of the window.

Example Calculation

Example 1. A home in Climate Zone 4 with a central air conditioning unit and an electric resistance furnace installs 75 square feet of solar screens.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (6.09 + (-3.21)) \times 75 = 216 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 3.17 \times 10^{-3} \times 75 = 0.24 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = -2.32 \times 10^{-3} \times 75 = -0.17 \text{ kW}$$

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 246 presents the deemed energy savings value per square foot of solar screen installed. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling value in Table 246 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 246 by a factor of 0.24.²⁷¹

²⁷⁰ Residential Windows – A Guide to New Technologies and Energy Performance, 2000.

²⁷¹ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 246. Solar Screens—Energy Savings (kWh) per Square Foot of Solar Screen

Climate zone	Cooling savings (kWh/sq. ft.)		Heating savings (kWh/sq. ft.)		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	3.67	1.34	-0.62	-12.81	-4.54
Zone 2: Dallas	5.38	-	-0.29	-7.14	-2.56
Zone 3: Houston	5.33	-	-0.16	-4.69	-1.69
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	6.09	-	-0.09	-3.21	-1.16
Zone 5: El Paso	5.62	1.99	-0.44	-10.48	-3.81

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 247 presents the deemed summer peak demand savings value per square foot of solar screen installed.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling value in Table 247 by a factor of 0.6.

Table 247. Solar Screens—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW) per Square Foot of Solar Screen

Climate zone	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.89E-03	1.35E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	3.42E-03	-
Zone 3: Houston	3.29E-03	-
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.17E-03	-
Zone 5: El Paso	3.12E-03	1.07E-03

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 248 presents the deemed winter peak demand savings value per square foot of solar screen installed.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate heating values in Table 248 by a factor of 0.24.²⁷²

²⁷² This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 248. Solar Screens—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW) per Square Foot of Solar Screen

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	-1.16E-04	-1.73E-03	-9.45E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	-5.20E-05	-1.32E-03	-7.96E-04
Zone 3: Houston	-1.07E-04	-2.65E-03	-1.71E-03
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	-7.68E-05	-2.32E-03	-1.08E-03
Zone 5: El Paso	-1.45E-04	-3.34E-03	-1.30E-03

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID BS-WinFilm.²⁷³

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
 - Because heating savings are negative, no adjustment factor will be applied to projects with missing documentation
- Square footage of windows or door openings treated
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification

²⁷³ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 62. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 41070. Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Approve Revisions to Residential and Commercial Deemed Savings Based on Climate Data Specific to El Paso, Texas. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 249. Solar Screens—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Added detail on methodology and model characteristics. Savings awarded for south-facing windows, in addition to east- and west-facing windows.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Multiplier provided to adjust cooling side savings for homes with evaporative cooling due to lower energy usage and demand associated with evaporative coolers relative to refrigerated air. Climate Zone 2 savings values awarded for Climate Zone 5 homes with heat pumps.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided example savings calculations.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated energy and demand savings per new prototype energy simulation models. Added separate savings for homes with evaporative cooling.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Added explicit reference to mini-split technology. Added provision for low-income and hard-to-reach customers cooled by room air conditioners to claim savings.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.3.10 ENERGY STAR® Windows Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-EW

Market Sector: Residential

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Measure Category: Building envelope

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

Replacing existing single- or double-pane windows with ENERGY STAR-compliant windows can help reduce heat transfer through window glazing, minimize air infiltration around window frames, reduce sun ultraviolet damage to household furniture, and lower household energy bills by an average of 12 percent nationwide.²⁷⁴

Window savings are calculated on a per-square-foot-of-window basis, inclusive of frame and sash.

Eligibility Criteria

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes, or to customers in TRM Climate Zones 1 and 5 who have evaporative cooling systems. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Baseline Condition

There are two base cases: single-pane and double-pane windows. In both cases, a metal frame is specified. Estimated U-Values and SHGCs for baseline windows are presented in Table 250. A weighted single- and double-pane baseline is also provided, assuming a standard distribution

²⁷⁴ ENERGY STAR Windows, Doors, & Skylights.

https://www.energystar.gov/products/res_windows_doors_skylights.

of 46 percent single-pane and 54 percent double-pane based on 2020 RECS survey data.²⁷⁵ This baseline may be used exclusively if applied consistently for all projects.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²⁷⁶ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²⁷⁷ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

Table 250. Windows—Baseline Window Specification

Number of panes	U-factor Btu/(h·sq. ft.·°F)	Solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC)
1	1.16	0.76
2	0.76	0.67

High-Efficiency Condition

Performance criteria are based on ratings certified by the National Fenestration Rating Council (NFRC) and vary by location.

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 7.0 Requirements for eligible windows, doors, and skylights effective October 23, 2023.²⁷⁸ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Table 251. Windows—ENERGY STAR Requirements²⁷⁹

US region, ENERGY STAR	U-factor Btu/(h·sq. ft.·°F)	Solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC)
North-Central	≤ 0.25	≤ 0.40
South-Central	≤ 0.28	≤ 0.23
Southern	≤ 0.32	≤ 0.23

²⁷⁵ 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS). Structural and geographic characteristics in the South and West regions (HC2.8). Analysis based on West South-Central census region. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

²⁷⁶ Electric Resistance Heating. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²⁷⁷ Portable Heaters. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

²⁷⁸ ENERGY STAR Residential Windows, Doors, and Skylights Final Version 6.0 Program Requirements. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ES_Residential_WDS_V7_Final%20Specification%2022.pdf.

²⁷⁹ ENERGY STAR Windows, Doors, and Skylights Climate Zone Finder. Note that these zones differ from the TRM climate zones. https://www.energystar.gov/products/building_products/residential_windows_doors_and_skylights/climate_zone/search.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Deemed savings values have been estimated using calibrated simulation models. Base case homes were fitted with single-pane and double-pane windows. Efficiency case homes were equipped with windows meeting the appropriate ENERGY STAR window specification for the location in which the window was to be installed. The climate zones in the ENERGY STAR windows specification were mapped to the Texas TRM climate zones as shown in Table 252.

Table 252. Windows—TRM and ENERGY STAR Climate Zones

Climate zone	US region, ENERGY STAR
Zone 1: Amarillo	North-Central
Zone 2: Dallas	South-Central
Zone 3: Houston	Southern
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Southern
Zone 5: El Paso	South-Central

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 253 through Table 255 present the energy savings (kWh) for the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling values in Table 253 and Table 255 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate deemed heating values by a factor of 0.24.²⁸⁰

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed heating energy savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

²⁸⁰ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 253. Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.83	0.98	0.29	6.70	3.16
Zone 2: Dallas	5.42	–	0.10	3.09	1.45
Zone 3: Houston	5.32	–	0.02	0.77	0.41
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	5.97	–	0.02	0.82	0.34
Zone 5: El Paso	5.67	1.90	0.00	0.99	0.69

Table 254. Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.03	0.72	0.18	4.15	2.00
Zone 2: Dallas	4.11	–	0.04	1.47	0.76
Zone 3: Houston	3.96	–	-0.01	-0.21	0.01
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	4.45	–	0.00	-0.01	0.02
Zone 5: El Paso	4.24	1.46	-0.03	-0.18	0.16

Table 255. Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Evaporative	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.40	0.84	0.23	5.33	2.54
Zone 2: Dallas	4.71	–	0.07	2.22	1.08
Zone 3: Houston	4.59	–	–	0.24	0.19
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	5.15	–	0.01	0.37	0.17
Zone 5: El Paso	4.90	1.66	-0.02	0.36	0.40

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 256 through Table 258 presents the summer demand savings (kW) for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate deemed cooling values by a factor of 0.6.

Table 256. Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	3.09E-03	1.16E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	3.89E-03	–
Zone 3: Houston	3.51E-03	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2.99E-03	–
Zone 5: El Paso	3.86E-03	1.05E-03

Table 257. Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.08E-03	8.36E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	2.80E-03	–
Zone 3: Houston	2.40E-03	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2.15E-03	–
Zone 5: El Paso	2.76E-03	8.09E-04

Table 258. Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Refrigerated	Evaporative
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.55E-03	9.86E-04
Zone 2: Dallas	3.30E-03	–
Zone 3: Houston	2.91E-03	–
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	2.54E-03	–
Zone 5: El Paso	3.27E-03	9.20E-04

Deemed Winter Demand Savings

Table 259 through Table 261 presents the winter demand savings (kW) for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate deemed heating values by a factor of 0.24.²⁸¹

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed winter peak demand savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

²⁸¹ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 259. Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	2.01E-04	4.98E-03	2.43E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	1.77E-04	4.73E-03	2.74E-03
Zone 3: Houston	6.89E-05	1.78E-03	3.11E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	4.78E-05	1.65E-03	6.68E-04
Zone 5: El Paso	2.83E-05	1.10E-03	5.00E-04

Table 260. Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	1.32E-04	3.30E-03	1.64E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	1.12E-04	3.16E-03	1.89E-03
Zone 3: Houston	2.33E-05	6.68E-04	3.58E-06
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1.53E-05	5.62E-04	2.34E-04
Zone 5: El Paso	1.31E-05	5.84E-04	2.76E-04

Table 261. Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	1.64E-04	4.08E-03	2.00E-03
Zone 2: Dallas	4.42E-04	3.88E-03	2.28E-03
Zone 3: Houston	4.44E-05	1.18E-03	1.46E-04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.03E-05	1.06E-03	4.34E-04
Zone 5: El Paso	2.01E-05	8.22E-04	3.79E-04

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. A home in Climate Zone 1 with evaporative cooling and an electric resistance furnace replaces 125 square feet of single-pane windows with ENERGY STAR windows.

$$\text{Energy Savings} = (0.98 + 6.70) \times 125 = 960 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 1.16 \times 10^{-3} \times 125 = 0.15 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 4.98 \times 10^{-3} \times 125 = 0.62 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. A home in Climate Zone 5 with a central air conditioning unit and a gas furnace replaces 250 square feet of windows with unknown number of panes with ENERGY STAR windows.

$$\text{Energy Demand Savings} = (4.90 + (-0.02)) \times 250 = 1,220 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings} = 3.27 \times 10^{-3} \times 250 = 0.82 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand Savings} = 2.01 \times 10^{-5} \times 250 = 0.01 \text{ kW}$$

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

According to the GDS Associates Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures (2007), the Estimated Useful Life is 25 years for ENERGY STAR windows²⁸².

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (evaporative cooling, central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Baseline window number of panes (single, double, weighted)
 - The weighted baseline may be used if applied universally for all projects in a given program during the entire program year.
- U-factor and SHGC of each new window
- Area of new ENERGY STAR windows
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

²⁸² “Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures,” The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG). June 2007.
https://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/8842/CEE_Eval_MeasureLifeStudyLights&HVACGDS_1Jun2007.pdf.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 48. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 27903. Order Adopting New §25.184 as Approved at the August 21, 2003, Open Meeting and Submitted to the Secretary of State. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 262. Windows—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Multiplier provided to adjust cooling side savings for homes with evaporative cooling due to lower energy usage and demand associated with evaporative coolers relative to refrigerated air. Climate Zone 2 savings values awarded for Climate Zone 5 homes.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided example savings calculations. Consolidated table formats.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated energy and demand savings per new prototype energy simulation models. Added separate savings for homes with evaporative cooling.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Added explicit reference to mini-split technology
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Added space heat adjustment factor and electric resistance documentation requirement.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revision.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Added option for a weighted single-pane and double-pane baseline.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated ENERGY STAR specification. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

2.3.11 ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-BE-SW

Market Sector: Residential

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Measure Category: Building envelope

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling and third-party field testing

Measure Description

ENERGY STAR low-e storm windows are a glazing attachment added to single- or double-pane windows. Storm windows are an affordable option for homes where full window replacement may be difficult. Low-emissivity (low-e) metal oxide coating decreases the summer heat gain and winter heat loss of an existing window by reducing thermal transmission. Thermal transmission is reduced as follows:

- The low-e coating acts as a selective heat mirror that reflects infrared light back outside during the summer and back onto the home during the winter.
- The marine-quality glazing and caulked or compression-sealed interface reduces air leakage and infiltration.
- The dead air space, or air barrier, created between the existing window and new storm window frame further reduces thermal transmission during both summer and winter.

The low-e coating is extremely durable and has negligible impact on visible light transmission.

Eligibility Criteria

A low-e storm window may be installed on the interior or exterior of the existing window assembly. Installation is a simple process that is often completed by residential homeowners without the assistance of professional contractors. Due to the simple installation process, low-e storm windows are sometimes installed seasonally. However, savings estimates assume windows are installed for the entire year. Therefore, windows should be permanently mounted and operable.

Cooling savings in this measure apply to customers with central or mini-split electric refrigerated air conditioning in their homes. Homes must be centrally heated with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. Customers who participate in hard-to-reach (HTR) or low-income (LI) programs are eligible to claim reduced heating savings for homes heated with gas or electric resistance space heaters by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for that heat type. Customers participating in HTR or LI programs are also eligible to claim reduced cooling savings for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by applying an adjustment to deemed savings that is specified for homes with central refrigerated air.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an existing single- or double-pane window assembly according to manufacturer specifications. A weighted single- and double-pane baseline is also provided, assuming a standard distribution of 46 percent single-pane and 54 percent double-pane based on 2020 RECS survey data.²⁸³ This baseline may be used exclusively if applied consistently for all projects.

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.²⁸⁴ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.²⁸⁵ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters may be eligible for reduced savings as described in the Deemed Energy and Summer/Winter Demand Savings Tables sections.

High-Efficiency Condition

Performance criteria are based on ratings certified by the National Fenestration Rating Council (NFRC) and vary by location.

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 1.0 Requirements for eligible exterior and interior storm windows effective September 5, 2018.²⁸⁶ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

²⁸³ 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS). Structural and geographic characteristics in the South and West regions (HC2.8). Analysis based on West South-Central census region. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

²⁸⁴ Electric Resistance Heating. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

²⁸⁵ Portable Heaters. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

²⁸⁶ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Exterior and Interior Storm Windows, v1.0. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/Storm%20Window%20Product%20Specification_Final_0.pdf.

Table 263. Low-E Storm Windows—ENERGY STAR Requirements

US region, ENERGY STAR	Emissivity	Solar transmission
North-Central	≤ 0.22	Any
South-Central	≤ 0.22	≤ 0.55
Southern	≤ 0.22	≤ 0.55

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Deemed savings values have been estimated using Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory’s RESFEN building simulation models for residential fenestration.²⁸⁷ The properties of low-e storm windows used in the RESFEN building models are presented in Table 264. This measure assumes equal weighting between the three low-e storm window glass options.

Table 264. Low-E Storm Windows—Window Assembly Properties²⁸⁸

Window type	Glass options	U-factor	SHGC	Air leakage
Storm window over existing single-pane	Low-e	0.35	0.47	1.25
	Low-e with solar control	0.35	0.32	1.25
Storm window over existing double-pane	Low-e	0.26	0.43	1.25
	Low-e with solar control	0.27	0.29	1.25

Assumed building characteristics are based on a 1,700 square-foot single-story and 2,800 square-foot two-story residence. The modeled residence has a 15 percent window-to-floor-area ratio. Assumed building characteristics are presented in Table 265.

Table 265. Low-E Storm Windows—Modeled Building Characteristics

Characteristic	Model assumption
Area	Single-story: 1,700 sq. ft. Two-story: 2,800 sq. ft.
Existing window performance ^{289,290}	Single pane: 0.88 U-factor, 0.61 SHGC, 2 cfm/sq. ft. air infiltration Double pane: 0.51 U-factor, 0.57 SHGC, 2 cfm/sq. ft. air infiltration
Existing window area	15 percent of floor area

²⁸⁷ RESFEN window tool. LBNL. <https://windows.lbl.gov/software/resfen>.

²⁸⁸ Averaged values from the selected products in Attachments Energy Rating Council (AERC). <https://aercenergyrating.org/product-search/residential-product-search/>.

²⁸⁹ Culp, TD and KA Cort. “Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across US Climate Zones.” US DOE, September 2014.

https://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-22864rev2.pdf.

²⁹⁰ Air infiltration assumption from: “AERC 1.2: Physical Test Methods for Measuring Energy Performance Properties of Fenestration Attachments.” AERC, 2018. www.aercnet.org.

Characteristic	Model assumption
Existing window frame	Wood double-hung
Foundation	Slab on-grade
Insulation	Newer construction: IECC 2006 based on climate zone Older construction: See RESFEN 6 documentation
HVAC efficiency	Newer construction: 13 SEER, 7.7 HSPF, 0.8 AFUE for IECC Climate Zones 1-3 and 0.9 AFUE for IECC Climate Zones 4-8 Older construction: 10 SEER, 6.8 HSPF, 0.78 AFUE

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 266 through Table 268 present the energy savings (kWh) for the five Texas climate zones. Annual energy savings are the sum of cooling and heating savings for the appropriate equipment types.

For customers who participate in HTR or LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate cooling values in Table 266 and Table 268 by a factor of 0.6. Similarly, for HTR/LI customers, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate deemed heating values by a factor of 0.24.²⁹¹

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed heating energy savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

Savings are an average of newer and older construction baselines for retrofit applications.

Table 266. Low-E Storm Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	1.49	–	15.66	5.34
Zone 2: Dallas	2.52	–	6.65	2.09
Zone 3: Houston	2.49	–	4.55	1.48
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.22	–	2.82	0.80
Zone 5: El Paso	2.35	–	6.00	2.06

²⁹¹ This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1,200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 267. Low-E Storm Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	1.56	–	6.23	2.35
Zone 2: Dallas	2.50	–	2.46	0.88
Zone 3: Houston	2.62	–	1.84	0.67
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.21	–	1.05	0.32
Zone 5: El Paso	2.37	–	1.90	0.79

Table 268. Low-E Storm Windows—Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Cooling savings	Heating savings		
	Refrigerated	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	1.53	–	10.58	3.73
Zone 2: Dallas	2.51	–	4.39	1.44
Zone 3: Houston	2.56	–	3.09	1.04
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	3.21	–	1.87	0.54
Zone 5: El Paso	2.36	–	3.79	1.38

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 269 through Table 271 present the summer demand savings (kW) for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, cooling savings may be claimed for homes cooled by one or more room air conditioners by multiplying appropriate deemed cooling values by a factor of 0.6.

Table 269. Low-E Storm Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Refrigerated air
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.0016
Zone 2: Dallas	0.0018
Zone 3: Houston	0.0016
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.0016
Zone 5: El Paso	0.0016

Table 270. Low-E Storm Windows—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Refrigerated air
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.0016
Zone 2: Dallas	0.0017
Zone 3: Houston	0.0016
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.0016
Zone 5: El Paso	0.0015

Table 271. Low-E Storm Window—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Refrigerated air
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.0016
Zone 2: Dallas	0.0017
Zone 3: Houston	0.0016
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.0016
Zone 5: El Paso	0.0015

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 272 through Table 274 present the winter demand savings (kW) for the five Texas climate zones.

For customers who participate in HTR/LI programs, heating savings may be claimed for homes with electric resistance space heaters serving as the primary heating source by multiplying appropriate deemed heating values by a factor of 0.24.²⁹²

For residences reporting electric resistance heat, a documentation adjustment factor of 0.75 will be applied to deemed winter peak demand savings if no documentation is provided to validate the heating equipment. In all other cases, the documentation adjustment factor is set to 1.0.

²⁹² This factor was derived based on expected capacity reduction assuming 1,200 sq. ft. (historical analysis of HTR participants) x 0.35 BTU/sq. ft. = 42,000 BTU for central electric furnaces and two 1,500-watt portable heaters per home rated at 5,100 BTU/heater. Taking the ratio of portable to furnace capacity yields $10,200 \div 42,000 = 0.24$.

Table 272. Low-E Storm Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	–	0.0116	0.0041
Zone 2: Dallas	–	0.0102	0.0039
Zone 3: Houston	–	0.0105	0.0011
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	–	0.0057	0.0016
Zone 5: El Paso	–	0.0067	0.0015

Table 273. Low-E Storm Windows—Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	–	0.0050	0.0019
Zone 2: Dallas	–	0.0053	0.0022
Zone 3: Houston	–	0.0039	0.0002
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	–	0.0019	0.0037
Zone 5: El Paso	–	0.0035	0.0014

Table 274. Low-E Storm Windows—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Weighted-Pane Baseline

Climate zone	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Amarillo	–	0.0080	0.0029
Zone 2: Dallas	–	0.0076	0.0030
Zone 3: Houston	–	0.0069	0.0006
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	–	0.0037	0.0027
Zone 5: El Paso	–	0.0050	0.0014

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

No load shape could be extracted from the building simulation for this measure. Due to the equivalent load shape with the existing ENERGY STAR Windows measure, demand savings were estimated by applying the ratio of energy to demand savings from the windows measure to the modeled storm windows energy savings.

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for low-e storm windows is 20 years according to the US Department of Energy.²⁹³

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Cooling type (central refrigerated cooling, room air conditioner, none)
- Heating type (central gas, portable gas, central electric resistance, portable electric resistance, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Baseline window number of panes (single, double, weighted)
 - The weighted baseline may be used if applied universally for all projects in a given program during the entire program year.
- Emissivity and solar transmission of each new window
- Area of new ENERGY STAR storm windows
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

²⁹³ Culp, TD and KA Cort. "Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across US Climate Zones." US DOE, September 2014.

https://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-22864rev2.pdf.

Document Revision History

Table 275. Low-E Storm Windows—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 origin.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Added option for a weighted single-pane and double-pane baseline.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified application of electric resistance documentation adjustment factor.

2.4 RESIDENTIAL: WATER HEATING

2.4.1 Water Heater Installations—Electric Tankless and Fuel Substitution Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-WH

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves installing a new electric tankless²⁹⁴ or gas-fueled water heater (storage or tankless) in place of an electric storage water heater.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure involves installing a gas storage, gas (instantaneous tankless), or electric tankless water heater in place of an electric storage water heater that meets all the additional requirements described below. Currently, there are no conventional, electrically fueled storage units that sufficiently exceed the new federal standard to merit inclusion as an efficient condition in these deemed savings.

Savings may be awarded for installations in newly constructed homes where customer and utility representatives provide written indication that an electric storage water heater would otherwise have been installed, along with relevant design documentation showing an electric storage water heater.

²⁹⁴ Currently, most electric tankless water heaters are rated at or near the federal standard and may yield negative or no energy savings using the current baseline. However, this measure maintains eligibility for any electric tankless water heaters that may be rated above current minimum efficiency requirements.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an electric storage water heater with baseline efficiency Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) determined by tank size and first hour rating (FHR), a proxy for draw pattern. This baseline is specified according to the current federal energy efficiency standards for residential water heaters with tank sizes from 20 to 120 gallons, effective April 16, 2015, as published in 10 CFR Part 430.32 of the Federal Register (see Table 276).²⁹⁵

This baseline applies to replace-on-burnout and new construction applications. No additional savings are awarded for early retirement. Early retirement projects should calculate savings using an assumed replace-on-burnout baseline.

Table 276. DHW Replacements—Federal Standard for Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters

Rated storage volume	Draw pattern	First hour rating (FHR) ^{296,297}	Uniform energy factor (UEF) ²⁹⁸
≥ 20 gal and ≤ 55 gal	Very small usage	$0 \leq \text{FHR} < 18$	$0.8808 - (0.0008 \times V_r)$
	Low usage	$18 \leq \text{FHR} < 51$	$0.9254 - (0.0003 \times V_r)$
	Medium usage	$51 \leq \text{FHR} < 75$	$0.9307 - (0.0002 \times V_r)$
	High usage	$75 \leq \text{FHR}$	$0.9349 - (0.0001 \times V_r)$
> 55 gal and ≤ 120 gal	Very small usage	$0 \leq \text{FHR} < 18$	$1.9236 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$
	Low usage	$18 \leq \text{FHR} < 51$	$2.0440 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$
	Medium usage	$51 \leq \text{FHR} < 75$	$2.1171 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$
	High usage	$75 \leq \text{FHR}$	$2.2418 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$

High-Efficiency Condition

Eligible equipment must be compliant with the current ENERGY STAR v5.0 specification effective April 18, 2023, with qualified products meeting the minimum requirements from

Table 277²⁹⁹. However, the ENERGY STAR v5.0 specification does not cover electric tankless water heaters or gas storage products with an FHR less than 51. In these cases, the high efficiency condition corresponds to the respective federal standards for residential water heaters.³⁰⁰

Table 277 has consolidated both sources in one location for ease of reference.

²⁹⁵ 10 CFR Part 430.32 Energy and water conservation standards and their effective dates. Available online: https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=32.

²⁹⁶ “The Revised Method of Test for Residential Water Heating and Its Impact on Incentive Programs” presentation, Glanville, Paul. ACEEE Hot Water Forum. February 24, 2015. <https://aceee.org/sites/default/files/pdf/conferences/hwf/2015/6B-Glanville.pdf>.

²⁹⁷ Assume FHR equal to that of installed water heater.

²⁹⁸ V_r is the rated storage volume (in gallons), as determined pursuant to 10 CFR 429.17.

²⁹⁹ https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Residential%20Water%20Heater%20Version%205.0%20Specification%20and%20Partner%20Commitments_0.pdf.

³⁰⁰ 10 CFR Part 430.32 Energy and water conservation standards and their effective dates. Available online: https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=32.

For water heater replacement and fuel substitution, the new unit must meet the following federal minimum energy factor shown in Table 277. Water heaters must be installed in accordance with local code requirements.

FHR does not apply to tankless water heaters, which are rated in terms of max gallons per minute (GPM). For gas storage water heaters, reported draw pattern should be consistent with the ENERGY STAR certificate.³⁰¹

Table 277. DHW Replacements—Efficiency Standards³⁰²

DHW type	Rated storage volume	Draw pattern	FHR	UEF ³⁰³
Electric tankless ³⁰⁴	< 2 gal	Very small usage	N/A	0.91
		Low usage		0.91
		Medium usage		0.91
		High usage		0.92
Gas tankless	< 2 gal and > 50,000 Btuh	Very small usage	N/A	0.95
		Low usage		
		Medium usage		
		High usage		
Gas storage	≥ 20 gal and ≤ 55 gal	Very small usage	0 ≤ FHR < 18	0.3456 – (0.0020 x Vr)
		Low usage	18 ≤ FHR < 51	0.5982 – (0.0019 x Vr)
		Medium usage	51 ≤ FHR < 75	0.81
		High usage	75 ≤ FHR	0.86
	> 55 gal and ≤ 100 gal	Very small usage	0 ≤ FHR < 18	0.86
		Low usage	18 ≤ FHR < 51	
		Medium usage	51 ≤ FHR < 75	
		High usage	75 ≤ FHR	

³⁰¹ As of August 2023, all gas tankless products on the ENERGY STAR qualified product listing were rated as high usage. <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-water-heaters/results>.

³⁰² 10 CFR Part 430.32 Energy and water conservation standards. Available online: https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=32.

³⁰³ Vr is the rated storage volume (in gallons), as determined pursuant to 10 CFR 429.17.

³⁰⁴ There is no ENERGY STAR tankless water heater category because all products perform at or near the federal standard. These units are still eligible to claim savings against the *electric storage water heater* baseline if draw pattern and UEF can be verified using manufacturer specification sheets or other documentation.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

All deemed savings values are calculated using the following standard algorithms for water heating. These algorithms assume a replace-on-burnout or new construction scenario but may be used to award savings for early retirement projects.

Electric Tankless Water Heater

Energy Savings Algorithm

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,avg}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{\text{pre}}} - \frac{1}{UEF_{\text{post}}} \right)}{3,412}$$

Equation 67

Where:

- ρ = Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
- C_p = Specific heat of water [Btu/lb·°F] = 1
- GPY = Estimated annual hot water use in gallons/year, specified by number of bedrooms in the home (see Table 278). For midstream/upstream applications, the number of bedrooms is assumed to be 3.³⁰⁵

Table 278. DHW Replacements—Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)³⁰⁶

Climate zone	Number of bedrooms			
	1	2	3	4
Zone 1: Amarillo	15,476	20,171	24,866	29,561
Zone 2: Dallas	14,778	19,244	23,710	28,177
Zone 3: Houston	14,492	18,864	23,236	27,608
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	14,213	18,494	22,775	27,056
Zone 5: El Paso	14,905	19,412	23,920	28,427

³⁰⁵ Weighted average of number of bedrooms in West South-Central Region. 2020 RECS Survey Data – Table HC2.8 Structural and geographic characteristics of homes in the South and West regions, 2020. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

³⁰⁶ Building America Research Benchmark Definition. December 2009, p 13. Available online: <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>.

$T_{setpoint}$	=	Water heater setpoint temperature [$^{\circ}F$] ³⁰⁷ = 120
$T_{supply,avg}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [$^{\circ}F$] (see Table 279)
UEF_{pre}	=	Baseline uniform energy factor (see Table 276) ³⁰⁸
UEF_{post}	=	Uniform energy factor of new water heater (see Table 277)
3,412	=	Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

Table 279. DHW Replacements—Water Mains Temperature ($^{\circ}F$)³⁰⁹

Climate zone	$T_{supply,avg}$	$T_{supply,seasonal}$	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

Demand Savings Algorithm

Peak Demand Savings [ΔkW]

$$= \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{setpoint} - T_{supply,seasonal}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{pre}} - \frac{1}{UEF_{post}} \right)}{365 \times 3,412} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 68

Where:

$CF_{S/W}$	=	Summer/winter peak coincidence factor (see Table 280)
$T_{supply,seasonal}$	=	Seasonal supply water temperature [$^{\circ}F$] (see Table 279)

³⁰⁷ 120 $^{\circ}F$ represents the assumed water heater setpoint. The New York Department of Public Service recommends using the water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs.” Page 99. October 2010. The data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015) also supports a default value of 120 $^{\circ}F$.

³⁰⁸ Note that for efficient water heater installations in newly-constructed homes, the baseline energy factor is the efficiency of the electric storage water heater that would otherwise have been installed, according to appropriate design documentation.

³⁰⁹ Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) 3 dataset for TMY3, available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer. <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Table 280. DHW Replacements—Coincidence Factors³¹⁰

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.042	0.067
Zone 2: Dallas	0.039	0.068
Zone 3: Houston	0.041	0.070
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.041	0.065
Zone 5: El Paso	0.036	0.067

Gas Storage or Tankless Water Heater (Fuel Substitution)

Energy and demand savings awarded for replacing an electric water heater with a gas storage or gas tankless water heater are equal to the consumption of the unit replaced.

Energy Savings Algorithm for Units Less than 55 Gallons

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,annual}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{pre}}\right)}{3,412}$$

Equation 69

Demand Savings Algorithm for Units Less than 55 Gallons

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] \\ & = CF_S \times \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,summer}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{pre}}\right)}{365 \times 3,412} \end{aligned}$$

Equation 70

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Winter Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] \\ & = CF_W \times \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,winter}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{pre}}\right)}{365 \times 3,412} \end{aligned}$$

Equation 71

³¹⁰ Probability weighted peak load factors are calculated according to the method in Section 4 of the Texas TRM Vol 1 using data from Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes, page 18, Figure 4: Combined Domestic Hot Water Use Profile. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy06osti/38238.pdf>.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. An existing 40-gallon electric water heater in a two-bedroom home in Dallas is replaced with a new, electric tankless water heater with a high usage draw pattern and a uniform energy factor of 0.92. Important: note that energy savings can be negative if the UEF rating does not exceed the equivalent electric storage water heater baseline.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{[8.33 \times 1 \times 19,244 \times (120 - 71.8) \times (\frac{1}{0.9309} - \frac{1}{0.92})]}{3,412} = -29 kWh$$

$$\Delta kW_S = 0.042 \times \frac{[8.33 \times 1 \times 19,244 \times (120 - 84) \times (\frac{1}{0.930} - \frac{1}{0.92})]}{365 \times 3,412} = -0.002 kW$$

$$\Delta kW_W = 0.068 \times \frac{[8.33 \times 1 \times 19,244 \times (120 - 60.6) \times (\frac{1}{0.9227} - \frac{1}{0.99})]}{365 \times 3,412} = -0.007 kW$$

Example 2. An old 30-gallon electric water heater in a one-bedroom house in El Paso is replaced with a new gas storage water heater with a first-hour rating of 51 gal/hr and a uniform energy factor of 0.81.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{[8.33 \times 1 \times 14,905 \times (120 - 70.4) \times (\frac{1}{0.9247})]}{3,412} = 1,952 kWh$$

$$\Delta kW_S = 0.036 \times \frac{[8.33 \times 1 \times 14,905 \times (120 - 81.5) \times (\frac{1}{0.9247})]}{365 \times 3,412} = 0.15 kW$$

$$\Delta kW_W = 0.067 \times \frac{[8.33 \times 1 \times 14,905 \times (120 - 60.4) \times (\frac{1}{0.9247})]}{365 \times 3,412} = 0.43 kW$$

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 20 years for a tankless water heater (gas or electric), as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-Instant-Res.³¹¹

The EUL is 11 years for a high-efficiency gas water heater, as specified for EUL ID WtrHt-Res-Gas.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Number of bedrooms (not required for upstream/midstream program delivery)
- Water heater quantity
- Manufacturer and model number of new water heater
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching model number (if applicable)
- Baseline volume (gallons), FHR, and UEF
- New water heater volume (gallons, zero if tankless), FHR, and UEF
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

³¹¹ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Document Revision History

Table 281. DHW Replacements—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	04/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Updated measure to require electric tankless rather than electric storage water heater installation for non-fuel-switching option. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	01/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. Updated to reflect that new construction permitted to claim savings subject to documentation requirements and that gas-fueled tankless water heaters are eligible for installation.
v3.0	04/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Amended fuel substitution savings to reflect the full consumption of the electric unit being replaced. Revised demand savings for installing an electric tankless unit to reflect daily usage patterns.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Clarified the baseline for water heaters greater than 55 gallons.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated HPWH baseline usage for gas storage water heaters larger than 55 gallons.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Implemented new baseline and high-efficiency standards.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Clarified HPWH baseline for tanks sizes over 55 gal. Updated algorithms to refer to UEF.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Verified compliance with ENERGY STAR specification v4.0. Updated documentation requirements.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Removed requirement to install HPWH for DHW > 55 gallons. Incorporated updated ENERGY STAR specification v5.0. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.4.2 ENERGY STAR® Heat Pump Water Heaters Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-HW

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves the installation of an ENERGY STAR-compliant heat pump water heater (HPWH). Note that this measure does not account for the interactive air conditioning energy savings and heating penalty associated with the HPWH when installed inside conditioned space.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to residential, electric, and storage-type heat pump water heaters. Heat pump add-ons to existing storage water heaters are ineligible. The measure does not apply to the replacement of gas water heaters.

First hour rating (FHR) is a proxy for draw pattern. There are no certified ENERGY STAR water heaters in the very small usage category, and that draw pattern is not covered in the current ENERGY STAR specification. Approximately 94 percent of certified units are in the medium and high usage categories. However, HPWHs with low usage draw patterns are eligible as long as they comply with minimum ENERGY STAR FHR requirements.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an electric storage water heater (EWH) with baseline efficiency uniform energy factor (UEF) determined by tank size and FHR. This baseline is specified according to the current federal energy efficiency standards for residential water heaters with tank sizes 20 to 120 gallons, effective April 16, 2015, as published in 10 CFR Part 430.32 of the Federal Register.³¹²

³¹² 10 CFR Part 430.32 Energy and water conservation standards and their effective dates.

www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8.

This baseline applies to replace-on-burnout and new construction applications. No additional savings are awarded for early retirement at this time. Early retirement projects should calculate savings using an assumed replace-on-burnout baseline. However, the Department of Energy (DOE) issued an updated final rule for consumer water heaters, effective July 5, 2024.³¹³ Compliance with the new federal standard will be enforced as of May 6, 2029. The TRM will add an early retirement baseline after the effective date for the new standard.

Table 282. HPWHs—Federal Standard for Residential Water Heaters

Rated storage volume	Draw pattern	FHR ^{314,315}	UEF ³¹⁶
≥ 20 gal and ≤ 55 gal	Very small usage	0 ≤ FHR < 18	0.8808 – (0.0008 × V _r)
	Low usage	18 ≤ FHR < 51	0.9254 – (0.0003 × V _r)
	Medium usage	51 ≤ FHR < 75	0.9307 – (0.0002 × V _r)
	High usage	75 ≤ FHR	0.9349 – (0.0001 × V _r)
> 55 gal and ≤ 120 gal	Very small usage	0 ≤ FHR < 18	1.9236 – (0.0011 × V _r)
	Low usage	18 ≤ FHR < 51	2.0440 – (0.0011 × V _r)
	Medium usage	51 ≤ FHR < 75	2.1171 – (0.0011 × V _r)
	High usage	75 ≤ FHR	2.2418 – (0.0011 × V _r)

High-Efficiency Condition

Eligible equipment must be compliant with the current ENERGY STAR v5.0 specification, effective April 18, 2023. Qualified products must meet the minimum requirements from Table 283.³¹⁷

Table 283. HPWHs—ENERGY STAR Specification

Criteria		ENERGY STAR Requirements
UEF	Integrated HPWH	UEF ≥ 3.30
	Integrated HPWH, 120 volt/15 amp circuit	UEF ≥ 2.20
	Split-system HPWH	UEF ≥ 2.20
First-hour rating		FHR ≥ 45 gallons per hour

³¹³ Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Consumer Water Heaters. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/consumer-water-heaters>.

³¹⁴ “The Revised Method of Test for Residential Water Heating and Its Impact on Incentive Programs” presentation, Glanville, Paul. ACEEE Hot Water Forum. February 24, 2015. <https://aceee.org/sites/default/files/pdf/conferences/hwf/2015/6B-Glanville.pdf>.

³¹⁵ Assume FHR equal to that of installed water heater.

³¹⁶ V_r is the rated storage volume (in gallons), as determined pursuant to 10 CFR 429.17.

³¹⁷ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Residential Water Heaters. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Residential%20Water%20Heaters%20Version%205.0%20Specification%20and%20Partner%20Commitments_0.pdf.³¹⁸ ENERGY STAR-certified water heaters qualified product listing. <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-heat-pump-water-heaters/results>.

Criteria	ENERGY STAR Requirements
Warranty	Warranty ≥ 6 years on sealed system
Safety	UL 174 and UL 1995 or UL 60335-2-40
Lower compressor cut-off temperature (reporting requirement only)	Report ambient temperature below which the compressor cuts off and electric-resistance-only operation begins

A complete list of certified ENERGY STAR HPWHs can be accessed via the ENERGY STAR program website.³¹⁸

HPWHs depend on adequate ventilation to properly function, including adequate space for both inlet and outlet airflow, and should be installed in spaces in where temperature does not drop below a certain level. The Department of Energy recommends installation in locations that remain above 40°F year-round and provide a minimum of 1,000 cubic feet of air space around the water heater.³¹⁹ Modern HPWHs operate with little to no change in performance with considerably less air volume. Updated recommendations reduce the air volume requirement to 700 cubic feet.³²⁰ These conditions are not enforced as an eligibility requirement but should be considered when installing an HPWH.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

HPWH savings are calculated on a per-unit basis. Deemed savings are calculated utilizing the standard algorithms outlined below for water heating. Consumption in gallons per year is estimated using data from Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes.³²¹ Temperature data are based on TMY3 dataset.³²²

For upstream/midstream program delivery, a default of three bedrooms may be used to calculate the annual hot water use in gallons per year (GPY). The default number of bedrooms was estimated by taking the weighted average calculated from 2020 RECS Survey Data.

Energy Savings Algorithm

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,annual}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{\text{pre}}} - \frac{1}{UEF_{\text{post}}} \right)}{3,412}$$

³¹⁸ ENERGY STAR-certified water heaters qualified product listing.

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-heat-pump-water-heaters/results>.

³¹⁹ Heat Pump Water Heaters. Department of Energy, May 2012.

<http://energy.gov/energysaver/articles/heat-pump-water-heaters>

³²⁰ Heat Pump Water Heaters – Code Compliance Brief, US Department of Energy Building Technologies Office. <https://basc.pnnl.gov/code-compliance/heat-pump-water-heaters-code-compliance-brief>.

³²¹ Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes, page 18, figure 4: combined domestic hot water use profile. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy06osti/38238.pdf>.

³²² TMY data is available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer, <https://maps.nrel.gov/nsrdb-viewer/>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Where:

ρ	=	Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
C_p	=	Specific heat of water [Btu/lb·°F] = 1
GPY	=	Estimated annual hot water use in gallons/year, specified by number of bedrooms in the home (see Table 284). For midstream/upstream applications, the number of bedrooms is assumed to be 3. ³²³

Table 284. HPWHs—Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)³²⁴

Climate zone	Number of bedrooms			
	1	2	3	4
Zone 1: Amarillo	15,476	20,171	24,866	29,561
Zone 2: Dallas	14,778	19,244	23,710	28,177
Zone 3: Houston	14,492	18,864	23,236	27,608
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	14,213	18,494	22,775	27,056
Zone 5: El Paso	14,905	19,412	23,920	28,427

T_{setpoint}	=	Water heater setpoint temperature [°F] ³²⁵ = 120
$T_{\text{supply,annual}}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 285)
UEF_{pre}	=	Baseline uniform energy factor (calculate per Table 282) ³²⁶
UEF_{post}	=	Uniform energy factor of new water heater
3,412	=	Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

³²³ Weighted average of number of bedrooms in West South-Central Region. 2020 RECS Survey Data – Table HC2.8 Structural and geographic characteristics of homes in the South and West regions, 2020. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

³²⁴ Building America Research Benchmark Definition. December 2009, p 13. Available online: <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>.

³²⁵ 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. The New York Department of Public Service recommends using the water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs.” Page 99. October 2010. The data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015) also supports a default value of 120°F.

³²⁶ Note that for efficient water heater installations in new construction homes, the baseline uniform energy factor is the efficiency of the electric storage water heater that would otherwise have been installed, according to appropriate design documentation.

Table 285. HPWHs—Water Mains Temperature (°F)³²⁷

Climate zone	T _{supply,annual}	T _{supply,seasonal}	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

Demand Savings Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] \\
 = & \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,seasonal}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{\text{pre}}} - \frac{1}{UEF_{\text{post}}} \right)}{365 \times 3,412} \times CF_{S/W}
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 73

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\text{supply,seasonal}} &= \text{Seasonal supply water temperature } [^{\circ}\text{F}] \text{ (see Table 285)} \\
 CF_{S/W} &= \text{Summer/winter peak coincidence factor (see Table 286)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 286. HPWHs—Coincidence Factors³²⁸

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.042	0.067
Zone 2: Dallas	0.039	0.068
Zone 3: Houston	0.041	0.070
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.041	0.065
Zone 5: El Paso	0.036	0.067

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

³²⁷ Based on TMY3 dataset. TMY data is available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer, <https://maps.nrel.gov/nsrdb-viewer/>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

³²⁸ Probability weighted peak load factors are calculated according to the method in Section 4 of the Texas TRM Vol 1 using data from Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes, page 18, Figure 4: Combined Domestic Hot Water Use Profile. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy06osti/38238.pdf>.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for this measure is 13 years.³²⁹

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Number of bedrooms (not required for upstream/midstream program delivery)
- Manufacturer and model number of new HPWH
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching model number (if applicable)
- HPWH quantity
- HPWH type (integrated HPWH, integrated HPWH 120v/15A circuit, split-system HPWH)
- Baseline volume (gallons), FHR, and UEF
- New HPWH volume (gallons), FHR, and UEF
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

³²⁹ 2010 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings, LBNL, “Heat Pump Water Heaters and American Homes: A Good Fit?” p 9-74.

<https://www.aceee.org/files/proceedings/2010/data/papers/2205.pdf>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 287. HPWHs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	04/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	01/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	04/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Consolidated table formats.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Implementation of new baseline and update to the efficiency of qualifying HPWHs.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Added new construction eligibility
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Clarified baseline condition. Confirmed ENERGY STAR-qualified product listing still does not contain a significant number of products with low or very small usage patterns.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Verified compliance with ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Requirements. Updated savings methodology to algorithm approach. Updated documentation requirements.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Incorporated updated ENERGY STAR specification v5.0. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	11/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified forthcoming federal standard effective and compliance dates.

2.4.3 ENERGY STAR® Solar Water Heaters Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-SW

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves installing a new solar water heater in place of an electric storage water heater. Solar water heating deemed savings values are calculated based on the Solar Rating and Certification Corporation's (SRCC) test for solar water heaters (test OG-300).

Eligibility Criteria

These deemed savings are for solar water heaters installed as a replace-on-burnout measure or as an early retirement measure in existing homes and in new construction homes. However, savings are calculated under the assumption of replace-on-burnout.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an electric storage water heater with baseline efficiency uniform energy factor (UEF) determined by tank size and first hour rating (FHR), a proxy for draw pattern. This baseline is specified according to the current federal energy efficiency standards for residential water heaters with tank sizes from 20 to 120 gallons, effective April 16, 2015, as published in 10 CFR Part 430.32 of the Federal Register (see Table 279).³³⁰

This baseline applies to replace-on-burnout, and new construction applications. No additional savings are awarded for early retirement. Early retirement projects should calculate savings using an assumed replace-on-burnout baseline. However, the Department of Energy (DOE) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking for consumer water heaters on July 27, 2023.³³¹ The TRM will add an early retirement baseline after the effective date for the new standard.

³³⁰ 10 CFR Part 430.32 Energy and water conservation standards and their effective dates. Available online: https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=32.

³³¹ Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Consumer Water Heaters. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2017-BT-STD-0019-0063>.

Table 288. Solar DHW—Federal Standard for Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters

Rated storage volume	Draw pattern	FHR ^{332,333}	UEF ³³⁴
≥ 20 gal and ≤ 55 gal	Very small usage	$0 \leq \text{FHR} < 18$	$0.8808 - (0.0008 \times V_r)$
	Low usage	$18 \leq \text{FHR} < 51$	$0.9254 - (0.0003 \times V_r)$
	Medium usage	$51 \leq \text{FHR} < 75$	$0.9307 - (0.0002 \times V_r)$
	High usage	$75 \leq \text{FHR}$	$0.9349 - (0.0001 \times V_r)$
> 55 gal and ≤ 120 gal	Very small usage	$0 \leq \text{FHR} < 18$	$1.9236 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$
	Low usage	$18 \leq \text{FHR} < 51$	$2.0440 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$
	Medium usage	$51 \leq \text{FHR} < 75$	$2.1171 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$
	High usage	$75 \leq \text{FHR}$	$2.2418 - (0.0011 \times V_r)$

High-Efficiency Condition

Eligible equipment must be compliant with the current ENERGY STAR v5.0 specification, effective April 18, 2023. Qualified products must have a solar uniform energy factor (SUEF) greater than or equal to 3.0, and warranties of ≥ 10 years on collectors, ≥ 6 years on sealed systems, ≥ 2 years on controls, and ≥ 1 year on piping and parts.³³⁵ A complete list of certified ENERGY STAR solar water heaters can be accessed via the ENERGY STAR program website.³³⁶

Solar water heaters must be certified according to the current SRCC OG-300 standard based on tank size and final SUEF.³³⁷

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Solar water heating savings values are on a per-unit basis. Variables used to compute deemed savings include tank volume and installed unit SUEF as rated in the SRCC “Summary of SRCC Certified Solar Collector and Water Heating System Ratings.” The SUEF is determined under SRCC's Operating Guideline 300, "Operating Guidelines and Minimum Standards for Certifying Solar Water Heating Systems" and was developed as a means to compare solar water heating systems with conventional water heating systems rated with an UEF and listed in the Gas Appliance Manufacturers Association Directory of Certified Water Heating Products.

³³² “The Revised Method of Test for Residential Water Heating and Its Impact on Incentive Programs” presentation, Glanville, Paul. ACEEE Hot Water Forum. February 24, 2015.

<https://aceee.org/sites/default/files/pdf/conferences/hwf/2015/6B-Glanville.pdf>.

³³³ Assume FHR equal to that of installed water heater.

³³⁴ V_r is the rated storage volume (in gallons), as determined pursuant to 10 CFR 429.17.

³³⁵ ENERGY STAR Requirements (effective January 5th, 2022, released March 29, 2022).

https://www.energystar.gov/products/water_heaters/residential_water_heaters_key_product_criteria.

³³⁶ ENERGY STAR-certified water heaters qualified product listing.

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-solar-water-heaters/results>.

³³⁷ ENERGY STAR certification for residential water heaters. <https://solar-rating.org/programs/estar/>.

Both UEF and SUEF are based on the same environmental and hot water use conditions used in the DOE Test Procedures for Water Heaters. The only significant difference is that the DOE test does not specify solar radiation. So SRCC uses a 1500 Btu/sq. ft./day solar radiation profile—a value typical of Sunbelt states (note - the annual average solar radiation for Dallas is 1533 Btu/sq. ft./day. Information on the SRCC can be found at <http://www.solar-rating.org/>.

All deemed savings values are calculated using the following standard algorithms for water heating. These algorithms assume a replace-on-burnout or new construction scenario but may be used to award savings for early retirement projects.

Energy Savings Algorithm

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,annual}}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{\text{pre}}} - \frac{1}{SUEF_{\text{post}}} \right)}{3,412}$$

Equation 74

Where:

ρ	=	Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
C_p	=	Specific heat of water [Btu/lb·°F] = 1
GPY	=	Estimated annual hot water use in gallons/year, specified by number of bedrooms in the home (see Table 289). For midstream/upstream applications, the number of bedrooms is assumed to be 3. ³³⁸
T_{setpoint}	=	Water heater setpoint temperature [°F] ³³⁹ = 120
$T_{\text{supply,annual}}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 290)
UEF_{pre}	=	Baseline uniform energy factor (calculate per Table 288) ³⁴⁰
$SUEF_{\text{post}}$	=	Solar uniform energy factor of new water heater ³⁴¹
3,412	=	Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

³³⁸ Weighted average of number of bedrooms in West South-Central Region. 2020 RECS Survey Data – Table HC2.8 Structural and geographic characteristics of homes in the South and West regions, 2020. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

³³⁹ 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. The New York Department of Public Service recommends using the water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs.” Page 99. October 2010. The data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015) also supports a default value of 120°F.

³⁴⁰ Note that for efficient water heater installations in new construction homes, the baseline uniform energy factor is the efficiency of the electric storage water heater that would otherwise have been installed, according to appropriate design documentation.

³⁴¹ ENERGY STAR uses an SUEF of 99 to account for systems that use no energy under rating conditions. This value is allowed for the purposes of calculating savings when specified on the ENERGY STAR certificate.

Table 289. Solar DHW—Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)³⁴²

Climate zone	Number of bedrooms			
	1	2	3	4
Zone 1: Amarillo	15,476	20,171	24,866	29,561
Zone 2: Dallas	14,778	19,244	23,710	28,177
Zone 3: Houston	14,492	18,864	23,236	27,608
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	14,213	18,494	22,775	27,056
Zone 5: El Paso	14,905	19,412	23,920	28,427

Table 290. Solar DHW—Water Mains Temperature (°F)³⁴³

Climate zone	T _{supply,annual}	T _{supply,seasonal}	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

Demand Savings Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] \\
 & = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times GPY \times (T_{setpoint} - T_{supply,seasonal}) \times \left(\frac{1}{UEF_{pre}} - \frac{1}{SUEF_{post}} \right)}{365 \times 3,412} \times CF_{S/W}
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 75

Where:

$T_{supply,seasonal}$ = Seasonal supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 290)

$CF_{S/W}$ = Summer/winter peak coincidence factor (see Table 291)

³⁴² Building America Research Benchmark Definition. December 2009, p 13. Available online: <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>.

³⁴³ Based on TMY3 dataset. TMY data is available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer, <https://maps.nrel.gov/nsrdb-viewer/>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Table 291. Solar DHW—Coincidence Factors³⁴⁴

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.042	0.067
Zone 2: Dallas	0.039	0.068
Zone 3: Houston	0.041	0.070
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.041	0.065
Zone 5: El Paso	0.036	0.067

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 15 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-SWH.³⁴⁵

³⁴⁴ Probability weighted peak load factors are calculated according to the method in Section 4 of the Texas TRM Vol 1 using data from Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes, page 18, Figure 4: Combined Domestic Hot Water Use Profile.
<https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy06osti/38238.pdf>.

³⁴⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Number of bedrooms (not required for upstream/midstream program delivery)
- Solar DHW quantity
- Manufacturer and model number of new solar water heater
- Baseline volume (gallons), FHR, and UEF
- New solar water heater volume (gallons), FHR, and SUEF
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 22241, Item 62. Petition by Frontier Energy for Approval of Second Set of Deemed Savings Estimates. Public Utility Commission of Texas.
- Docket No. 27903. Order Adopting New §25.184 as Approved at the August 21, 2003, Open Meeting and Submitted to the Secretary of State. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 292. Solar DHW—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated algorithms and coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Verified compliance with ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Requirements. Updated documentation requirements.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Incorporated updated ENERGY STAR specification v5.0. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified use of high efficiency ratings.

2.4.4 Water Heater Tank Insulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-TI

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure requires the installation of tank wrap insulation on an uninsulated water heater tank.

Eligibility Criteria

Water heater tank insulation is a residential retrofit measure. New construction and water heater replacements are not eligible for this measure because they must meet current code requirements. Tank insulation must be installed on an uninsulated electric resistance water heater.

To be eligible for this measure, water heaters must have been installed prior to April 16, 2015. Water heaters manufactured after this date are compliant with the current federal standard³⁴⁶ and are built with a thicker tank with a higher baseline R-value. Modern water heaters are expected to be rated at a minimum of R-24.^{347,348}

³⁴⁶ “Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Water Heaters, Direct Heating Equipment, and Pool Heaters”. Effective 6/15/2010 with compliance starting 5/16/2015. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2010/04/16/2010-7611/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-residential-water-heaters-direct>.

³⁴⁷ “Do-It-Yourself Savings Project: Insulate Water Heater Tank,” US Department of Energy. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/do-it-yourself-savings-project-insulate-water-heater-tank>.

³⁴⁸ “Water Heating Products,” Air-Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration Institute (AHRI). <https://www.ahrinet.org/scholarships-education/education/homeowners/save-energy/water-heating-products>.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is assumed to be a typical electric water heater with no insulation. The baseline tank is assumed to be one to two inches thick with an assumed R-value of approximately R-8 per inch.³⁴⁹

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a water heater tank wrap or insulated blanket with an R-value of at least 8.

The manufacturer's instructions on the water heater jacket and the water heater itself should be followed. Thermostat and heating element access panels must be left uncovered.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Hot water tank insulation energy savings are calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{(U_{pre} - U_{post}) \times A \times (T_{tank} - T_{ambient,annual}) \times \text{hours}}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 76

Where:

R_{pre}	=	Uninsulated tank R-value = 12 [sq. ft. °F hr/Btu] ³⁵⁰
R_{post}	=	Tank insulation R-value = 12 + 8 = 20 = [sq. ft. °F hr/Btu]
U_{pre}	=	1 / R_{pre} = 1 / 12 = 0.083 [Btu/hr sq. ft. °F]
U_{post}	=	1 / R_{post} = 1 / 20 = 0.05 [Btu/hr sq. ft. °F]
A	=	Tank surface area insulated in square feet (πDL) with L (length) and D (tank diameter) in feet; if the tank area is not known, use Table 293

³⁴⁹ "Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Energy Conservation Standards for Water Heaters", Section V. Analytical Results and Conclusion, subsection C. Lessening of Utility or Performance of Products. Effective 1/20/2004.

<https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2001/01/17/01-1081/energy-conservation-program-for-consumer-products-energy-conservation-standards-for-water-heaters>.

³⁵⁰ Baseline storage tank assembly is assumed to have thermal performance of R12, assuming an average tank thickness of 1-2 inches (average 1.5) and an approximate R-value of R-8 per inch.

Table 293. DHW Tank Insulation—Estimated Tank Area³⁵¹

Volume (gal)	A (sq. ft.)
30	17.45
40	21.81
50	22.63
60	26.94
80	30.36
120	38.73

T_{tank}	=	Average tank water temperature [°F]; default = 120°F ³⁵²
$T_{ambient,annual}$	=	Average annual ambient temperature [°F] (see Table 294)
RE	=	Recovery efficiency; default = 0.98 for electric resistance water heaters ³⁵³
hours	=	8,760 hours per year
3,412	=	Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = \frac{(U_{pre} - U_{post}) \times A \times (T_{tank} - T_{ambient,seasonal}) \times CF_{S/W}}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 77

Where:

$T_{ambient,seasonal}$	=	Seasonal ambient temperature [°F] (see Table 294)
$CF_{S/W}$	=	Seasonal peak coincidence factor ³⁵⁴ = 1

³⁵¹ Tank area was obtained from a survey of electric water heater manufacturer data from A.O. Smith and Whirlpool conducted in 2013. Dimensions for each tank size were collected and averaged to determine typical square footage of each size water heater.

³⁵² 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. New York Department of Public Service recommends using water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs” October 2010, page 99. Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), supports a default value of 120°F.

³⁵³ Default based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database, at <http://www.ahrinet.org>.

³⁵⁴ Coincidence factor of 1 assumes that a constant tank temperature is maintained across all hours of the year.

Table 294. DHW Tank Insulation—Ambient Temperature (°F)

Climate zone	Water heater location: unconditioned space ³⁵⁵			Water heater location: conditioned space ³⁵⁶		
	Annual	Peak seasonal		Annual	Peak seasonal	
		Summer	Winter		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	65.5	106.0	32.0	71.7	73.2	69.7
Zone 2: Dallas	73.1	108.1	42.0			
Zone 3: Houston	76.3	108.2	46.0			
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	78.4	103.0	55.0			
Zone 5: El Paso	71.8	108.0	41.1			

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 295. DHW Tank Insulation—Energy Savings

Tank volume	Unconditioned					Conditioned
	Amarillo	Dallas	Houston	Corpus Christi	El Paso	All zones
30	83	71	67	63	73	74
40	104	89	83	79	92	92
50	108	93	86	82	95	95
60	128	110	103	98	113	114
80	144	124	116	110	128	128
120	184	159	148	141	163	163

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 296. DHW Tank Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Savings

Tank volume	Unconditioned					Conditioned
	Amarillo	Dallas	Houston	Corpus Christi	El Paso	All zones
30	0.0024	0.0021	0.0021	0.0030	0.0021	0.0081
40	0.0030	0.0026	0.0026	0.0037	0.0026	0.0102

³⁵⁵ Average ambient temperatures for unconditioned space were taken from TMY3 data, with a 7°F increase in winter and an 11°F increase in summer based on ASHRAE 152 Heating System and Cooling System Location Temperatures (Garage).

³⁵⁶ Average ambient temperatures for conditioned space were taken from the 2020 US Energy Information Administration Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS), tables hc7.8 and hc6.8. Summer and winter indoor temperature averages are weighted by the number of homes. Annual temperature is weighted by the number of days from the summer and winter peak months from the Texas peak definition in Volume 1.

Tank volume	Unconditioned					Conditioned
	Amarillo	Dallas	Houston	Corpus Christi	El Paso	All zones
50	0.0032	0.0027	0.0027	0.0038	0.0027	0.0106
60	0.0038	0.0032	0.0032	0.0046	0.0032	0.0126
80	0.0042	0.0036	0.0036	0.0051	0.0036	0.0142
120	0.0054	0.0046	0.0046	0.0066	0.0046	0.0181

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 297. DHW Tank Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Savings

Tank volume	Unconditioned					Conditioned
	Amarillo	Dallas	Houston	Corpus Christi	El Paso	All zones
30	0.0153	0.0136	0.0129	0.0113	0.0137	0.0087
40	0.0191	0.0170	0.0161	0.0141	0.0172	0.0109
50	0.0199	0.0176	0.0167	0.0147	0.0178	0.0113
60	0.0236	0.0209	0.0199	0.0175	0.0212	0.0135
80	0.0266	0.0236	0.0224	0.0197	0.0239	0.0152
120	0.0340	0.0301	0.0286	0.0251	0.0305	0.0194

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 7 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-TankIns-Elec.³⁵⁷

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Water heater location (conditioned, unconditioned)

³⁵⁷ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

- Tank volume (30, 40, 50, 60, 80, 120)
- The R-value of the installed tank insulation
- Water heater model number and manufacture date

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 298. DHW Tank Insulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Supplemented reference for water heater setpoint temperature.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated ambient temperatures.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Clarified baseline and added deemed savings. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated ambient temperatures and deemed savings.

2.4.5 Water Heater Pipe Insulation Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-PI

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure requires the installation of pipe insulation on uninsulated water heater pipes that are served by an electric water heater.

Eligibility Criteria

Water heaters plumbed with heat traps are not eligible to receive incentives for this measure. It is recommended that the installer (or contractor) checks to see if the water heater heat trap works properly before declaring the water heater ineligible.

Water heater pipe insulation is a residential retrofit measure. New construction and retrofits involving the installation of new water heaters are not eligible for this measure, because they must meet current code requirements. To use these deemed savings, the fuel type of the water heater must be electricity.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is assumed to be a typical electric water heater with no heat traps and no insulation on water heater pipes.

High-Efficiency Condition

The efficiency standard requires an insulation thickness R-3. The International Residential Code (IRC) 2018 section N1103.4: Mechanical system piping insulation requires R-3 insulation.

All visible hot water piping must be insulated. Savings are based on a maximum allowable insulation length of 6 feet of piping.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Hot water pipe insulation energy savings are calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{(U_{pre} - U_{post}) \times A \times (T_{pipe} - T_{ambient,annual}) \times \text{hours}}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 78

Where:

- $U_{pre} = \frac{1}{2.03} = 0.49 \text{ Btu/hr} \cdot \text{sq. ft.} \cdot \text{°F}^{358}$
 $U_{post} = \frac{1}{2.03 + R_{insulation}} \text{ Btu/hr} \cdot \text{sq. ft.} \cdot \text{°F}$
 $R_{insulation} = \text{R-value of installed insulation}$
 $A = \text{Pipe surface area insulated in square feet } (\pi DL) \text{ with } L \text{ (length) and } D \text{ (pipe diameter) in feet. The maximum length allowable for insulation is 6 feet; if the pipe area is unknown, use the following table.}$

Table 299. DHW Pipe Insulation—Estimated Pipe Surface Area

Nominal pipe diameter (inches)	Outside pipe diameter (inches)	Pipe surface area (square feet) ³⁵⁹
0.5	0.625	0.16 x required input "Pipe Length insulated (feet)"
0.75	0.875	0.23 x required input "Pipe Length insulated (feet)"
1.0	1.125	0.29 x required input "Pipe Length insulated (feet)"

³⁵⁸ 2.03 is the R-value representing the film coefficients between water and the inside of the pipe, and between the surface and air. Mark's Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers, 8th edition.

³⁵⁹ Factors used in the calculation for pipe area were determined by using the outside diameter of the pipe in inches, converting it to feet, and multiplying by π .

T_{pipe}	=	Average pipe water temperature [°F]; default ³⁶⁰ = 120
$T_{ambient,annual}$	=	Average annual ambient temperature [°F] (see Table 300)
RE	=	Recovery efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP). If unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters. ³⁶¹
hours	=	8,760 hours per year

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = \frac{(U_{pre} - U_{post}) \times A \times (T_{pipe} - T_{ambient,seasonal}) \times CF_{S/W}}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 79

Where:

$T_{ambient,seasonal}$	=	Seasonal ambient temperature [°F] (see Table 300)
$CF_{S/W}$	=	Seasonal peak coincidence factor ³⁶² = 1

Table 300. DHW Pipe Insulation—Ambient Temperature (°F)

Climate zone	Water heater location: unconditioned space ³⁶³			Water heater location: conditioned space ³⁶⁴		
	Annual	Peak seasonal		Annual	Peak seasonal	
		Summer	Winter		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	65.5	106.0	32.0	71.7	73.2	69.7
Zone 2: Dallas	73.1	108.1	42.0			
Zone 3: Houston	76.3	108.2	46.0			

³⁶⁰ 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. New York Department of Public Service recommends using water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs” October 2010, page 102. Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), also supports a default value of 120°F.

³⁶¹ Default values based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database, at <http://www.ahrinet.org>.

³⁶² Coincidence factor of 1 assumes that a constant tank and near tank piping temperature is maintained across all hours of the year.

³⁶³ Average ambient temperatures for unconditioned space were taken from TMY3 data, with a 7°F increase in winter and an 11°F increase in summer based on ASHRAE 152 Heating System and Cooling System Location Temperatures (Garage).

³⁶⁴ Average ambient temperatures for conditioned space were taken from the 2020 US Energy Information Administration Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS), tables hc7.8 and hc6.8. Summer and winter indoor temperature averages are weighted by the number of homes. Annual temperature is weighted by the number of days from the summer and winter peak months from the Texas peak definition in Volume 1.

Climate zone	Water heater location: unconditioned space ³⁶³			Water heater location: conditioned space ³⁶⁴		
	Annual	Peak seasonal		Annual	Peak seasonal	
		Summer	Winter		Summer	Winter
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	78.4	103	55.0			
Zone 5: El Paso	71.8	108	41.1			

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 13 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-PipeIns-Elec.³⁶⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Water heater location (conditioned, unconditioned)
- The R-value of the installed insulation

³⁶⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

- Recovery efficiency (RE) or COP, if available
- Pipe length insulated (feet)
- The pipe surface area insulated in square feet (at least the pipe diameter in inches)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 301. DHW Pipe Insulation—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Supplemented reference for water heater setpoint temperature.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated ambient temperatures.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated ambient temperatures.

2.4.6 Faucet Aerators Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-FA

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves installing aerators on kitchen and bathroom water faucets as a retrofit measure.

Eligibility Criteria

The savings values are per faucet aerator installed. It is not a requirement that all faucets in a home be treated for the deemed savings to be applicable.

These deemed savings are for residential, retrofit or new construction, and installations of kitchen and bathroom faucet aerators. To be awarded these deemed savings, the fuel type of the water heater must be electricity.

Baseline Condition

The 2.2 gallon per minute (GPM) baseline faucet flow rate is based on the Department of Energy (DOE) maximum flow rate standard.³⁶⁶ The deemed savings assume that the existing faucet aerators have a minimum flow rate of 2.2 GPM. The US EPA WaterSense specification for faucet aerators is 1.5 GPM.³⁶⁷

High-Efficiency Condition

Aerators that have been defaced to make the flow rating illegible are not eligible for replacement. For direct install programs, all aerators removed shall be collected by the contractor and held for possible inspection by the utility until all inspections for invoiced installations have been completed.

³⁶⁶ DOE maximum flow rate for faucet aerators.

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=40.

³⁶⁷ <https://www.epa.gov/watersense/bathroom-faucets>.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

The deemed savings, for any faucet aerator change case using aerators with flow rates of 1.5 GPM or lower, are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Energy Savings per aerator } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times (GPM_{Base} - GPM_{Low}) \times N \times t \times 365 \times (T_{faucet,avg} - T_{supply,annual})}{FPH \times RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 80

Where:

ρ	=	Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
C_p	=	Specific heat of water [Btu/lb°F] = 1
GPM_{Base}	=	Average baseline flow rate of aerator = 2.2 gallons per minute
GPM_{Low}	=	Post-installation flow rate of aerator, typically 1.5, 1.0, or 0.5 gallons per minute; if unknown, assume 1.5 gallons per minute
N	=	Average number of persons per household = 2.83 persons ³⁶⁸
t	=	Average time in minutes of hot water usage per person per day; default = 2.34 min/person/day ³⁶⁹
$T_{faucet,avg}$	=	Average faucet temperature [°F] ³⁷⁰ = 88
$T_{supply,annual}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 302)
FPH	=	Average number of faucets per household = 3.99 faucets ³⁷¹

³⁶⁸ Occupants per home for Texas from US Census Bureau, "Persons Per Household, 2016-2020". <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/TX,US/PST045221>.

³⁶⁹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, "Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study." Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group. Derived by taking weighted average of average minutes per person per day specified for kitchens (4.5) and bathrooms (1.6) assuming 1 kitchen aerator and 2.93 bathrooms.

³⁷⁰ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, "Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study." Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group. Derived by taking weighted average of average temperature for kitchens (93°F) and bathrooms (86°F) assuming 1 kitchen aerator and 2.93 bathrooms.

Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team's Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), also supports a default value of 120°F.

³⁷¹ Faucets per home assumed to be equal to one per kitchen and each half-bath plus 1.5 per each full bathroom per home. Bathroom counts extracted from the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS), Table HC2.8 Structural and geographic characteristics of homes in the West-South-Central region. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

RE = Recovery Efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP). If unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters.³⁷²

3,412 = Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand savings are calculated by substituting the average supply temperature for the average seasonal temperature, multiplying by a coincidence factor equivalent to the daily fraction hot water use during the weighted peak hour for each climate zone (see Volume 1, Section 4), and dividing by 365 days/year, with 365 canceling from the savings algorithm numerator and denominator.

Peak Demand Savings per aerator [ΔkW]

$$= \frac{\rho \times C_P \times (GPM_{Base} - GPM_{Low}) \times N \times t \times (T_{faucet,avg} - T_{supply,seasonal})}{FPH \times RE \times 3,412} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 81

Where:

$T_{supply,seasonal}$ = Seasonal supply water temperature [$^{\circ}F$] (Table 302)

$CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 303)

Table 302. Faucet Aerators—Water Mains Temperature ($^{\circ}F$)³⁷³

Climate zone	$T_{supply,avg}$	$T_{supply,seasonal}$	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4


³⁷² Default values based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database, <https://www.ahridirectory.org/>.

³⁷³ Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) dataset for TMY3, available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer. <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Table 303. Faucet Aerators—Coincidence Factors

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.039	0.073
Zone 2: Dallas	0.035	0.075
Zone 3: Houston	0.038	0.080
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.038	0.068
Zone 5: El Paso	0.028	0.069

Figure 3. Faucet Aerators—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile³⁷⁴



Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

³⁷⁴ Building America performance analysis procedures for existing homes.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-Aertr.³⁷⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Recovery Efficiency (RE) or COP, if available
- Flow rate in gallons per minute (GPM) of faucet installed
- Water heater type (e.g., heat pump, electric resistance)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

³⁷⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Document Revision History

Table 304. Faucet Aerators—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	10/30/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Supplemented reference for water heater setpoint temperature.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated methodology to calculate energy and demand savings.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated number of occupants per home.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated number of faucets per home.

2.4.7 Low-Flow Showerheads Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-SH

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure consists of removing existing showerheads and installing low-flow showerheads in residences.

Eligibility Criteria

The incentive is for replacement of an existing showerhead with a new showerhead rated at or below 2.0 gallons per minute (GPM). The only showerheads eligible for installation are those that are not easily modified to increase the flow rate.

These deemed savings are for showerheads installed as a retrofit or new construction measure. To be awarded these deemed savings, the fuel type of the water heater must be electricity.

Baseline Condition

Federal standards set a maximum flow rate of 2.5 GPM,³⁷⁶ while the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) WaterSense Program has implemented efficiency standards for showerheads requiring a maximum flow rate of 2.0 GPM.³⁷⁷

High-Efficiency Condition

In addition to meeting the baseline requirements above, existing showerheads that have been defaced to make the flow rating illegible are not eligible for replacement. All showerheads removed shall be collected by the contractor and held for possible inspection by the utility until all inspections for invoiced installations have been completed.

³⁷⁶ http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/37.

³⁷⁷ <http://www.epa.gov/watersense/products/showerheads.html>.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Energy Savings per showerhead } [\Delta kWh] \\ &= \frac{\rho \times C_p \times (GPM_{Base} - GPM_{Low}) \times N \times t \times 365 \times (T_{shower,avg} - T_{supply,annual})}{SPH \times RE \times 3,412} \end{aligned}$$

Equation 82

Where:

ρ	=	Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
C_p	=	Specific heat of water [Btu/lb°F] = 1
GPM_{Base}	=	Average baseline flow rate of aerator = 2.5 gallons per minute
GPM_{Low}	=	Post-installation flow rate of aerator; if unknown, assume 2.0 gallons per minute
N	=	Average number of persons per household = 2.83 persons ³⁷⁸
t	=	Average time in minutes of hot water usage per person per day; default = 7.8 min/person/day ³⁷⁹
$T_{shower,avg}$	=	Average shower temperature [°F] ³⁸⁰ = 101
$T_{supply,annual}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 305)
SPH	=	Average number of showerheads per household = 1.80 showerheads ³⁸¹

³⁷⁸ Occupants per home for Texas from US Census Bureau, “Persons per household, 2016-2020”.
<https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/TX,US/PST045221>.

³⁷⁹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, “Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study.” Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

³⁸⁰ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, “Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study.” Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group

³⁸¹ Showerheads per home assumed to be equal to the number of full bathrooms per home as specified in the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS), Table HC2.8 Structural and geographic characteristics of homes in the West-South-Central region.
<https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

RE = Recovery Efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP); if unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters³⁸²

3,412 = Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand savings are calculated by substituting the average supply temperature for the average seasonal temperature, multiplying by a coincidence factor equivalent to the daily fraction hot water use during the weighted peak hour for each climate zone (see Volume 1, Section 4), and dividing by 365 days/year, with 365 canceling from the savings algorithm numerator and denominator.

$$\text{Demand Savings per showerhead } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times (GPM_{Base} - GPM_{Low}) \times N \times t \times (T_{shower,avg} - T_{supply,seasonal})}{SPH \times RE \times 3,412} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 83

Where:

T_{supply,seasonal} = Seasonal supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 305)

CF_{S/W} = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 306)

Table 305. Low-Flow Showerheads—Water Mains Temperature (°F)³⁸³

Climate zone	T _{SupplyAverage}	T _{SupplySeasonal}	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

³⁸² Default values based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database, at http://cafs.ahrinet.org/gama_cafs/sdpsearch/search.jsp?table=CWH.

³⁸³ Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) dataset for TMY3, available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer. <https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Table 306. Low-Flow Showerheads—Coincidence Factors

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.039	0.073
Zone 2: Dallas	0.035	0.075
Zone 3: Houston	0.038	0.080
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.038	0.068
Zone 5: El Paso	0.028	0.069




Figure 4. Low-Flow Showerheads—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile³⁸⁴

Source: Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

³⁸⁴ Building America performance analysis procedures for existing homes.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-Shrhd.³⁸⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Recovery efficiency (RE) or COP, if available
- Flow rate in gallons per minute (GPM) of showerhead installed
- Water heater type (e.g., heat pump, electric resistance)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

³⁸⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Document Revision History

Table 307. Low-Flow Showerheads—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Provided clarification that savings are to be awarded per showerhead. Supplemented reference for water heater setpoint temperature.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated methodology to calculate energy and demand savings.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Added new savings category and updated coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated number of occupants per home.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated number of showerheads per home.

2.4.8 Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-SV

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily; manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure consists of installing a temperature sensitive restrictor valve (TSRV)³⁸⁶ between the existing shower arm and showerhead. The valve restricts hot water flow through the showerhead once the water reaches a set temperature (generally 95°F) to prevent water from going down the drain prior to the user entering the shower, thereby eliminating behavioral waste.

Eligibility Criteria

These deemed savings are for temperature sensitive restrictor valves installed in new construction or as a retrofit measure in residential applications. Buildings must have electrically-fueled hot water to be eligible for this measure.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is the residential shower arm and standard (2.5 GPM) showerhead without a temperature sensitive restrictor valve installed.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a temperature sensitive restrictor valve installed on a residential shower arm and showerhead with either a standard (2.5 GPM) or low-flow (2.0, 1.75, or 1.5 GPM) showerhead. If this measure is installed in conjunction with a low-flow showerhead, refer to the Low-flow Showerheads measure and claim additional savings as outlined in that measure.

³⁸⁶ A temperature sensitive restrictor valve is any device that uses water temperature to regulate water flow in showers.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Estimated Hot Water Usage Reduction

To determine gallons of behavioral waste (defined as hot water that goes down the drain before the user enters the shower) per year, the following formula was used:

$$\text{Annual Showerhead Behavioral Waste} = SHFR \times BW \times n_s \times 365 \times \frac{n_o}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 84

Where:

<i>SHFR</i>	=	<i>Showerhead flow rate, gallons per minute [gpm] (see Table 308)</i>
<i>BW</i>	=	<i>Behavioral waste, minutes per shower (see Table 308)</i>
<i>n_s</i>	=	<i>Number of showers per person per day (see Table 308)</i>
<i>365</i>	=	<i>Constant to convert days to years (see Table 308)</i>
<i>n_o</i>	=	<i>Number of occupants per home (see Table 308)</i>
<i>n_{SH}</i>	=	<i>Number of showerheads per home (see Table 308)</i>

Applying the formula to the values from Table 308 returns the following values for baseline behavioral waste in gallons per showerhead per year:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Showerhead (2.5 GPM): } & 2.5 \times 0.783 \times 0.6 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} = 681 \text{ gal} \\ \text{Showerhead (2.0 GPM): } & 2.0 \times 0.783 \times 0.6 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} = 545 \text{ gal} \\ \text{Showerhead (1.75 GPM): } & 1.75 \times 0.783 \times 0.6 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} = 477 \text{ gal} \\ \text{Showerhead (1.5 GPM): } & 1.5 \times 0.783 \times 0.6 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} = 409 \text{ gal} \end{aligned}$$

Gallons of hot water saved per year can be found by multiplying the baseline behavioral waste gallons per year by the percent of hot water from Table 308.

$$\text{Gallons of hot water saved per year} = \text{Annual Behavioral Waste} \times \text{HW\%}$$

Equation 85

Where:

$$\text{HW\%} = \text{Hot water percentage (see Table 308)}$$

$$\text{Gallons of hot water saved per year (2.5 GPM): } 681 \times 0.825 = 562 \text{ gal}$$

$$\text{Gallons of hot water saved per year (2.0 GPM): } 545 \times 0.825 = 450 \text{ gal}$$

$$\text{Gallons of hot water saved per year (1.75 GPM): } 477 \times 0.825 = 393 \text{ gal}$$

$$\text{Gallons of hot water saved per year (1.5 GPM): } 409 \times 0.825 = 337 \text{ gal}$$

Table 308. Showerhead TSRVs—Hot Water Usage Reduction

Description	2.5 GPM	2.0 GPM	1.75 GPM	1.5 GPM
Average behavioral waste (minutes per shower) ³⁸⁷	0.783			
Showers/person/day ³⁸⁸	0.6			
Occupants per home ³⁸⁹	2.83			
Showerheads/home ³⁹⁰	1.80			
Behavioral waste/showerhead/year (gal)	681	545	477	409
Percent hot water ³⁹¹	80-85%, or 82.5% average			
Hot water saved/year (gal)	562	450	393	337

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Energy Savings per TSRV } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_P \times V \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,annual}})}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 86

³⁸⁷ “Disaggregating Residential Shower Warm-Up Waste”, Sherman, Troy. August 2014. Derived by dividing average behavioral waste time (47 seconds) by 60 seconds.

³⁸⁸ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, “Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study”. Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group. June 2013.

³⁸⁹ Occupants per home for Texas from US Census Bureau, “Persons per household, 2016-2020”. <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/TX,US/PST045221>.

³⁹⁰ Showerheads per home assumed to be equal to the number of full bathrooms per home. Bathroom counts extracted from the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) Table HC2.8 Structural and geographic characteristics of homes in the West-South-Central region. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

³⁹¹ “Calculating Savings For: Auto-Diverting Tub Spout System with ShowerStart TSV”, Sherman, Troy. Evolve Technologies. December 15, 2015.

Where:

ρ	=	Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
C_p	=	Specific heat of water [Btu/lb°F] = 1
V	=	Gallons of hot water saved per year per showerhead (see Table 308)
$T_{setpoint}$	=	Water heater setpoint temperature [°F] ³⁹² = 120
$T_{supply,annual}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 309)
RE	=	Recovery Efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP); if unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters
3,412	=	Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand savings are calculated by substituting the average supply temperature for the average seasonal temperature, multiplying by a coincidence factor equivalent to the daily fraction hot water use during the weighted peak hour for each climate zone (see Volume 1, Section 4), and dividing by 365 days/year.

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings per TSRV } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times V \times (T_{setpoint} - T_{supply,seasonal})}{RE \times 3,412 \times 365} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 87

Where:

$T_{supply,seasonal}$	=	Seasonal supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 309)
$CF_{S/W}$	=	Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 310)

³⁹² 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. New York Department of Public Service recommends using water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs” October 2010, page 99. Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), also supports a default value of 120°F.


Table 309. Showerhead TSRVs—Water Mains Temperature (°F)³⁹³

Climate zone	T _{SupplyAverage}	T _{SupplySeasonal}	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

Table 310. Showerhead TSRVs—Coincidence Factors

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.039	0.073
Zone 2: Dallas	0.035	0.075
Zone 3: Houston	0.038	0.080
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.038	0.068
Zone 5: El Paso	0.028	0.069

Figure 5. Showerhead TSRVs—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile³⁹⁴



Source: Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes.

³⁹³ Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) dataset for TMY3 available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer. <https://nslrdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

³⁹⁴ Building America performance analysis procedures for existing homes.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-Shrhd.³⁹⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- DHW recovery efficiency (RE) or COP, if available
- Flow rate in gallons per minute (GPM) of showerhead installed
- Water heater type (heat pump, electric resistance)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

³⁹⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 311. Showerhead TSRVs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 origin.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference and restricted measure to electric DHW.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated number of occupants per home.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated number of showerheads per home and resulting behavioral waste and hot water saved assumptions.

2.4.9 Tub Spout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-TV

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure consists of replacing existing tub spouts and showerheads with an automatically diverting tub spout and showerhead system with a temperature sensitive restrictor valve (TSRV)³⁹⁶ between the existing shower arm and showerhead. The tub spout will contain temperature sensitive restrictor technology that will cause the tub spout to automatically engage the anti-leak diverter once the water reaches a set temperature (generally 95°F). The water will divert to a showerhead with a normally closed valve that will prevent the hot water from going down the drain prior to the user entering the shower, thereby eliminating behavioral waste and tub spout leakage waste.

Eligibility Criteria

These deemed savings are for tub spout and showerhead systems with temperature sensitive restrictor technology installed in new construction or as a retrofit measure in existing homes. Buildings must have electrically fueled hot water to be eligible for this measure.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is the residential tub spout with a standard diverter and a standard (2.5 gpm) showerhead.

³⁹⁶ A temperature sensitive restrictor valve is any device that uses water temperature to regulate water flow in showers.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is an anti-leak, automatically diverting tub spout system with temperature sensitive restrictor technology installed on a residential shower arm and showerhead with a standard (2.5 GPM) or low-flow (2.0, 1.75, or 1.5 GPM) showerhead. If this measure is installed in conjunction with a low-flow showerhead, refer to the Low-flow Showerheads measure and claim additional savings as outlined in that measure.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Estimated Hot Water Usage Reduction

This system provides savings in two parts: elimination of behavioral waste (hot water that goes down the drain prior to the user entering the shower) and elimination of tub spout diverter leakage.

Part 1: To determine baseline gallons of behavioral waste per year, the following formula was used:

$$\text{Annual Showerhead Behavioral Waste} = \%WUE_{SH} \times SHFR \times BW \times n_S \times 365 \times \frac{n_O}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 88

$$\text{Annual Tub Spout Behavioral Waste} = \%WUE_{TS} \times TSFR \times BW \times n_S \times 365 \times \frac{n_O}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 89

Where:

$\%WUE_{SH}$	=	Showerhead percentage of warm-up events (see Table 312)
$\%WUE_{TS}$	=	Tub spout percentage of warm-up events (see Table 312)
$SHFR$	=	Showerhead flow rate, gallons per minute [gpm] (see Table 312)
$TSFR$	=	Tub spout flow rate, gallons per minute [gpm] (see Table 312)
BW	=	Behavioral waste, minutes per shower (see Table 312)
n_S	=	Number of showers per person per day (see Table 312)
365	=	Constant to convert days to years (see Table 312)
n_O	=	Number of occupants per home (see Table 312)
n_{SH}	=	Number of showerheads per home (see Table 312)

Applying the formula to the values from Table 312 returns the following values:

$$\text{Showerhead (1.5 GPM): } 0.6 \times \left(1.5 \times 0.783 \times 0.60 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} \right) = 245$$

$$\text{Showerhead (1.75 GPM): } 0.6 \times \left(1.75 \times 0.783 \times 0.60 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} \right) = 286$$

$$\text{Showerhead (2.0 GPM): } 0.6 \times \left(2.0 \times 0.783 \times 0.60 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} \right) = 327$$

$$\text{Showerhead (2.5 GPM): } 0.6 \times \left(2.5 \times 0.783 \times 0.60 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} \right) = 409$$

$$\text{Tub Spout (5.0 GPM): } 0.4 \times \left(5.0 \times 0.783 \times 0.60 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} \right) = 545$$

Part 2: To determine baseline gallons of diverter leakage per year, the following formula was used:

$$\text{Annual Diverter Waste} = \text{DLR} \times t_s \times n_s \times 365 \frac{\text{days}}{\text{year}} \times \frac{n_o}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 90

Where:

DLR = Diverter leakage rate [gpm] (see Table 312)

t_s = Shower time (min/shower) (see Table 312)

Applying the formula to the values from Table 312 returns the following values:

$$\text{Diverter (0.8 GPM): } 0.8 \times 7.8 \times 0.60 \times 365 \times \frac{2.86}{1.80} = 2,171$$

Part 3: To determine gallons of water saved per year can be found by multiplying the total waste by the percent of hot water from Table 312.

$$\text{Gallons of hot water saved} = (\text{SHBW} + \text{TSBW}) \times \text{HW}\%_{\text{SH,TS}} + \text{DW} \times \text{HW}\%_{\text{D}}$$

Equation 91

Where:

SHBW = Showerhead behavioral waste [gal]

TSBW = Tub spout behavioral waste [gal]

DW = Diverter waste [gal]

HW%_{SH,TS} = Showerheads and tub spout hot water percentage (see Table 312)

HW%_D = Diverter hot water percentage (see Table 312)

Applying the formula to the values from Table 312 returns the following values:

$$\text{Total Annual Waste (1.5 gpm): } (245 + 545) \times 0.825 + 2,171 \times 0.737 = 2,252$$

$$\text{Total Annual Waste (1.75 gpm): } (286 + 545) \times 0.825 + 2,171 \times 0.737 = 2,286$$

$$\text{Total Annual Waste (2.0 gpm): } (327 + 545) \times 0.825 + 2,171 \times 0.737 = 2,320$$

$$\text{Total Annual Waste (2.5 gpm): } (409 + 545) \times 0.825 + 2,171 \times 0.737 = 2,387$$

Table 312. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Hot Water Usage Reduction

Description	Part 1—Behavioral waste		Part 2—Diverter leakage	Part 3—Total
	SH Warm-up	TS Warm-up		
Baseline showerhead flow rate (GPM)	1.5, 1.75, 2.0, or 2.5			–
Tub spout flow rate (GPM) ³⁹⁷	–	5.0		–
Percent of warm-up events ³⁹⁸	60%	40%		–
Average behavioral waste (minutes per shower) ³⁹⁹	0.783			–
Average diverter leakage rate (GPM) ⁴⁰⁰		–	0.80	–
Average shower time (minutes per shower) ⁴⁰¹		–	7.8	–
Showers/person/day ⁴⁰²				0.60
Occupants/home ⁴⁰³				2.83
Showersheads/home ⁴⁰⁴				1.80
Gallons behavioral waste. per tub spout/showerhead per year (1.5 GPM)	245	545	2,171	2,961
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (1.75 GPM)	286			3,002
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (2.0 GPM)	327			3,043

³⁹⁷ Assumption from (Sherman 2015) Calculating Savings For: Auto-Diverting Tub Spout System with ShowerStart TSV.

³⁹⁸ Percent of warm-up events from (Sherman 2014) Disaggregating Residential Shower Warm-Up Waste (Appendix B, Question 8).

³⁹⁹ Average behavioral waste from Lutz (2004) Feasibility Study and Roadmap to Improve Residential Hot Water Distribution Systems and Sherman (2014) Disaggregating Residential Shower Warm-Up Waste. Derived by dividing 47 seconds by 60 seconds.

⁴⁰⁰ Average diverter leak rate from (Taitem 2011) Taitem Tech Tip – Leaking Shower Diverter.

⁴⁰¹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, “Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study”. Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁴⁰² Derivation of value for showers per person per day defined in the Low Flow Showerhead measure.

⁴⁰³ Occupants per home for Texas from US Census Bureau, Texas, “Persons per household, 2016-2020.” <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/TX,US/PST045221>.

⁴⁰⁴ Showerheads per home assumed to be equal to the number of full bathrooms per home, taken from 2020 RECS, Table HC2.8. <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/>.

Description	Part 1—Behavioral waste		Part 2—Diverter leakage	Part 3—Total
	SH Warm-up	TS Warm-up		
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (2.5 GPM)	409			3,125
Percentage hot water ⁴⁰⁵	80-85%, or 82.5% average		73.7%	–
Gallons hot water saved per year (1.5 GPM)			–	2,252
Gallons hot water saved per year (1.75 GPM)			–	2,286
Gallons hot water saved per year (2.0 GPM)			–	2,320
Gallons hot water saved per year (2.5 GPM)			–	2,387

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Energy Savings per TSRV } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times V \times (T_{\text{setpoint}} - T_{\text{supply,annual}})}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 92

Where:

ρ	=	Water density [lbs/gal] = 8.33
C_p	=	Specific heat of water [Btu/lb°F] = 1
V	=	Gallons of hot water saved per year per showerhead (see Table 312)
T_{setpoint}	=	Water heater setpoint temperature ⁴⁰⁶ [°F] = 120
$T_{\text{supply,annual}}$	=	Average annual supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 313)
RE	=	Recovery Efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP); if unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters
3,412	=	Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

⁴⁰⁵ Average percentage hot water for warm up events from (Lutz 2004) Feasibility Study and Roadmap to Improve Residential Hot Water Distribution Systems and (Sherman 2015) Calculating Savings For: Auto-Diverting Tub Spout System with ShowerStart TSV.

⁴⁰⁶ 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. New York Department of Public Service recommends using water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs” October 2010, page 99. Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), also supports a default value of 120°F.

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand savings are calculated by substituting the average supply temperature for the average seasonal temperature, multiplying by a coincidence factor equivalent to the daily fraction hot water use during the weighted peak hour for each climate zone (see Volume 1, Section 4), and dividing by 365 days/year.

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ per\ TSRV\ [\Delta kW] = \frac{\rho \times C_p \times V \times (T_{setpoint} - T_{supply,seasonal})}{RE \times 3,412 \times 365} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 93

Where:

- $T_{supply,seasonal}$ = Seasonal supply water temperature [°F] (see Table 313)
- $CF_{S/W}$ = Peak coincidence factor (see Table 314)

Table 313. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Water Mains Temperature (°F)⁴⁰⁷


Climate zone	T _{SupplyAverage}	T _{SupplySeasonal}	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

Table 314. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Coincidence Factors

Climate zone	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.039	0.073
Zone 2: Dallas	0.035	0.075
Zone 3: Houston	0.038	0.080
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.038	0.068
Zone 5: El Paso	0.028	0.069

⁴⁰⁷ Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) dataset for TMY3, available through the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB) Data Viewer. <https://nswdb.nrel.gov/data-viewer>. Data for Texas climate zones can also be accessed directly here: <https://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Figure 6. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile⁴⁰⁸



Source: Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

⁴⁰⁸ Building America performance analysis procedures for existing homes.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-Shrhd.⁴⁰⁹

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Flow rate in gallons per minute (GPM) of showerhead installed
- Water heater type (heat pump, electric resistance)
- DHW recovery efficiency (RE) or COP, if available

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 315. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 origin.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference and restricted measure to electric DHW.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated number of occupants per home.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated number of showerheads per home and resulting behavioral waste and hot water saved assumptions.

⁴⁰⁹ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

2.4.10 Water Heater Temperature Setback Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-WH-TS

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Water heating

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure outlines the demand and energy savings yielded from reprogramming residential water heater thermostats with default settings of greater than 120°F to 120°F.

Eligibility Criteria

Electric storage water heaters with default temperature setpoints in excess of 120°F are eligible to claim savings from this measure.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an electric storage water heater with a thermostat setting that is higher than 120°F.

High-Efficiency Condition

The efficient condition is an electric storage water heater with a thermostat setting reduced to 120°F.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Water heater temperature setback savings are calculated on a per-unit basis. Deemed savings variables include the tank surface area, the heat transfer coefficient for the tank, and hot water setpoint prior to adjustment.

Energy Savings Algorithm

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \frac{A \times U \times (T_{pre} - T_{post}) \times 8,760}{RE \times 3,412}$$

Equation 94

Where:

A = Tank surface area insulated in square feet (πDL) with L (length) and D (tank diameter) in feet; if the tank area is not known, use Table 316

Table 316. DHW Temperature Setback—Estimated Tank Area⁴¹⁰

Volume (gal)	A (sq. ft.)
30	17.45
40	21.81
50	22.63
60	26.94
80	30.36
120	38.73

U = Overall heat transfer coefficient for the tank⁴¹¹ (Btu/Hr·°F·ft²)

T_{pre} = Hot water setpoint prior to adjustment [°F]

T_{post} = Water heater setpoint [°F]⁴¹² = 120

8,760 = Total hours per year

RE = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater = 0.98⁴¹³

3,412 = Constant to convert from Btu to kWh

⁴¹⁰ Texas TRM Vol 2, 2.4.4 Water Heater Tank Insulation, Table 316.

⁴¹¹ If unknown, assume R-5 ($U = 1/5$).

⁴¹² 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. The New York Department of Public Service recommends using the water heater setpoint as a default value, see “New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs.” Page 99. October 2010. The data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team’s Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015) also supports a default value of 120°F.

⁴¹³ Default values based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database, at <http://www.ahrinet.org>.

Demand Savings Algorithm

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{kWh_{\text{savings}}}{8,760} \times CF_s$$

Equation 95

Where:

$$CF_s = \text{Summer peak coincidence factor} = 1.0$$

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 2 years⁴¹⁴.

⁴¹⁴ 2022 Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 10.0, Volume 3 – 5.4.6 Water Heater Temperature Setback. September 24, 2021.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- R-value or overall heat transfer coefficient of tank (1 / R-value)
- Tank surface area insulated in square feet (πDL) with L (length) and D (tank diameter) in feet; if unable to determine tank area, tank volume must be recorded
- Hot water setpoint prior to adjustment
- Photo of reprogrammed temperature setpoint or another pre-approved method of verification
- Water heater manufacture date

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 317. DHW Temperature Setback—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 origin.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.5 RESIDENTIAL: APPLIANCES

2.5.1 ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-CF

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This document presents the accepted deemed savings awarded for the installation of an ENERGY STAR ceiling fan and light kit. Savings are awarded at a flat per-unit rate, both for energy and demand savings. This measure will apply to existing homes and new construction.

Eligibility Criteria

Savings values in this measure are based on indoor usage patterns and are not applicable to outdoor applications.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is a conventional non-ENERGY STAR labeled ceiling fan and light kit.

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Requirements for eligible ceiling fans effective June 15, 2018.⁴¹⁵ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

⁴¹⁵ ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan and Light Kits, Final Version 4.0 Program Requirements.
https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Ceiling%20Fans%20and%20Ceiling%20Fan%20Light%20Kits%20Version%204.0%20Program%20Requirements%200_0.pdf.

Table 318. Ceiling Fans—Fan Definitions

Fan type	Description
Ceiling fan	A non-portable device designed for home use that is suspended from the ceiling for circulating air via the rotation of fan blades; for which the lowest point on fan blades is greater than 10 inches from the ceiling.
Hugger ceiling fan	A ceiling fan for which the lowest point on the fan blades is less than or equal to 10 inches from the ceiling. Hugger ceiling fans can be safely installed on low ceilings, and some are sold with ceiling fan light kits.

Table 319. Ceiling Fans—Efficiency Requirements

Type	Diameter (inches)	Minimum efficiency (cfm/W)	Minimum high speed airflow (cfm)
Ceiling fan	$D \leq 36$	$\geq 0.72 \times D + 41.93$	$\geq 1,767$
	$36 < D < 78$	$\geq 2.63 \times D - 26.83$	$\geq 250 \times \pi \times (D/24)^2$
	$D \geq 78$		$\geq 8,296$
Hugger ceiling fan	$D \leq 36$	$\geq 0.31 \times D + 36.84$	$\geq 1,414$
	$36 < D < 78$	$\geq 1.75 \times D - 15$	$\geq 200 \times \pi \times (D/24)^2$
	$D \geq 78$		$\geq 6,637$

Table 320. Ceiling Fans—Light Kit Efficacy Requirements

Type	Minimum efficacy (lumens/W)	Minimum light output (lumens)
Shipped with ENERGY STAR certified light bulbs	65.0	–
Separable light source	65.0	800
Integrated light source	70.0	

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings were calculated using the ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator found on the ENERGY STAR website.⁴¹⁶ Default values were taken directly from the ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator, unless otherwise specified.

⁴¹⁶ ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator (updated September 2013).
https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/light_fixture_ceiling_fan_calculator.xlsx.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = (kWh_{\text{baseline}} - kWh_{\text{ES}})_{\text{fan}} + (kWh_{\text{baseline}} - kWh_{\text{ES}})_{\text{lgt}} \times IEF_E$$

Equation 96

$$kWh_{\text{baseline,Fan}} = \frac{W_{\text{Fan,baseline}} \times AO H_{\text{Fan}}}{1,000}$$

Equation 97

$$kWh_{\text{ES,Fan}} = \frac{W_{\text{Fan,ES}} \times AO H_{\text{Fan}}}{1,000}$$

Equation 98

$$W_{\text{Fan}} = (W_{\text{LS}} \times OP_{\text{LS}}) + (W_{\text{MS}} \times OP_{\text{MS}}) + (W_{\text{HS}} \times OP_{\text{HS}})$$

Equation 99

$$kWh_{\text{baseline,Lgt}} = \frac{W_{\text{Lgt,baseline}} \times AO H_{\text{Lgt}}}{1,000}$$

Equation 100

$$kWh_{\text{ES,Lgt}} = \frac{W_{\text{Lgt,ES}} \times AO H_{\text{Lgt}}}{1,000}$$

Equation 101

Where:

- kWh_{baseline} = Non-ENERGY STAR baseline energy usage
- kWh_{ES} = ENERGY STAR average energy usage
- IEF_E = Energy interactive effects factor from Table 321 assuming heating/cooling unknown⁴¹⁷
- $W_{\text{Lgt,baseline}}$ = Conventional lighting total wattage = 58 W (160 W default value from ENERGY STAR calculator reduced to comply with EISA 2007 45 lumens/watt backstop)⁴¹⁸
- $W_{\text{Lgt,ES}}$ = Actual wattage of installed ENERGY STAR lighting; assume one high-efficiency 32 W lamp
- $W_{\text{Fan,baseline}}$ = Conventional fan motor wattage
- $W_{\text{Fan,ES}}$ = ENERGY STAR fan motor wattage
- $W_{\text{LS/MS/HS}}$ = Fan motor wattage at low, medium, and high speed; see Table 322

⁴¹⁷ The assumed energy interactive effects factors are taken from the residential lighting measure.

⁴¹⁸ Assumes a mix of general service incandescent lamps. EISA 2007 45 lumens/watt backstop is approximately 36 percent of standard incandescent wattages for the 40, 60, 75, and 100 equivalent wattage categories. 160 W x 0.36 = 58 W.

- $OP_{LS/MS/HS}$ = Fan operating percentage at low, medium, and high speed; see Table 323
- AOH_{Lgt} = Annual lighting operating hours = 803 hours/year (assuming 2.2 hours/day and 365 days/year operation)⁴¹⁹
- AOH_{Fan} = Annual fan operating hours = 1,095 hours/year (assuming 3.0 hours/day and 365 days/year operation)⁴²⁰
- 1,000 = Constant to convert from W to kW

Table 321. Ceiling Fans—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties⁴²¹

IEF _E					
Heating/cooling type	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Heating/cooling unknown ⁴²²	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.07	0.95

Table 322. Ceiling Fans— Motor Wattages

Fan type	Fan speed	Fan motor wattage (W)
Conventional	Low	15
	Medium	34
	High	67
ENERGY STAR	Low	6
	Medium	23
	High	56

⁴¹⁹ The assumed annual operating hours are taken from the residential lighting measure.

⁴²⁰ The assumed annual operating hours are taken from the previously cited ENERGY STAR Light Fixture and Ceiling Fan Calculator.

⁴²¹ Extracted from BEopt energy models used to estimate savings for envelope measures. Referencing the EISA baseline table, the typical lumen output was determined by taking the midpoint for the 60-watt equivalent lamp (900 lm), which was assumed to be the most typical installation. The resulting lumens were divided by the default wattage for incandescents (43 W), CFLs (13 W), and LEDs (10 W) resulting in an assumed efficacy for incandescents (21 lm/W), CFLs (70 lm/W), and LEDs (90 lm/W). IEF values were calculated using the following formula: $1 + \text{HVAC}_{\text{savings}} / \text{Lighting}_{\text{savings}}$.

⁴²² Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Entergy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

Table 323. Ceiling Fans—Operating Percentages

Fan speed	Operating percentage (OP)
Low	40%
Medium	40%
High	20%

Demand Savings Algorithms

Peak demand savings were calculated using separate coincidence factors for the lighting and the fan motor portion of the ceiling fan savings. For lighting the coincidence factor varies based on climate zone. For the fan motor a coincidence factor of 0.446 was applied (derived from the EnergyGauge software ceiling fan profiles).

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = kW_{Fan} + kW_{Lgt}$$

Equation 102

$$kW_{Fan} = \frac{W_{Fan,baseline} - W_{Fan,ES}}{1,000} \times CF_{Fan}$$

Equation 103

$$kW_{Lgt} = \frac{W_{Lgt,baseline} - W_{Lgt,ES}}{1,000} \times CF_{Lgt,S/W} \times IEF_{D,S/W}$$

Equation 104

Where:

- kW_{Fan} = Fan demand savings
- CF_{Fan} = Fan motor peak coincidence factor = 0.446
- kW_{Lgt} = Lighting demand savings
- $CF_{Lgt,S/W}$ = Lighting seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 324)
- $IEF_{D,S/W}$ = Demand interactive effects factor from Table 325 assuming heating/cooling unknown⁴²³

⁴²³ The assumed demand interactive effects factors are taken from the residential lighting measure.

Table 324. Ceiling Fans—Lighting Coincidence Factors⁴²⁴

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.060	0.053	0.063	0.059	0.032
Winter	0.275	0.232	0.199	0.263	0.358

Table 325. Ceiling Fans—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties⁴²⁵

IEF _{D,S}					
Heating/cooling type	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Heating/cooling unknown ⁴²⁶	1.39	1.28	1.58	1.20	1.38
IEF _{D,W}					
Heating/cooling type	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Heating/cooling unknown ⁴²⁷	0.76	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.80

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 326. Ceiling Fans—Energy Savings (kWh)

Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
29.5	31.6	32.9	33.5	31.0

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 327. Ceiling Fans—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
0.007	0.006	0.007	0.006	0.006

⁴²⁴ See Volume 1, Section 4.

⁴²⁵ See Table 321.

⁴²⁶ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Entergy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

⁴²⁷ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Entergy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 328. Ceiling Fans—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
0.010	0.009	0.008	0.010	0.012

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is established at 10 years according to the ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator.

This EUL is consistent with Docket No. 38025 approved in 2010.⁴²⁸

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Quantity of installed ENERGY STAR ceiling fan and light kits
- Manufacturer and model number
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 38025. Petition of Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas to Amend Deemed Savings for ENERGY STAR Appliance Measures. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

⁴²⁸ Docket No. 38025. Petition of Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas to Amend Deemed Savings for ENERGY STAR Appliance Measures. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 329. Ceiling Fans—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor edits to language and updates to the ENERGY STAR specification table.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2014	TRM v3.0 update. Explanation of methodology and alignment with ENERGY STAR calculator. Introduction of interactive effects factors and in-service rates. New peak savings calculated according to revised peak definition.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Revision of interactive effects factors to reflect indoor-specific values for additional heating and cooling equipment types.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated interactive effect values using building energy simulation.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated footnote reference to ENERGY STAR calculator.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated interactive effect values.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Established deemed savings approach.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revision.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Reduced baseline lighting wattage and resulting deemed energy savings for compliance with reinstated EISA 2007 45 lumens/watt baseline.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.5.2 ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-CW

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This document presents the accepted deemed savings awarded for the installation of an ENERGY STAR clothes washer. Savings are awarded at a flat per unit rate, both for energy and demand savings. This measure will apply to existing homes and new construction.

These deemed savings are calculated using the federal standards effective January 1, 2018.

Eligibility Criteria

Not applicable.

Baseline Condition

Effective January 1, 2018, the baseline is the Department of Energy (DOE) minimum efficiency standard⁴²⁹ for top-loading clothes washers. While the DOE provides criteria for both top- and front-loading washers, only the standards for top-loading washers are listed below, as a top-loading unit is assumed to be the baseline equipment. This approach is based on customers having the option to install a top-loading clothes washer. Therefore, savings are calculated using the lower top-loading baseline condition.

The DOE has published a Federal Register notice of direct final rule pertaining to energy conservation standards for residential clothes washers, effective July 15, 2024.⁴³⁰ This standard will transition the efficiency metric from an integrated modified energy factor (IMEF) to an energy efficiency ratio (EER). However, compliance is not required until March 1, 2028.

⁴²⁹ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential clothes washers.
https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/39.

⁴³⁰ New DOE final rule.
<https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/consumer-clothes-washers>.

Table 330. Clothes Washers—Federal Standard

Product type	Current criteria as of January 1, 2018
Top-loading, standard (1.6 ft ³ or greater capacity)	IMEF ≥ 1.57 IWF ≤ 6.5
Top-loading, compact (less than 1.6 ft ³ capacity)	IMEF ≥ 1.15 IWF ≤ 12.0

High-Efficiency Condition

Eligible equipment must be compliant with the current ENERGY STAR v8.1 specification, effective February 5, 2018.⁴³¹ Qualified products must meet the minimum requirements from Table 331.

Table 331. Clothes Washers—ENERGY STAR Requirements

Product type	Current criteria as of February 5, 2018
ENERGY STAR residential front-loading (> 2.5 ft ³)	IMEF ≥ 2.76 IWF ≤ 3.2
ENERGY STAR residential top-loading (> 2.5 ft ³)	IMEF ≥ 2.06 IWF ≤ 4.3
ENERGY STAR residential small or compact (< 2.5 ft ³)	IMEF ≥ 2.07 IWF ≤ 4.2

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure were derived using the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator found on the ENERGY STAR website.⁴³² This document will be updated regularly to apply the values provided in the latest available ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator. The most recent TRM version should be referenced to determine the savings for this measure.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{\text{baseline}} - kWh_{\text{ES}}$$

Equation 105

⁴³¹ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Clothes Washers. <https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Final%20Version%208.0%20Clothes%20Washer%20Partner%20Commitments%20and%20Eligibility%20Criteria.pdf>.

⁴³² ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator (updated October 2016). The previously cited URL is no longer available, but a copy of the calculator can be provided upon request.

Baseline Unit

$$kWh_{baseline} = kWh_{conv,machine} + kWh_{conv,WH} + kWh_{conv,dryer} + kWh_{conv,LPM}$$

Equation 106

$$kWh_{conv,machine} = MCF \times RUEC_{conv} \times \frac{LPY}{RLPY}$$

Equation 107

$$kWh_{conv,WH} = WHCF \times RUEC_{conv} \times \frac{LPY}{RLPY}$$

Equation 108

$$kWh_{conv,LPM} = kW_{conv,LPM} \times (8,760 - LPY)$$

Equation 109

$$kWh_{conv,dryer} = \left[\left(\frac{Cap_{conv}}{IMEF_{FS}} \times LPY \right) - \left(RUEC_{conv} \times \frac{LPY}{RLPY} \right) - kWh_{conv,LPM} \right] \times \frac{DU}{DUF}$$

Equation 110

Where:

$kWh_{baseline}$	=	Federal standard baseline energy usage
$kWh_{conv,machine}$	=	Conventional machine energy
$kWh_{conv,WH}$	=	Conventional water heater energy
$kWh_{conv,dryer}$	=	Conventional dryer energy
$kWh_{conv,LPM}$	=	Conventional combined low-power mode energy
$RUEC_{conv}$	=	Conventional rated unit electricity consumption = 381 kWh/year (top-loading, standard) ⁴³³ , 163 kWh/year top-loading, compact)
LPY	=	Loads per year = 295
$RLPY$	=	Reference loads per year = 392
$kW_{conv,LPM}$	=	Combined low-power mode wattage of conventional unit = 0.00115 kW (top-loading, standard), 0.00144 kW (top-loading, compact)
Cap_{conv}	=	Average machine capacity = 4.5 ft ³ (top-loading, standard), 2.1 ft ³ (top-loading, compact)
$IMEF_{FS}$	=	Federal standard integrated modified energy factor (Table 330)

⁴³³ This value is taken from the ENERGY STAR appliance calculator and corresponds with the federal standard after March 7, 2015.

<i>MCF</i>	=	<i>Machine consumption factor = 20 percent</i>
<i>WHCF</i>	=	<i>Water heater consumption factor = 80 percent</i>
<i>DU</i>	=	<i>Dryer usage in households with both a washer and a dryer = 95 percent</i>
<i>DUF</i>	=	<i>Dryer use factor (percentage of washer loads dried in machine) = 91 percent</i>

ENERGY STAR Unit

$$kWh_{ES} = kWh_{ES,machine} + kWh_{ES,WH} + kWh_{ES,dryer} + kWh_{ES,LPM} \quad \text{Equation 111}$$

$$kWh_{ES,machine} = MCF \times RUEC_{ES} \times \frac{LPY}{RLPY} \quad \text{Equation 112}$$

$$kWh_{ES,WH} = WHCF \times RUEC_{ES} \times \frac{LPY}{RLPY} \quad \text{Equation 113}$$

$$kWh_{ES,LPM} = kW_{ES,LPM} \times (8,760 - LPY) \quad \text{Equation 114}$$

$$kWh_{ES,dryer} = \left[\left(\frac{Cap_{ES}}{IMEF_{ES}} \times LPY \right) - \left(RUEC_{ES} \times \frac{LPY}{RLPY} \right) - kWh_{ES,LPM} \right] \times \frac{DU}{DUF} \quad \text{Equation 115}$$

Where:

<i>kWh_{ES}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR average energy usage</i>
<i>kWh_{ES,machine}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR machine energy</i>
<i>kWh_{ES,WH}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR water heater energy</i>
<i>kWh_{ES,dryer}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR dryer energy</i>
<i>kWh_{ES,LPM}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR combined low-power mode energy</i>
<i>RUEC_{ES}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR rated unit electricity consumption (see Table 332)</i>
<i>kW_{ES,LPM}</i>	=	<i>Combined low-power mode wattage of ENERGY STAR unit (see Table 332)</i>
<i>IMEF_{ES}</i>	=	<i>ENERGY STAR integrated modified energy factor (see Table 331)</i>
<i>Cap_{ES}</i>	=	<i>Average machine capacity (see Table 332)</i>

Table 332. Clothes Washers—ENERGY STAR Characteristics⁴³⁴

Product type	ENERGY STAR rated unit electricity consumption (kWh)	Average capacity (ft ³)	Combined low-power mode wattage (kW)
Residential front-loading (> 2.5 ft ³)	127	4.0	0.00160
Residential top-loading (> 2.5 ft ³)	230	4.5	0.00115
Residential small or compact (< 2.5 ft ³)	108	2.1	0.00144

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{AOH} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 116

$$AOH = LPY \times d$$

Equation 117

Where:

- AOH = Annual operating hours
- CF_{S/W} = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 333)
- LPY = Loads per year = 295
- d = Average wash cycle duration = 1 hour^{435,436}

Table 333. Clothes Washers—Coincidence Factors⁴³⁷

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.041	0.041
Winter	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.044	0.039

⁴³⁴ This value is taken from the ENERGY STAR appliance calculator and corresponds with the ENERGY STAR specification after March 7, 2015.

⁴³⁵ Weighted average of Consumer Reports Cycle Times for Top and Front-Loading Clothes Washers.

⁴³⁶ Consumer Reports. "Top-loading washers remain more popular with Americans". April 13, 2010. Weighted average of 75 percent Top-Loading Clothes Washers and 25 percent Front-Loading Clothes Washers.

⁴³⁷ See Volume 1, Section 4.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 334. Clothes Washers—Energy Savings (kWh)

Type	Water heater fuel type	Dryer fuel type	kWh/unit
Front-loading > 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	428
		Gas	187
	Gas	Electric	275
		Gas	34
Top-loading > 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	205
		Gas	114
	Gas	Electric	114
		Gas	23
All ≤ 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	248
		Gas	41
	Gas	Electric	215
		Gas	8

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 335. Clothes Washers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Washer type	Fuel Type		Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
	Water heater	Dryer					
Front-loading > 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.060	0.060
		Gas	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.026
	Gas	Electric	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.038	0.038
		Gas	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Top-loading > 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028
		Gas	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.016
	Gas	Electric	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.016
		Gas	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
All ≤ 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034
		Gas	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
	Gas	Electric	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.030	0.030
		Gas	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 336. Clothes Washers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Washer type	Fuel type		Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
	Water heater	Dryer					
Front-loading > 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	0.062	0.062	0.062	0.064	0.057
		Gas	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.025
	Gas	Electric	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.041	0.036
		Gas	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Top-loading > 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.031	0.027
		Gas	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.015
	Gas	Electric	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.015
		Gas	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
All ≤ 2.5 ft ³	Electric	Electric	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.037	0.033
		Gas	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.005
	Gas	Electric	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.032	0.028
		Gas	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of an ENERGY STAR clothes washer is established at 11 years based on the Technical Support Document for the current DOE Final Rule standards for residential clothes washers.⁴³⁸

⁴³⁸ The median lifetime was calculated using the survival function outlined in the DOE Technical Support Document. Final Rule: Standards, Federal Register, 77 FR 32308 (May 31, 2012) and associated Technical Support Document. https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=68&action=viewlive. Download TSD at: <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2008-BT-STD-0019-0047>.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- Type of unit (top-loading, front-loading, or compact)
- DHW fuel type (gas or electric)
- Dryer fuel type (gas or electric)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 337. Clothes Washers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. New ENERGY STAR standards incorporated.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. Updated EUL to align with median lifetime. New peak savings calculated according to revised peak definition.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. New ENERGY STAR algorithms and default assumptions incorporated.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated winter coincidence factors and winter and summer demand savings tables.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated baseline IMEF to reflect changes in Federal Standard. Updated Front Load Washer IMEF to reflect changes in ENERGY STAR Specification. Added baseline for compact units to reflect Federal Standard for compact washers.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	11/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updated links and dates.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General reference checks and text edits. Updated deemed savings tables to match savings algorithms and ENERGY STAR calculator.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified upcoming federal standard. Incorporated latest ENERGY STAR specification.

2.5.3 ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-CD

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This document presents the accepted deemed savings awarded for the installation of an ENERGY STAR electric clothes dryer. Savings are awarded at a flat per-unit rate, both for energy and demand savings. This measure will apply to existing homes and new construction.

Eligibility Criteria

Gas dryers are ineligible to claim savings under this measure. Savings may be claimed for the replacement of gas dryers if the decision to switch fuels predates the decision to install efficient equipment.

Baseline Condition

Effective January 1, 2015, the baseline is the Department of Energy (DOE) minimum federal efficiency standard⁴³⁹, adjusted to reflect recent combined energy factor (CEF) definition updates for vented and ventless clothes dryers. These adjusted baselines consider calculated differences between CEF values under original clothes dryer testing procedures of 10 CFR 430, Subpart B, Appendix D1, and those amended procedures outlined in Appendix D2; a change indicated in detail in the September 5, 2013, ENERGY STAR stakeholder webinar. These values are consistent with the current ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator.

The DOE has published a new final rule effective July 10, 2024.⁴⁴⁰ However, compliance is required on and after March 1, 2028.

⁴³⁹ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential clothes dryers.

<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EERE-2007-BT-STD-0010-0050>.

⁴⁴⁰ DOE updated federal standard: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/consumer-clothes-dryers>.

Table 338. Clothes Dryers—Current Federal Standard

Product type	Average capacity (ft ³)	Amended minimum CEF: calculations	Minimum CEF levels (lbs/kWh)
Vented electric, standard	≥ 4.4	3.73 – (3.73 x 0.166)	3.11
Vented electric, compact (120 V)	< 4.4	3.61 – (3.61 x 0.166)	3.01
Vented electric, compact (240 V)	< 4.4	3.27 – (3.27 x 0.166)	2.73
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)	< 4.4	2.55 – (2.55 x 0.166)	2.13

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 1.1 Requirements for eligible clothes dryers effective January 1, 2015.⁴⁴¹ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Table 339. Clothes Dryers—ENERGY STAR Requirements

Product type	Heat type	Average capacity (ft ³)	Minimum CEF levels (lbs/kWh)	Average CEF from QPL ⁴⁴²
ENERGY STAR ventless or vented electric, standard	Electric	≥ 4.4	3.93	4.01
ENERGY STAR ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	3.80	3.80
ENERGY STAR vented electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	3.45	3.45
ENERGY STAR ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	2.68	2.68
ENERGY STAR ventless or vented electric, standard	Heat pump	≥ 4.4	3.93	8.65
ENERGY STAR ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	3.80	6.37
ENERGY STAR ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	3.45	4.97
ENERGY STAR ventless or vented electric, standard	Hybrid heat Pump	< 4.4	3.93	5.11

⁴⁴¹ ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryers Final Version 1.1 Program Requirements.

<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ENERGY%20STAR%20Final%20Version%201.1%20Clothes%20Dryers%20Specification%20-%20Program%20Commitment%20Criteria%20and%20Eligibility%20Criteria.pdf>.

⁴⁴² ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryers Qualified Product Listing (QPL).

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-clothes-dryers/results>.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure were derived using the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator found on the ENERGY STAR website.⁴⁴³ This document will be updated regularly to apply the values provided in the latest available ENERGY STAR appliance calculator. The most recent TRM version should be referenced to determine the savings for this measure.

Table 340. Clothes Dryers—Default Average Load

Product type	Average load (lbs)
Vented electric, standard	8.45
Vented electric, compact (120 V)	3.00
Vented electric, compact (240 V)	
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)	

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{\text{baseline}} - kWh_{\text{ES}}$$

Equation 118

Baseline Unit

$$kWh_{\text{baseline}} = \frac{\text{AvgLoad} \times \text{LPY}}{CEF_{\text{baseline}}}$$

Equation 119

Where:

- kWh_{baseline} = Federal standard baseline energy usage
- AvgLoad = Average load in lbs (Table 340)
- LPY = Loads per year = 283
- CEF_{baseline} = Baseline combined energy factor (see Table 338)

ENERGY STAR Unit

$$kWh_{\text{ES}} = \frac{\text{AvgLoad} \times \text{LPY}}{CEF_{\text{ES}}}$$

Equation 120

⁴⁴³ ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator (updated October 2016). The previously cited URL is no longer available, but a copy of the calculator can be provided upon request.

Where:

kWh_{ES} = ENERGY STAR average energy usage

CEF_{ES} = ENERGY STAR minimum combined energy factor (see Table 339)

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{AOH} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 121

Where:

AOH = Annual operating hours = (8,760 – 8,463) = 297 hours⁴⁴⁴

$CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 341)

Table 341. Clothes Dryers—Coincidence Factors⁴⁴⁵

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.042
Winter	0.045	0.045	0.041	0.048	0.047

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 342. Clothes Dryers—Energy Savings (kWh)

Product type	Heat type	Average capacity (ft ³)	Energy savings (kWh)
Ventless or vented electric, standard	Electric	≥ 4.4	172
Ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	59
Vented electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	65
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	82
Ventless or vented electric, standard	Heat pump	≥ 4.4	492
Ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	149
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	140
Ventless or vented electric standard	Hybrid heat pump	< 4.4	301

⁴⁴⁴ Concerning annual operating hours: Minute-by-minute field data shows “96.6% ± 0.5% idle time, or about 8463 hours.” Hannas, Benjamin and Gilman, Lucinda. Dryer Field Study, 39.
<https://neea.org/img/uploads/neea-clothes-dryer-field-study.pdf>.

⁴⁴⁵ See Volume 1, Section 4.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 343. Clothes Dryers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Product type	Heat type	Average capacity (ft ³)	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Ventless or vented electric, standard	Electric	≥ 4.4	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.025
Ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
Vented electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.012
Ventless or vented electric, standard	Heat pump	≥ 4.4	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.068	0.070
Ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.020
Ventless or vented electric standard	Hybrid heat pump	< 4.4	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.043

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 344. Clothes Dryers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Product type	Heat type	Average capacity (ft ³)	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Ventless or vented electric, standard	Electric	≥ 4.4	0.024	0.024	0.022	0.026	0.025
Ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.009	0.009
Vented electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.011	0.010
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.013	0.013
Ventless or vented electric, standard	Heat pump	≥ 4.4	0.074	0.075	0.068	0.080	0.078
Ventless or vented electric, compact (120 V)		< 4.4	0.023	0.023	0.021	0.024	0.024
Ventless electric, compact (240 V)		< 4.4	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.023	0.022
Ventless or vented electric standard	Hybrid heat pump	< 4.4	0.046	0.046	0.042	0.049	0.048

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of an ENERGY STAR clothes dryer is established at 16 years based on the current DOE Final Rule standards for clothes dryers.⁴⁴⁶

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- Type of unit (vented or ventless)
- Capacity (≥ 4.4 ft³/standard or < 4.4 ft³/compact)
- Heating type (electric, heat pump, hybrid heat pump)
- Proof of purchase – including date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

⁴⁴⁶ Technical Support Document (April 2011). See “Appendix 8C.Lifetime Distributions”:
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EERE-2007-BT-STD-0010-0053>

Document Revision History

Table 345. Clothes Dryers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revision.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified upcoming federal standard. Added heat pump dryer savings tier.

2.5.4 ENERGY STAR® Dishwashers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-DW

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This document presents the accepted deemed savings awarded for the installation of an ENERGY STAR dishwasher. Savings are awarded at a flat per-unit rate, both for energy and demand savings. This measure will apply to existing homes and new construction.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to both standard and compact dishwasher types.

Baseline Condition

Effective May 30, 2013, the baseline is the Department of Energy (DOE) minimum efficiency standard⁴⁴⁷ for dishwashers.

Table 346. Dishwashers—Federal Standard

Product type	Annual energy use (kWh/year)	Water consumption (gallons/cycle)
Standard (≥ 8 place settings)	≤ 307	≤ 5.0
Compact (< 8 place settings)	≤ 222	≤ 3.5

The DOE issued an updated direct final rule effective August 22, 2024. However, compliance with the amended federal standard is not required until April 23, 2027.⁴⁴⁸ The baseline will be updated at that time to reflect the current federal standard.

⁴⁴⁷ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential dishwashers.

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=38&action=vi ewlive.

⁴⁴⁸ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential dishwashers.

<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2019-BT-STD-0039-0065>.

High-Efficiency Condition

The following table displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 7.0 Requirements for eligible dishwashers effective July 19, 2023.⁴⁴⁹ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Table 347. Dishwashers—ENERGY STAR Requirements

Product type	Annual energy use (kWh/year)	Water consumption (gallons/cycle)
Standard (≥ 8 place settings + 6 serving pieces)	≤ 240	≤ 3.2
Compact (< 8 place settings + 6 serving pieces)	≤ 155	≤ 2.0

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure were derived using the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator found on the ENERGY STAR website and the revised ENERGY STAR specification in Table 347.⁴⁵⁰ Default values were taken directly from the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator. This document will be updated regularly to apply the values provided in the latest available ENERGY STAR specification and appliance calculator. The most recent TRM version should be referenced to determine measure savings for this measure.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{baseline} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 122

$$kWh_{baseline} = kWh_{conv,machine} + kWh_{conv,WH}$$

Equation 123

$$kWh_{conv,machine} = RUEC_{conv} \times MCF$$

Equation 124

$$kWh_{conv,WH} = RUEC_{conv} \times WHCF$$

Equation 125

$$kWh_{ES} = kWh_{ES,machine} + kWh_{ES,WH}$$

Equation 126

⁴⁴⁹ ENERGY STAR Dishwashers Final Version 7.0 Program Requirements.

<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%207.0%20Residential%20Dishwasher%20Final%20Specification.pdf>.

⁴⁵⁰ ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator (updated October 2016). The previously cited URL is no longer available, but a copy of the calculator can be provided upon request.

$$kWh_{ES,machine} = RUEC_{ES} \times MCF$$

Equation 127

$$kWh_{ES,WH} = RUEC_{ES} \times WHCF$$

Equation 128

Where:

$kWh_{baseline}$	=	Federal standard baseline energy usage
kWh_{ES}	=	ENERGY STAR average energy usage
$kWh_{conv,machine}$	=	Conventional machine energy
$kWh_{conv,WH}$	=	Conventional water heater energy
$kWh_{ES,machine}$	=	ENERGY STAR machine energy
$kWh_{ES,WH}$	=	ENERGY STAR water heater energy
$RUEC_{conv}$	=	Conventional rated use electricity consumption = 307 kWh/year for standard and 222 kWh/year for compact (Table 346)
$RUEC_{ES}$	=	ENERGY STAR rated use electricity consumption = 270 kWh/year for standard and 203 kWh/year for compact (Table 347)
MCF	=	Machine consumption factor = 44 percent
$WHCF$	=	Water heater consumption factor = 56 percent

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{AOH} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 129

$$AOH = CPY \times d$$

Equation 130

Where:

AOH	=	Annual operating hours
$CF_{S/W}$	=	Seasonal peak coincidence factor = (Table 348)
CPY	=	Cycles per year = 215
d	=	Average wash cycle duration = 2.1 hours ⁴⁵¹

⁴⁵¹ Average of consumer reports cycle times for dishwashers.

Table 348. Dishwashers—Coincidence Factors⁴⁵²

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.042	0.041	0.042	0.041	0.042
Winter	0.106	0.104	0.090	0.112	0.129

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 349. Dishwashers—Energy Savings (kWh)

Product type	Electric DHW	Gas DHW
Standard	67	29
Compact		

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 350. Dishwashers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Dishwasher type	DHW fuel	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Standard	Electric	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
	Gas	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Compact	Electric	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
	Gas	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 351. Dishwashers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Dishwasher type	DHW fuel	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Standard	Electric	0.016	0.015	0.013	0.017	0.019
	Gas	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.007	0.008
Compact	Electric	0.016	0.015	0.013	0.017	0.019
	Gas	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.007	0.008

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

⁴⁵² See Volume 1, Section 4.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is established at 15 years based on the Technical Support Document for the current DOE Final Rule standards for residential dishwashers.⁴⁵³

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- Type of dishwasher (standard or compact)
- Fuel type of water heater (gas or electric)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

⁴⁵³ The median lifetime was calculated using the survival function outlined in the DOE Technical Support Document. Final Rule: Standards, Federal Register, 77 FR 31918 (May 30, 2012) and associated Technical Support Document.
https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=38&action=viewlive.

Document Revision History

Table 352. Dishwashers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. New ENERGY STAR specification incorporated into the measure. New peak savings calculated according to revised peak definition.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Final ENERGY STAR specification incorporated into the measure. Consolidated table formats.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM 3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors and demand savings tables.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated footnote reference to ENERGY STAR calculator.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updated links and dates.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revision.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Clarified upcoming federal standard. Incorporated latest ENERGY STAR specification.

2.5.5 ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-RF

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, early retirement, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to all ENERGY STAR refrigerators that meet the criteria for the ENERGY STAR label specified below.

Eligibility Criteria

To qualify for early retirement, the ENERGY STAR unit must replace an existing, full-size unit with a maximum age of 20 years. To determine the remaining useful life of an existing unit, see Table 356. All retired refrigerators must be dismantled in an environmentally safe manner in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations. The installer will provide documentation of proper disposal of refrigerators. To receive early retirement savings, the unit to be replaced must be functioning at the time of removal.

Newly installed refrigerators must meet current ENERGY STAR efficiency levels.

Baseline Condition

For new construction or replace-on-burnout, the baseline is the Department of Energy (DOE) minimum efficiency standard⁴⁵⁴ for refrigerators, effective September 15, 2014.

The DOE issued an updated direct final rule effective June 13, 2024. However, compliance with the new amended standard is not required until either January 31, 2029, or January 31, 2030, depending on product class.⁴⁵⁵ The baseline will be updated at that time to reflect the current federal standard.

⁴⁵⁴ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential refrigerators and freezers.

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/43.

⁴⁵⁵ Notice of new DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential refrigerators and freezers.

<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2017-BT-STD-0003-0116>.

For early retirement, the baseline for refrigerators is the annual unit energy consumption of an assumed refrigerator’s adjusted energy usage rating based on an average of values reported by the Midwest Energy Performance Analytics (MwEPA) Refrigerator and Freezer Energy Rating Database.⁴⁵⁶ Since the federal standard effective date occurred in late 2014, existing units manufactured as of 2015 are not eligible for early retirement.

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 5.1 Requirements for eligible consumer refrigeration products effective September 15, 2014.⁴⁵⁷ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Table 353. Refrigerators—ENERGY STAR Requirements

ENERGY STAR refrigerator		
Product type	Volume	Criteria as of September 15, 2014
Full-size refrigerators and refrigerator-freezers	7.75 cubic feet or greater	Approximately 10 percent more energy efficient than the minimum federal standard (see Table 354)

⁴⁵⁶ Refrigerator and Freezer Energy Rating Database. Midwest Energy Performance Analytics, Inc. in combination with the State of Wisconsin and US Department of Energy’s Weatherization Assistance Program. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/wap/articles/refrigerator-and-freezer-energy-rating-database-search-tool>.

⁴⁵⁷ ENERGY STAR Consumer Refrigeration Products Final Version 5.1 Program Requirements. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%205.1%20Consumer%20Refrigeration%20Products%20Final%20Specification_0.pdf.

Table 354. Refrigerators—Formulas to Calculate the Energy Usage by Product Class⁴⁵⁸

Product number	Product class	Baseline energy usage federal standard as of September 15, 2014 (kWh/year) ⁴⁵⁹	Average ENERGY STAR energy usage (kWh/year) ⁴⁶⁰	Adjusted volume ⁴⁶¹ (cubic feet)	Baseline energy usage (kWh/year)	ENERGY STAR energy usage (kWh/year)
3	Refrigerator freezers—automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	$8.07 \times AV + 233.7$	$7.26 \times AV + 210.3$	16.9	370.1	333.0
5	Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	$8.85 \times AV + 317.0$	$7.97 \times AV + 285.3$	18.6	481.5	433.5
5A	Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	$9.25 \times AV + 475.4$	$8.33 \times AV + 436.3$	32.1	772.1	703.5
7	Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	$8.54 \times AV + 432.8$	$7.69 \times AV + 397.9$	30.4	692.1	631.4

⁴⁵⁸ Federal standard for refrigerators and freezers.

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=37&action=viewlive. Select product classes excluded.

⁴⁵⁹ <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>.

⁴⁶⁰ Approximately ten percent more efficient than baseline, as specified in the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator (updated September 2015). The previously cited URL is no longer available, but a copy of the calculator can be provided upon request.

⁴⁶¹ AV is calculated as a simple average across all refrigerators in the corresponding Product Class utilizing data provided by <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-residential-refrigerators/results>.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

New Construction or Replace-on-Burnout

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{\text{baseline}} - kWh_{\text{ES}}$$

Equation 131

Where:

kWh_{baseline} = Federal standard baseline energy usage (see Table 354)

kWh_{ES} = ENERGY STAR average energy usage (see Table 354)

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{8,760 \text{ hrs}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 132

Where:

$C_{S/W}$ = Seasonal coincidence factor (see Table 355)

Table 355. Refrigerators—Coincidence Factors⁴⁶²

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	1.112	1.099	1.108	1.100	1.081
Winter	0.929	0.966	0.924	0.941	0.966

Early Retirement

Annual energy (kWh) and peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

1. The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and
2. The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL).

⁴⁶² See Volume 1, Section 4.

Annual energy and peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining useful life (see Table 356); if unknown, assume the age of the replaced unit is equal to the EUL resulting in a default RUL of 5.0 years

EUL = Estimated useful life = 16 years⁴⁶³

Table 356. Refrigerators—RUL of Replaced Unit⁴⁶⁴

Age of replaced refrigerator (years)	RUL (years)	Age of replaced refrigerator (years)	RUL (years)
1	15.2	12	7.0
2	14.2	13	6.6
3	13.2	14	6.3
4	12.2	15	6.0
5	11.2	16	5.0
6	10.3	17	4.0
7	9.6	18	3.0
8	8.9	19	2.0
9	8.3	20	1.0
10	7.8	21 ^{465,466}	0.0
11	7.4		

⁴⁶³ Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 Final Rule 57516, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.1 Estimated Survival Function. September 15, 2011.

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/43. Download TSD at: <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2008-BT-STD-0012-0128>.

⁴⁶⁴ Current federal standard effective date is 9/15/2014. Since the federal standard effective date occurred in late 2014, existing units manufactured as of 2015 are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should use the ROB baseline instead.


⁴⁶⁵ RULs are capped at the 75th percentile of equipment age as determined based on DOE survival curves (see Figure 7). Systems older than this age should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment,” for further detail.

⁴⁶⁶ Ward, B., Bodington, N., Farah, H., Reeves, S., and Lee, L. “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Prepared by the Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (EM&V) team for the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). January 2015. This document has been made available to all Texas investor-owned utilities through the EM&V team’s SharePoint.

Derivation of RULs

ENERGY STAR refrigerators have an estimated useful life of 16 years. This estimate is consistent with the age at which approximately 50 percent of the refrigerators installed in a given year will no longer be in service, as described by the survival function in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Refrigerators—Survival Function⁴⁶⁷



The method for estimating the RUL of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 7. The age of the refrigerator being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving refrigerators is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new estimated useful lifetime applicable to the current unit age. Then, the age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

For example, assume a refrigerator being replaced is 15 years old. The corresponding percent surviving value is 56 percent. Half of 56 percent is 28 percent. The age corresponding to 28 percent on the chart is 21 years. Therefore, the RUL of the refrigerator being replaced is $(21 - 15) = 6$ years.

⁴⁶⁷ Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 Final Rule 57516, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.1 Estimated Survival Function. September 15, 2011.

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/pdfs/refrig_finalrule_tsd.pdf.

Energy Savings Algorithms

For the RUL time period:

$$kWh_{savings,ER} = kWh_{manf} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 133

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

$$kWh_{savings,ROB} = kWh_{baseline} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 134

Where:

$$kWh_{manf} = 940 \text{ kWh/Year}^{468}$$

Demand Savings Algorithms

To calculate demand savings for the early retirement of a refrigerator, a similar methodology is used as for replace-on-burnout installations, with separate savings calculated for the remaining useful life of the unit, and the remainder of the EUL as outlined in the section above.

For the RUL time period:

$$kW_{savings,ER} = \frac{kWh_{savings,ER}}{8,760 \text{ hrs}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 135

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

$$kW_{savings,ROB} = \frac{kWh_{savings,ROB}}{8,760 \text{ hrs}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 136

Annual deemed summer peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

⁴⁶⁸ Existing unit consumption is derived from the MwEPA Refrigerator and Freezer Database. Consumption is weighted using appliance characteristics from the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) for units greater than 15 years old. Data for models manufactured prior to 1975 were excluded.
<https://www.energy.gov/scep/wap/articles/refrigerator-and-freezer-energy-rating-online-search-tool>.
<https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/hc/pdf/HC%203.8.pdf>.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 357. Refrigerators—Energy Savings (kWh)

Through-the-door ice?	Door type	Product class	ROB savings (kWh/year)	ER savings (kWh/year)
No	Top freezer	3: Refrigerator freezers—automatic defrost with a top-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	37	215
	Bottom freezer	5: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with a bottom-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	48	191
Yes	Bottom freezer	5A: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	69	138
	Side-by-side	7: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	61	121
Unknown or average refrigerator ⁴⁶⁹			44	196

⁴⁶⁹ An “Unknown or Average” refrigerator’s savings are calculated as the difference between the weighted average of baseline energy usage ratings and the weighted average of ENERGY STAR energy usage ratings for the four selected refrigerator categories, with weights ascertained from averages of refrigerators in 10–14-year-old, 5–9-year-old, and 2–4-year-old age groups. The data used to calculate weights is hosted by Natural Resources Canada (NRCAN) at the following link which contains a table of the distribution of refrigerator types in households by year: <http://oee.nrcan.gc.ca/corporate/statistics/neud/dpa/showTable.cfm?type=CM§or=aaa&juris=ca&n=3&page=1>. Weights were similarly calculated utilizing data from RECS (data, which is summarized, i.e., not yearly, and located here: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/hc/php/hc3.6.php>). While the reported distribution of refrigerator types between the two sets of data varies, we prefer the year-level granularity of the data from NRCAN considering that the differences between both sets of weighted average baseline energy usage and weighted average ENERGY STAR energy usage were nearly identical. Hence, we elect to utilize the more detailed weightings derived from the data hosted by NRCAN.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 358. Refrigerators—Replace-on-Burnout – Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Through-the-door ice?	Door type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
No	Top freezer	3: Refrigerator freezers—automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.0047	0.0047	0.0047	0.0047	0.0046
	Bottom freezer	5: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.0061	0.0060	0.0061	0.0060	0.0059
Yes	Bottom freezer	5A: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.0087	0.0086	0.0087	0.0086	0.0085
	Side-by-side	7: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.0077	0.0076	0.0077	0.0076	0.0075
Unknown or average refrigerator			0.0056	0.0056	0.0056	0.0056	0.0055

Table 359. Refrigerators—Early Retirement—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Through-the-door ice?	Door type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
No	Top freezer	3: Refrigerator freezers—automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027
	Bottom freezer	5: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024
Yes	Bottom freezer	5A: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
	Side-by-side	7: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
Unknown or average refrigerator			0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.024

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 360. Refrigerators—Replace-on-Burnout—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Through-the-door ice?	Door type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
No	Top freezer	3: Refrigerator freezers—automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.0039	0.0041	0.0039	0.0040	0.0041
	Bottom freezer	5: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.0051	0.0053	0.0051	0.0052	0.0053
Yes	Bottom freezer	5A: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.0073	0.0076	0.0072	0.0074	0.0076
	Side-by-side	7: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.0064	0.0067	0.0064	0.0065	0.0067
Unknown or average refrigerator			0.0047	0.0049	0.0047	0.0048	0.0049

Table 361. Refrigerators—Early Retirement—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Through-the-door ice?	Door type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
No	Top freezer	3: Refrigerator freezers—automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.023	0.024	0.023	0.023	0.024
	Bottom freezer	5: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without an automatic icemaker	0.020	0.021	0.020	0.021	0.021
Yes	Bottom freezer	5A: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
	Side-by-side	7: Refrigerator-freezers—automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with an automatic icemaker with TTD ice service	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
Unknown or average refrigerator			0.021	0.022	0.021	0.021	0.022

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is established at 16 years based on the current DOE Final Rule standards for residential refrigerators.⁴⁷⁰

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Baseline type (new construction, replace-on-burnout, or early retirement)
- Manufacturer and model number
- Photograph demonstrating functionality of existing equipment and/or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only)
- Document proper disposal of the existing refrigerator (early retirement only)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

⁴⁷⁰ Final Rule: Standards, Federal Register, 76 FR 57516 (Sept. 15, 2011) and associated Technical Support Document. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/43. Download TSD at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EERE-2008-BT-STD-0012-0128>.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 362. Refrigerators—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Low-income and hard-to-reach Market Transformation section merged with the main measure as “early retirement” option. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. New ENERGY STAR standards incorporated.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. early retirement savings may be claimed through any appropriately designed program in accordance with the EM&V team’s memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Remaining useful lifetimes updated. CF updated to align with new peak demand methodology.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Correction to legacy CF. Revision to align with ENERGY STAR calculator and specification.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated RUL value for units with the age of seven years and added RUL values for units with an age of one to five years. Added a default RUL value for when the age of the unit is unknown. Eliminated the eligibility requirement of the existing unit to have an age of minimum of five years.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated database reference.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Established deemed savings approach.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility and weighting using latest RECs data. Updated resulting early retirement savings tables. Clarified upcoming federal standard.

2.5.6 ENERGY STAR® Freezers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-FZ

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, early retirement, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to all ENERGY STAR freezers that meet the criteria for the ENERGY STAR label specified below.

Eligibility Criteria

To qualify for early retirement, the ENERGY STAR unit must replace an existing, full-size unit with a maximum age of 27 years. To determine the remaining useful life of an existing unit, see Table 366. All retired freezers must be dismantled in an environmentally safe manner in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations. The installer will provide documentation of proper disposal of freezers. In order to receive early retirement savings, the unit to be replaced must be functioning at the time of removal.

Newly-installed freezers must meet current ENERGY STAR efficiency levels.

Baseline Condition

For new construction or replace-on-burnout, the baseline is the Department of Energy (DOE) minimum efficiency standard⁴⁷¹ for freezers, effective September 15, 2014.

The DOE issued an updated direct final rule effective June 13, 2024. However, compliance with the new amended federal standard is not required until either January 31, 2029, or January 31, 2030, depending on product class.⁴⁷² The baseline will be updated at that time to reflect the current federal standard.

⁴⁷¹ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential refrigerators and freezers. https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=48f64e166fe3561666f871e521996e13&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8.

⁴⁷² Notice of new DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential refrigerators and freezers. <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2017-BT-STD-0003-0116>.

For early retirement, the baseline for freezers is the annual unit energy consumption of a freezer’s adjusted energy usage rating based on an average of values reported by the Midwest Energy Performance Analytics (MwEPA) Refrigerator and Freezer Energy Rating Database.⁴⁷³ Since the federal standard effective date occurred in late 2014, existing units manufactured as of 2015 are not eligible for early retirement.

Alternatively, the baseline annual energy usage of the freezer being replaced may be estimated by metering for a period of at least two hours using the measurement protocol specified in the DOE report, “Incorporating Refrigerator Replacement into the Weatherization Assistance Program.”⁴⁷⁴

To determine annual kWh of the freezer being replaced, use the following formula:

$$\text{Annual kWh Usage} = \frac{WH \times 8,760}{h \times 1,000}$$

Equation 137

Where:

<i>WH</i>	=	<i>Watt-hours metered during a time period</i>
<i>h</i>	=	<i>Measurement time period (hours)</i>
<i>8,760</i>	=	<i>Total hours per year</i>
<i>1,000</i>	=	<i>Constant to convert from W to kW</i>

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 5.1 Requirements for eligible consumer refrigeration products effective September 15, 2014.⁴⁷⁵ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

⁴⁷³ Refrigerator and Freezer Energy Rating Database. Midwest Energy Performance Analytics, Inc. in combination with the State of Wisconsin and US Department of Energy’s Weatherization Assistance Program. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/wap/articles/refrigerator-and-freezer-energy-rating-database-search-tool>.

⁴⁷⁴ Alex Moore, DandR International, Ltd. “Incorporating Refrigerator Replacement into the Weatherization Assistance Program” Information Tool Kit.” Department of Energy. November 19, 2001. https://aceee.org/files/proceedings/2002/data/papers/SS02_Panel2_Paper16.pdf.

⁴⁷⁵ ENERGY STAR Consumer Refrigeration Products Final Version 5.1 Program Requirements. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%205.1%20Consumer%20Refrigeration%20Products%20Final%20Specification_0.pdf.

Table 363. Freezers—ENERGY STAR Requirements

ENERGY STAR freezer		
Product type	Volume	Criteria as of September 15, 2014
Freezers	7.75 cubic feet or greater	Approximately ten percent more energy efficient than the minimum federal standard (see Table 354)
Compact freezers	Less than 7.75 cubic feet	Approximately ten percent more energy efficient than the minimum federal standard (see Table 354)

Table 364. Freezers—Formulas to Calculate the Energy Usage by Product Class⁴⁷⁶

Product number	Full product name ⁴⁷⁷	Product class	Baseline energy usage federal standard (kWh/year) ⁴⁷⁸	Average ENERGY STAR energy usage (kWh/year) ⁴⁷⁹	Adjusted volume ⁴⁸⁰ (cubic feet)	Baseline energy usage (kWh/year)	ENERGY STAR energy usage (kWh/year)
8	Upright freezers with manual defrost	Upright (manual defrost)	$5.57 \times AV + 193.7$	$5.01 \times AV + 174.3$	16.12	283.5	255.1
9	Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	Upright (auto defrost)	$8.62 \times AV + 228.3$	$7.76 \times AV + 205.5$	29.96	486.6	438.0
10	Chest freezers and all other freezers except compact freezers	Chest	$7.29 \times AV + 107.8$	$6.56 \times AV + 97$	25.25	291.8	262.6
16	Compact upright freezers with manual defrost	Compact upright (manual defrost)	$8.65 \times AV + 225.7$	$7.79 \times AV + 203.1$	5.34	271.9	244.7
17	Compact upright freezers with automatic defrost	Compact upright (auto defrost)	$10.17 \times AV + 351.9$	$9.15 \times AV + 316.7$	7.95	432.7	389.4
18	Compact chest freezers	Compact chest	$9.25 \times AV + 136.8$	$8.33 \times AV + 123.1$	9.06	220.6	198.6

⁴⁷⁶ Federal standard for refrigerators and freezers.

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=37&action=viewlive. Select product classes excluded.

⁴⁷⁷ Note that when calculating deemed savings for upright freezers, we calculated a weighted average of adjusted energy usage of manual versus automatic defrost upright freezers, with weights based on the number of millions-of-households which contain these types of freezers, obtained from the Residential Energy Consumption Survey, or RECS, (<https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/hc/php/hc3.6.php>), thus eliminating this input from consideration.

⁴⁷⁸ https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=48f64e166fe3561666f871e521996e13&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8.

⁴⁷⁹ Approximately 10 percent more efficient than baseline, as specified in the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator (updated September 2015). The previously cited URL is no longer available, but a copy of the calculator can be provided upon request.

⁴⁸⁰ AV is calculated as a simple average per selected freezer product type in the corresponding Product Class utilizing data provided by <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-residential-freezers/results>.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

New Construction or Replace-on-Burnout

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{\text{baseline}} - kWh_{\text{ES}}$$

Equation 138

Where:

kWh_{baseline} = Federal standard baseline energy usage (see Table 364)

kWh_{ES} = ENERGY STAR average energy usage (see Table 364)

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{8,760 \text{ hrs}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 139

Where:

$CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal coincidence factor (see Table 365)

Table 365. Freezers—Coincidence Factors⁴⁸¹

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	1.112	1.099	1.108	1.100	1.081
Winter	0.929	0.966	0.924	0.941	0.966

Early Retirement

Annual energy (kWh) and peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

1. The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and
2. The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL)

⁴⁸¹ See Volume 1, Section 4.

Annual energy and peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining useful life (see Table 366); if unknown, assume the age of the replaced unit is equal to the EUL resulting in a default RUL of 5.0 years

EUL = Estimated useful life = 22 years⁴⁸²

Table 366. Freezers—RUL of Replaced Unit⁴⁸³

Age of replaced freezer (years)	RUL (years)	Age of replaced freezer (years)	RUL (years)	Age of replaced freezer (years)	RUL (years)
1	20.7	10	12.1	19	6.6
2	19.7	11	11.3	20	6.2
3	18.7	12	10.6	21	5.9
4	17.7	13	9.9	22	5.0
5	16.7	14	9.2	23	4.0
6	15.7	15	8.6	24	3.0
7	14.8	16	8.1	25	2.0
8	13.8	17	7.5	26	1.0
9	13.0	18	7.1	27 ^{484,485}	0.0

⁴⁸² Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 Final Rule 57516, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.1 Estimated Survival Function. September 15, 2011. Download TSD at: <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2008-BT-STD-0012-0128>.

⁴⁸³ Current federal standard effective date is 9/15/2014. Since the federal standard effective date occurred in late 2014, existing units manufactured as of 2015 are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should use the ROB baseline instead.


⁴⁸⁴ RULs are capped at the 75th percentile of equipment age as determined based on DOE survival curves (see Figure 7). Systems older than this age should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment,” for further detail.

⁴⁸⁵ Ward, B., Bodington, N., Farah, H., Reeves, S., and Lee, L. “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Prepared by the Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (EM&V) team for the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). January 2015. This document has been made available to all Texas investor-owned utilities through the EM&V team’s SharePoint.

Derivation of RULs

ENERGY STAR freezers have an estimated useful life of 22 years. This estimate is consistent with the age at which approximately 50 percent of the freezers installed in a given year will no longer be in service, as described by the survival function in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Freezers—Survival Function⁴⁸⁶



The method for estimating the RUL of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 8. The age of the freezer being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving freezers is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new estimated useful lifetime applicable to the current unit age. Then, the age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

For example, assume a freezer being replaced is 22 years old (the estimated useful life). The corresponding percent surviving value is approximately 50 percent. Half of 50 percent is 25 percent. The age corresponding to 25 percent on the chart is approximately 27 years. Therefore, the RUL of the freezer being replaced is $27 - 22 = 5$ years.

⁴⁸⁶ Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 Final Rule 57516, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.1 Estimated Survival Function. September 15, 2011.

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/pdfs/refrig_finalrule_tsd.pdf.

Energy Savings Algorithms

For the RUL time period:

$$kWh_{savings,ER} = kWh_{manf} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 140

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

$$kWh_{savings,ROB} = kWh_{baseline} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 141

Where:

$$kWh_{manf} = 805 \text{ kWh/Year}^{487}$$

Demand Savings Algorithms

To calculate demand savings for the early retirement of a freezer, a similar methodology is used as for replace-on-burnout installations, with separate savings calculated for the remaining useful life of the unit, and the remainder of the EUL as outlined in the section above.

For the RUL time period:

$$kW_{savings,ER} = \frac{kWh_{savings,ER}}{8,760 \text{ hrs}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 142

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

$$kW_{savings,ROB} = \frac{kWh_{savings,ROB}}{8,760 \text{ hrs}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 143

Annual deemed summer peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

⁴⁸⁷ Existing unit consumption is derived from the MwEPA Refrigerator and Freezer Database. Consumption is weighted using appliance characteristics from the 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) for units greater than 15 years old. Data was only available for units manufactured on or after 1979.
<https://www.energy.gov/scep/wap/articles/refrigerator-and-freezer-energy-rating-online-search-tool>.
<https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2020/hc/pdf/HC%203.8.pdf>.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 367. Freezers—Savings (kWh)

Freezer type	Size	ROB savings (kWh)	ER savings (kWh)
Chest	Standard (≥ 7.75 ft ³)	29	146
	Compact (< 7.75 ft ³)	22	155
Upright	Standard (≥ 7.75 ft ³)	48	122
	Compact (< 7.75 ft ³)	32	143

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 368. Freezers—Replace-on-Burnout—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Freezer type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Chest	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Upright	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004

Table 369. Freezers—Early Retirement—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Freezer type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Chest	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.019	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.020	0.019	0.020	0.019	0.019
Upright	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 370. Freezers—Replace-on-Burnout—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Freezer type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Chest	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Upright	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003

Table 371. Freezers—Early Retirement—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Freezer type	Product class	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Chest	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.016
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.016	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.017
Upright	Standard ($\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
	Compact ($< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.016

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is established at 22 years based on the current DOE Final Rule standards for residential freezers.⁴⁸⁸

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Baseline type (new construction, replace-on-burnout, or early retirement)
- Manufacturer and model number
- Freezer type (upright or chest)
- Freezer size (standard, i.e., $\geq 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$, or compact, i.e., $< 7.75 \text{ ft}^3$)
- Photograph demonstrating functionality of existing equipment and/or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only)
- The installer will provide documentation of proper disposal of freezers in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations (early retirement only)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

⁴⁸⁸ Final Rule: Standards, Federal Register, 76 FR 57516 (Sept. 15, 2011) and associated Technical Support Document. https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=48f64e166fe3561666f871e521996e13&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8.
Download TSD at: <https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2008-BT-STD-0012-0128>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources

.

Document Revision History

Table 372. Freezers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility and weighting using latest RECs data. Updated resulting early retirement savings tables. Clarified upcoming federal standard.

2.5.7 Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-RR

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliance Recycling

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Early retirement

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves early retirement and recycling of an existing, full-size (7.75 ft³ or greater) refrigerator or combined refrigerator/freezer in a residential application. Savings represent the entire estimated energy consumption of the existing unit and are applicable over the estimated remaining life of the existing unit.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to operable primary and secondary retired refrigerators/freezers. Recycling savings for this measure are limited to the removal of a working refrigerator/freezer from the electrical grid and differ from the savings specified in the ENERGY STAR Refrigerator replacement measure. The latter, which pertain to the direct replacement of a refrigerator and reflect the difference in energy consumption between new ENERGY STAR qualifying and standard efficiency models, may be claimed for the recycling of primary refrigerators/freezers that have been replaced if savings for that replacement were not already claimed in another energy efficiency program. To qualify, the customer must release the existing unit to the utility or utility representative to ensure proper disposal in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

Baseline Condition

Without program intervention, the recycled refrigerator or refrigerator/freezer would have remained operable on the electrical grid. As a result, the baseline condition for early retirement programs is continued operation of the existing refrigerator.

High-Efficiency Condition

There is no efficiency standard for a recycling measure because the energy efficient action is the removal of an operable appliance, not—as with most demand-side management programs—the installation of a higher efficiency model.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

The basis for estimating energy savings is the annual energy consumption of the refrigerator or refrigerator/freezer being retired.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{\text{existing}} \times ISAF \times PUF$$

Equation 144

Where:

kWh_{existing} = Average annual energy consumption⁴⁸⁹ (see Table 373)

ISAF = In situ adjustment factor⁴⁹⁰ = 0.942

PUF = Part use factor⁴⁹¹ = 0.915

Table 373. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling—Average Annual Energy Consumption

Total capacity (ft ³)	Year manufactured	kWh _{existing} by freezer configuration				
		Top	Bottom	Side	Upright	Chest
< 16.5	≤ 2000	861	962	1,139	937	532
	2001-2010	556	724	747	713	435
	≥ 2011	374	483	592	449	292

⁴⁸⁹ ENERGY STAR Flip Your Fridge Calculator.

<https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?fuseaction=refrig.calculator>.

⁴⁹⁰ The Cadmus Group, Inc. "Residential Retrofit High Impact Measure Evaluation Report". Prepared for California Public Utilities Commission Energy Division. February 8, 2010. Factor to account for variation between site conditions and controlled DOE testing conditions (90 °F test chamber, empty refrigerator and freezer cabinets, and no door openings). Appliances in warmer climate zones use more energy than those in cooler climate zones; utilized SCE data (highest percentage of warm climate projects) to best approximate Texas climate, p. 139-140.

⁴⁹¹ Ibid. Factor to account for the number of refrigerators that were running, running part time, or not running at the time of recycling, p. 142-143 (weighted by representative utility survey participation, p. 117).

Total capacity (ft ³)	Year manufactured	kWh _{existing} by freezer configuration				
		Top	Bottom	Side	Upright	Chest
16.5-18.9	≤ 2000	962	1,051	1,266	1,058	621
	2001-2010	613	747	818	805	508
	≥ 2011	412	517	640	507	341
19.0-21.4	≤ 2000	1,031	1,110	1,329	1,138	680
	2001-2010	651	762	854	866	557
	≥ 2011	438	539	664	545	373
21.5-24.4	≤ 2000	1,090	1,172	1,368	1,194	721
	2001-2010	683	777	876	909	591
	≥ 2011	459	562	679	572	396
≥ 24.5	≤ 2000	1,223	1,347	1,528	1,355	840
	2001-2010	758	822	966	1,031	688
	≥ 2011	508	627	740	648	461

Demand Savings Algorithms

Summer peak demand savings are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{AOH} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 145

Where:

AOH = Annual operating hours = 8,760 hours

CF_{S/W} = Seasonal coincidence factor (see Table 374)

Table 374. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling—Coincidence Factors⁴⁹²

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	1.112	1.099	1.108	1.100	1.081
Winter	0.929	0.966	0.924	0.941	0.966

⁴⁹² See Volume 1, Appendix B.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Based on the KEMA Residential Refrigerator Recycling Ninth Year Retention Study,⁴⁹³ the Estimated Useful Life of Refrigerator Recycling is 8 years, representing the assumed remaining useful life of the retired unit.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Number of refrigerators/freezers removed
- Year removed unit manufactured
- Total capacity (in cubic feet)
- Freezer configuration (top, bottom, side-by-side, upright, or chest)

⁴⁹³ KEMA, Inc. "Residential Refrigerator Recycling Ninth Year Retention Study." Prepared for Southern California Edison Company. July 22, 2004.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 42212. Petition of El Paso Electric Company to Approve Revisions to the Deemed Savings for the Appliance Recycling Market Transformation program. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 375. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 origin.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. CF updated to align with new peak demand methodology.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter CFs.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated baseline energy consumption.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Correct deemed ranges for refrigerator volume.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. No revision.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. No revision.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.5.8 ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-AP

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This document presents the accepted deemed savings awarded for the installation of an ENERGY STAR air purifier. Savings are awarded at a flat per-unit rate, both for energy and demand savings. This measure will apply to existing homes and new construction.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to floor, tabletop, and wall-mounted air purifiers/room air cleaners.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is the current federal standard Tier 1 requirements, effective August 9, 2023, with compliance enforced as of December 31, 2023. The standard will increase to Tier 2 requirements on December 31, 2025.⁴⁹⁴

Table 376. Air Purifiers—Federal Standard

Smoke CADR	Tier 1 CADR/W	Tier 2 CADR/W
10–99	1.7	1.9
100–149	1.9	2.4
150+	2.0	2.9

⁴⁹⁴ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential air cleaners.
https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=77.
<https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2023-03/air-cleaners-ecs-dfr.pdf>.

High-Efficiency Condition

The table below displays the ENERGY STAR Final Version 2.0 Requirements for eligible room air cleaners effective October 17, 2020, and revised May 2022.⁴⁹⁵ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Table 377. Air Purifiers—ENERGY STAR Requirements

Smoke CADR	Minimum CADR/W
10–99	1.9
100–149	2.4
150+	2.9

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure were derived using the ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator and the revised ENERGY STAR specification in Table 347.⁴⁹⁶ Default baseline standby power and clean air delivery rate (CADR) efficiency (CADR/W) values were taken from the ENERGY STAR calculator. ENERGY STAR standby power, CADR, and CADR/W are averages from the ENERGY STAR qualified product listing. Baseline CADR is assumed to be equivalent to ENERGY STAR CADR.

This measure will be updated to comply with the latest available ENERGY STAR specification and appliance calculator. It will also periodically be updated to comply with the latest updates to the ENERGY STAR qualified product listing.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = (kWh_{baseline,OP} + kWh_{baseline,SB}) - (kWh_{ES,OP} + kWh_{ES,SB})$$

Equation 146

$$kWh_{baseline,OP} = \left(\frac{CADR_{baseline}}{\eta_{baseline}} \right) / 1,000 \times \text{hours} \times \text{days}$$

Equation 147

$$kWh_{baseline,SB} = (8,760 - \text{hours} \times \text{days}) \times \frac{W_{baseline,SB}}{1,000}$$

Equation 148

⁴⁹⁵ ENERGY STAR Room Air Cleaners Final Version 2.0 Program Requirements.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%202.0%20Room%20Air%20Cleaners%20Specification%20%28Rev.%20May%202022%29_0.pdf.

⁴⁹⁶ ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator (updated October 2016). The previously cited URL is no longer available, but a copy of the calculator can be provided upon request.

$$kWh_{ES,OP} = \left(\frac{CADR_{ES}}{\eta_{ES}} \right) / 1,000 \times \text{hours} \times \text{days}$$

Equation 149

$$kWh_{ES,SB} = (8,760 - \text{hours} \times \text{days}) \times \frac{W_{ES,SB}}{1,000}$$

Equation 150

Where:

$kWh_{baseline,OP}$	=	Baseline/conventional operating energy usage
$kWh_{baseline,SB}$	=	Baseline/conventional standby energy usage
$kWh_{ES,OP}$	=	ENERGY STAR average operating energy usage
$kWh_{ES,SB}$	=	ENERGY STAR average standby energy usage
$CADR_{baseline}$	=	Baseline unit clean air delivery rate (cu ft/min), assume equivalent to $CADR_{ES}$
$CADR_{ES}$	=	ENERGY STAR unit clean air delivery rate (cu ft/min) (see Table 379)
$\eta_{baseline}$	=	Baseline clean air delivery efficiency = 1.0 cfm/W
η_{ES}	=	ENERGY STAR air delivery efficiency (cfm/W) (see Table 379)
hours	=	Average hours of operation per day = 16
days	=	Average days of operation per year = 365
$W_{baseline,SB}$	=	Conventional model standby power = 1.0 W
$W_{ES,SB}$	=	ENERGY STAR model standby power = 0.6 W
1,000	=	Constant to convert from W to kW
8,760	=	Total hours per year

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{\text{hours} \times \text{days}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 151

Where:

$CF_{S/W}$	=	Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 378)
------------	---	--

Table 378. Air Purifiers—Coincidence Factors⁴⁹⁷

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.636	0.617	0.631	0.620	0.564
Winter	0.882	0.907	0.829	0.876	0.926

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 379. Air Purifiers—Energy Savings (kWh)

Smoke CADR range (cu ft/min)	ENERGY STAR QPL Average Smoke CADR	ENERGY STAR QPL Average Smoke CADR/W	kWh savings
10–99	75	3.0	115
100–149	129	4.3	222
150–199	171	4.6	284
200–249	225	4.4	363
250–299	275	5.7	522
300+	375	5.5	699

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 380. Air Purifiers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Smoke CADR range (cu ft/min)	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
10–99	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011
100–149	0.024	0.023	0.024	0.024	0.021
150–199	0.031	0.030	0.031	0.030	0.027
200–249	0.040	0.038	0.039	0.039	0.035
250–299	0.057	0.055	0.056	0.055	0.051
300+	0.076	0.074	0.076	0.074	0.068

⁴⁹⁷ See Volume 1, Section 4.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 381. Air Purifiers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Smoke CADR range (cu ft/min)	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
10–99	0.017	0.018	0.016	0.017	0.018
100–149	0.034	0.034	0.032	0.033	0.035
150–199	0.043	0.044	0.040	0.043	0.045
200–249	0.055	0.056	0.052	0.054	0.058
250–299	0.079	0.081	0.074	0.078	0.083
300+	0.106	0.109	0.099	0.105	0.111

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 9 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID RES-AirCleaner.⁴⁹⁸

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching model number
- Smoke clean air delivery rate (CADR) in cu ft/min (cfm)
- Proof of purchase – including date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

⁴⁹⁸ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 382. Air Purifiers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Verified compliance with ENERGY STAR Final Version 2.0 Requirements. Updated dust CADR references to refer to smoke CADR. Updated deemed savings ranges and values.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated baseline to Tier 1 federal standard.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.5.9 ENERGY STAR® Dehumidifiers

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-DH

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliance

Applicable Business Types: Single-family, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of an ENERGY STAR-compliant dehumidifier.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible equipment includes both portable and whole-home dehumidifiers that are compliant with the current ENERGY STAR specification.⁴⁹⁹

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition for this measure is a new dehumidifier that meets the current federal standard, effective June 13, 2019.⁵⁰⁰ These standards are provided in Table 383 for portable dehumidifiers and Table 384 for whole-home dehumidifiers.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a dehumidifier that meets the ENERGY STAR Version 5.0 Program Requirements for Dehumidifiers, effective October 31, 2019.⁵⁰¹ Units meeting ENERGY STAR Most Efficient 2020 Criteria are eligible for additional savings. These standards are provided in Table 383 and Table 384.

⁴⁹⁹ ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Qualified Product Listing.

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-dehumidifiers/results>.

⁵⁰⁰ Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Dehumidifiers.

<https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2022/06/22/2022-13322/energy-conservation-program-energy-conservation-standards-for-dehumidifiers>.

⁵⁰¹ ENERGY STAR Version 5.0 Program Requirements for Dehumidifiers.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Dehumidifiers%20Version%205.0%20Program%20Requirements_0.pdf.

A new ENERGY STAR Final Version 6.0 specification will be effective October 1, 2025.⁵⁰² Texas TRM v13 will be updated for compliance with that updated specification.

Table 383. Dehumidifiers—Federal and ENERGY STAR Standards for Portable Dehumidifiers

Product Capacity (pints/day)	Federal Standard Criteria (L/kWh)	ENERGY STAR IEF (L/kWh)	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient (L/kWh)
≤ 25	≥ 1.30	≥ 1.57	≥ 1.70
> 25 to ≤ 50	≥ 1.60	≥ 1.80	≥ 1.90
> 50	≥ 2.80	≥ 3.30	≥ 3.40

Table 384. Dehumidifiers—Federal and ENERGY STAR Standards for Whole-Home Dehumidifiers

Case Volume (ft ³)	Federal Standard IEF (L/kWh) ⁵⁰³	ENERGY STAR IEF (L/kWh)	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient (L/kWh)
≤ 8.0	≥ 1.77	≥ 2.09	≥ 2.22
> 8.0	≥ 2.41	≥ 3.30	≥ 3.40

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

The annual energy savings are calculated using the following equation:

$$Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ [\Delta kWh] = \frac{Cap \times 0.473}{24} \times HOU \times \left(\frac{1}{L/kWh_{Base}} - \frac{1}{L/kWh_{ES}} \right)$$

Equation 152

Where:

- Cap* = Average product capacity (pints/day), from ENERGY STAR (see Table 385)
- 0.473* = Constant to convert from pints to liters
- 24* = Constant to convert from from hours to days

⁵⁰² ENERGY STAR Version 6.0 Program Requirements for Dehumidifiers.

<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/2024-08/ENERGY%20STAR%20Dehumidifiers%20V6.0%20Final%20Specification%20with%20Partner%20Commitments.pdf>.

⁵⁰³ ENERGY STAR Dehumidifiers Key Efficiency Criteria.

https://www.energystar.gov/products/appliances/dehumidifiers/key_efficiency_criteria.

- HOU = Hours of use per year [hours]⁵⁰⁴ = 1,632
- L/kWh_{base} = Baseline liters of water per kWh consumed (see Table 383 and Table 384)
- L/kWh_{ES} = ENERGY STAR liters of water per kWh consumed (see Table 385)

Table 385. Dehumidifiers—Savings Calculation Input Assumptions⁵⁰⁵

Dehumidifier type	Most efficient	Capacity range (pints/day)	ENERGY STAR Avg. capacity (pints/day)	ENERGY STAR Avg. efficiency (liters/kWh)
Portable	No	≤ 25	22.0	1.63
	No	> 25 to ≤ 50	43.0	1.85
	Yes	≤ 25	20.8	1.78
	Yes	> 25 to ≤ 50	44.5	2.01
Whole-home	No	Any	79.1	2.13
	Yes	Any	82.8	2.35

Demand Savings Algorithms

No winter peak demand electric savings are calculated for this measure.

The summer peak electric demand savings are calculated using the following equation.

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = \frac{kWh_{Savings}}{HOU} \times CF_S$$

Equation 153

Where:

- CF_S = Summer peak coincidence factor (See Table 355)

Table 386. Dehumidifiers—Coincidence Factors⁵⁰⁶

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.355	0.345	0.353	0.346	0.315
Winter ⁵⁰⁷	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

⁵⁰⁴ ENERGY STAR calculator, assuming 24 hour operation over 68 days of the year. Updated October 1, 2016.

⁵⁰⁵ Values were averaged from August 2024 ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier QPL. No items on QPL had capacities above 50 pints/day for portable dehumidifiers, or case volumes larger than 8 ft³ for whole-home dehumidifiers, so these size ranges are excluded.

⁵⁰⁶ See Volume 1, Section 4.

⁵⁰⁷ The ENERGY STAR appliance calculator only assumes operation April through September.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 387. Dehumidifiers—Annual Energy Savings (kWh)

Dehumidifier type	Most efficient	Capacity (pints/day)	Energy savings
Portable	No	≤ 25	110
	No	> 25 to ≤ 50	117
	Yes	≤ 25	139
	Yes	> 25 to ≤ 50	182
Whole-home	No	Any	243
	Yes	Any	371

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 388. Dehumidifiers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Dehumidifier type	Most efficient	Capacity (pints/day)	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Portable	No	≤ 25	0.024	0.023	0.024	0.023	0.021
	No	> 25 to ≤ 50	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.023
	Yes	≤ 25	0.030	0.029	0.030	0.030	0.027
	Yes	> 25 to ≤ 50	0.040	0.038	0.039	0.039	0.035
Whole-home	No	Any	0.053	0.051	0.053	0.052	0.047
	Yes	Any	0.081	0.078	0.080	0.079	0.072

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for dehumidifiers is 12 years.⁵⁰⁸

⁵⁰⁸ ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator based on 2012 EPA research.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- ENERGY STAR Most Efficient status (yes, no)
- Type of dehumidifier (portable, whole-home)
- Capacity/size of the new and old unit (pints/day)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 389. Dehumidifiers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 origin.

2.5.10 ENERGY STAR® Ventilation Fans

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-VF

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliance

Applicable Business Types: Single-family, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of ENERGY STAR-compliant bathroom and utility room ventilation fans.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible equipment includes ventilation fans that are compliant with the current ENERGY STAR specification.⁵⁰⁹ The new ventilation fans must also have a capacity that is between 10 cubic feet per minute (CFM) and 500 CFM.

Baseline Condition

ENERGY STAR does not specify a baseline efficacy for existing residential ventilation fans. Therefore, a conservative improvement of 15 percent for the efficient case is used to determine the baseline. The resulting baseline efficacy values are provided in Table 390.

Table 390. Ventilation Fans—Baseline Bathroom and Utility Room Ventilating Fan Efficacy

Airflow (CFM)	Baseline efficacy (CFM/Watt)
10-89	2.4
90-200	3.0
201-500	3.4

⁵⁰⁹ ENERGY STAR Ventilation Fan Qualified Product Listing.

https://www.energystar.gov/products/ventilation_fans.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a ventilation fan that meets the ENERGY STAR Version 4.1 Program Requirements for Residential Ventilating Fans, effective October 1, 2015.⁵¹⁰ These standards are provided in Table 391 and Table 392.

Table 391. Ventilation Fans—ENERGY STAR Minimum Efficacy Levels

Airflow (CFM)	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR most efficient
	Minimum Efficacy Level (CFM/W)	
10-89	≥ 2.8	≥ 10.0
90-200	≥ 3.5	
201-500	≥ 4.0	

Table 392. Ventilation Fans—ENERGY STAR Maximum Sound Levels

Airflow (CFM)	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR most efficient
	Maximum Sound Level (Sones)	
10-200	≤ 2.0	≤ 4.0
201-500	≤ 3.0	

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

The annual energy savings are calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Annual Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = Cap \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{base}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{ES}} \right) \times \frac{1}{1,000} \times AOH$$

Equation 154

Where:

- Cap = Nominal ENERGY STAR capacity of the exhaust fan (use maximum value for multi-speed fans) [CFM]
- η_{base} = Baseline fan efficacy (CFM/Watt), (see Table 390)
- η_{ES} = ENERGY STAR fan efficacy (CFM/Watt) (see Table 393)

⁵¹⁰ ENERGY STAR Version 4.1 Program Requirements for Residential Ventilating Fans. <https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Ventilating%20Fans%20Specification%20Version%204.2.pdf>.

AOH = Annual operating hours⁵¹¹ = 438

1,000 = Constant to convert from W to kW

Table 393. Ventilation Fans—ENERGY STAR Average Airflow and Efficacy⁵¹²

Airflow (CFM)	Most efficient	ENERGY STAR avg. airflow (CFM)	ENERGY STAR avg. efficacy (CFM/Watt)
10-89	No	72	5.6
90-200		117	6.3
201-500		295	4.4
10-89	Yes	68	12.0
90-200		128	11.7
201-500		260	6.7

Demand Savings Algorithms

No winter peak electric demand savings are calculated for this measure.

The summer peak electric demand savings are calculated using the following equation:

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ [\Delta kW] = CFM \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{base}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{ES}} \right) \times \frac{1}{1,000} \times CF$$

Equation 155

Where:

CF = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 394)

Table 394. Ventilation Fans—Coincidence Factors⁵¹³

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.060	0.053	0.063	0.059	0.032
Winter	0.275	0.232	0.199	0.263	0.358

⁵¹¹ “Residential Lighting End-Use Consumption Study: Estimation Framework and Initial Estimates.” US Department of Energy. December 2012. Page 50. Bathroom lights estimated to run 1.2 hours day, or 438 hours per year (1.2 x 365 = 438), assuming ventilation fan operation when the bathroom light was on. https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/publications/pdfs/ssl/2012_residential-lighting-study.pdf.

⁵¹² Values were averaged from August 2024 ENERGY STAR Ventilation Fans QPL.

⁵¹³ See Volume 1, Section 4. Using values from the *Residential Lighting* measure.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 395. Ventilation Fans—Annual Energy Savings (kWh)

Airflow (CFM)	Most efficient	Energy savings (kWh)
10-89	No	8
90-200		9
201-500		9
10-89	Yes	10
90-200		14
201-500		16

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 396. Ventilation Fans—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Airflow (CFM)	Most efficient	Climate Zone 1:	Climate Zone 2:	Climate Zone 3:	Climate Zone 4:	Climate Zone 5:
		Amarillo	Dallas	Houston	Corpus Christi	El Paso
10-89	No	0.0010	0.0009	0.0011	0.0010	0.0005
90-200	No	0.0012	0.0011	0.0013	0.0012	0.0007
201-500	No	0.0012	0.0010	0.0012	0.0012	0.0006
10-89	Yes	0.0014	0.0012	0.0014	0.0013	0.0007
90-200	Yes	0.0019	0.0017	0.0020	0.0019	0.0010
201-500	Yes	0.0023	0.0020	0.0024	0.0022	0.0012

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for a ventilation fan is 19 years.⁵¹⁴

⁵¹⁴ “Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures.” Prepared by GDS Associates for the New England State Program Working Group (SPWG). June 2007. Table 1, Page 1-3. Conservative estimate are based on a 25-year EUL for for whole-house fans and a 19-year EUL for thermostatically-controlled attic fans.
<https://energizect.com/sites/default/files/documents/Measure%20Life%20Report%202007.pdf>.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- ENERGY STAR Most Efficient status (yes, no)
- Nominal capacity of the ventilation fan
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 397. Ventilation Fans—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 origin.

2.5.11 ENERGY STAR® Water Coolers

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-WC

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Business Types: Single-family, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of ENERGY STAR-compliant water coolers. Water coolers are a home appliance that offer consumers the ability to enjoy hot and/or cold water on demand.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible equipment includes water coolers that are compliant with the current ENERGY STAR specification.⁵¹⁵

This measure applies to bottled water and point-of-use units, conditioned storage water coolers, on-demand water coolers, and products that provide sparkling, alkaline, or flavored water in addition to chilled water. Units that provide pressurized water and are not freestanding, are air-source, or use a water source other than bottled or tap water, and units that are primarily ice makers with a water dispensing function are ineligible under this measure.

Water coolers must be installed in a residential application.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a non-ENERGY STAR-certified water cooler.

⁵¹⁵ ENERGY STAR Water Coolers Qualified Product Listing (QPL).

https://www.energystar.gov/products/water_coolers.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a water cooler that meets the ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Program Requirements for Water Coolers, effective March 23, 2022.⁵¹⁶

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = (kWh_{\text{base}} - kWh_{\text{ES}}) \times AOD$$

Equation 156

Where:

kWh_{base} = Daily energy use for baseline water cooler [kWh/day] (see Table 398)

kWh_{ES} = Daily energy use for ENERGY STAR water cooler [kWh/day] (see Table 398)

AOD = Annual operating days⁵¹⁷ = 365

Table 398. Water Coolers—Baseline and Efficient Water Cooler Daily Energy Use (kWh/day)

Type of water cooler	kWh_{base} ⁵¹⁸	kWh_{ES} ⁵¹⁹
Hot and cold water (storage)	0.891	0.695
Hot and cold water (on demand)	0.183	0.143
Cold water only	0.184	0.144

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{8,760} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 157

⁵¹⁶ ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Program Requirements for Water Coolers.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%203.0%20Water%20Coolers%20Final%20Specification_0.pdf.

⁵¹⁷ Assumed 365 days per year and 24 hours per day as utilized in daily energy consumption from ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification 3.0 for Water Coolers Test Method.

⁵¹⁸ Assuming a baseline energy consumption of 22 percent greater than the ENERGY STAR specification. https://www.energystar.gov/products/water_coolers.

⁵¹⁹ Average ratings from certified products on ENERGY STAR Water Coolers Qualified Product Listing. https://www.energystar.gov/products/water_coolers.

Where:

$CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal coincidence factor (See Table 400)

8,760 = Total hours per year

Table 399. Water Coolers—Coincidence Factors⁵²⁰

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	1.112	1.099	1.108	1.100	1.081
Winter	0.929	0.966	0.924	0.941	0.966

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 400. Water Coolers—Energy Savings (kWh)

Type of Water Cooler	kWh
Hot and cold water (storage)	72
Hot and cold water (on demand)	15
Cold water only	

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 401. Water Coolers—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Type of water cooler	kW
Hot and cold water (storage)	0.009
Hot and cold water (on demand)	0.002
Cold water only	

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 402. Water Coolers—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Type of water cooler	kW
Hot and cold water (storage)	0.008
Hot and cold water (on demand)	0.002
Cold water only	

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

⁵²⁰ See Volume 1, Section 4. Using values from the *Residential Refrigerators* measure.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for an ENERGY STAR water cooler is five years based on the maximum observed manufacturer warranty period for products on the ENERGY STAR QPL.⁵²¹

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- Type of water cooler (storage, on demand)
- Water temperature (hot and cold, cold water only)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 403. Water Coolers—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 origin.

⁵²¹ Oasis Coolers had the most products rated on the ENERGY STAR QPL when accessed on 9/11/2024. <https://www.oasiscoolers.com/service-support/warranty-information.html>.

2.5.12 ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-PP

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves the replacement of a single-speed pool pump with an ENERGY STAR-certified variable-speed or multi-speed pool pump.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to all residential applications of in-ground pools or above-ground pools. Pools that serve multiple tenants in a common area are not eligible for this measure. Ineligible pump products include waterfall, integral cartridge filter, integral sand filter, storable electric spa, and rigid electric spa.⁵²²

Multi-speed pool pumps are an alternative to variable speed pumps. The multi-speed pump uses an induction motor that functions as two motors in one, with full-speed and half-speed options. Multi-speed pumps may enable significant energy savings. However, if the half-speed motor is unable to complete the required water circulation task, the larger motor will operate exclusively. Having only two speed-choices limits the ability of the pump motor to fine-tune the flow rates required for maximum energy savings.⁵²³ Therefore, multi-speed pumps must have a high-speed override capability to revert to low speed after a period not to exceed 24 hours.

⁵²² These product types are excluded by the ENERGY STAR specification.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%203.1%20Pool%20Pumps%20Final%20Specification_0.pdf.

⁵²³ Hunt, A. and Easley, S., 2012, "Measure Guideline: Replacing Single-Speed Pool Pumps with Variable Speed Pumps for Energy Savings." Building America Retrofit Alliance (BARA), US DOE. May 2012.

<http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/54242.pdf>.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is assumed to be a new pool pump that is compliant with the current federal standard, effective July 19, 2021.⁵²⁴ Weighted energy factor (WEF) requirements are based on rated hydraulic horsepower (hhp).

Table 404. Pool Pumps—Baseline Condition

Pump subtype	Size class	WEF
Self-priming (inground) pool pumps	Extra small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF = 5.55
	Small (hhp > 0.13 to < 0.711)	WEF = -1.30 x ln(hhp) + 2.90
	Standard (hhp ≥ 0.711)	WEF = -2.30 x ln(hhp) + 6.59
Non-self priming (above ground) pool pumps	Extra small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF = 4.60
	Standard size (hhp > 0.13)	WEF = -0.85 x ln(hhp) + 2.87

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a 1 to 5 hp variable speed pump (VSP) or multi-speed pool pump that is compliant with the ENERGY STAR Final Version 3.1 Requirements for pool pumps effective July 19, 2021.⁵²⁵ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Additional optional efficiency standards are available, aligning with recommendations from the Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE) residential swimming pool pump specification, effective October 21, 2020.⁵²⁶ For all in-ground pumps, CEE Tier 1 matches the current federal standard, and CEE Tier 2 matches the current ENERGY STAR specification for in-ground standard size pumps. Additional savings are only specified for CEE tiers where there is an incremental efficiency improvement above the ENERGY STAR specification.

Compliance only needs to be verified against the CEE specification when claiming CEE savings that exceed the corresponding ENERGY STAR savings values. ENERGY STAR savings should be claimed for all pumps where CEE compliance is not verified and where there are no CEE savings specified.

⁵²⁴ Federal standard for dedicated-purpose pool pumps.

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=67.

⁵²⁵ ENERGY STAR Pool Pumps Final Version 3.1 Program Requirements.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%203.1%20Pool%20Pumps%20Final%20Specification_0.pdf.

⁵²⁶ CEE Residential Swimming Pool Pump Specification.

https://library.cee1.org/system/files/library/14404/CEE_ResSwimmingPoolPump_Specification_21Oct2020.pdf.

Table 405. Pool Pumps—High Efficiency Condition

Pump subtype	Size class	ENERGY STAR	CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2
Self-priming (inground) pool pumps	Extra small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 13.40	–	–
	Small (hhp > 0.13 to < 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln(hhp) + 8.40	WEF ≥ -1.30 x ln(hhp) + 4.95	WEF ≥ -2.83 x ln(hhp) + 8.84
	Standard (hhp ≥ 0.711)		WEF ≥ -2.30 x ln(hhp) + 6.59	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln(hhp) + 8.40
Non-self-priming (above ground) pool pumps	Extra small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 4.92	–	–
	Standard size (hhp > 0.13)	WEF ≥ -1.00 x ln(hhp) + 3.85	WEF ≥ -1.60 x ln(hhp) + 9.10	–

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings for this measure are based on methods and input assumptions from the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Savings Calculator.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure were derived using the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Savings Calculator with Texas selected as the applicable location, so Texas-specific assumptions were used.⁵²⁷

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = kWh_{base} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 158

Where:

$$kWh_{base} = \text{Baseline pool pump energy (kWh)}$$

$$kWh_{ES} = \text{ENERGY STAR variable speed pool pump energy (kWh)}$$

Algorithms to calculate the above parameters are defined as:

$$kWh_{base} = \frac{PFR_{base} \times 60 \times \text{hours} \times \text{days}}{WEF_{base} \times 1,000}$$

Equation 159

$$kWh_{ES} = \frac{V \times TO \times \text{days}}{WEF_{ES} \times 1,000}$$

Equation 160

Where:

$$PFR_{base} = \text{Baseline pump flow rate [gal/min] (Table 406)}$$

$$wEF_{base} = \text{Baseline pump energy factor [gal/W x hr] (Table 406)}$$

$$WEF_{ES} = \text{ENERGY STAR pump energy factor [gal/W x hr] (Table 407)}$$

$$\text{hours} = \text{Pump daily operating hours (Table 406)}$$

$$\text{days} = \text{Operating days per year} = 365 \text{ days (default)}$$

⁵²⁷ The ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Savings Calculator, updated February 2013, can be found on the ENERGY STAR website at: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-pool-pumps/results>.

- V = Pool volume [gal] (Table 406)
- TO = Turnovers per day, number of times the volume of the pool is run through the pump per day (Table 407)
- 60 = Constant to convert between minutes and hours
- 1,000 = Constant to convert from W to kW

Table 406. Pool Pumps—Baseline Assumptions⁵²⁸

New pump HP	Reference HP	Reference HHP ⁵²⁹	Hours ⁵³⁰	PFR _{base} (gal/min)
≤ 1.25	1.0	0.533	4.9	75.5000
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	1.5	0.800	4.7	78.1429
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	2.0	1.066	4.1	88.6667
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	2.5	1.333	4.0	93.0910
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	3.0	1.599	4.0	101.6667

Table 407. Pool Pumps—ENERGY STAR Assumptions⁵³¹

New pump HP	V (gal)	Turnovers/day
≤ 1.25	22,000	1.0
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75		
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25		
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75		
2.75 < hp ≤ 5		

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{kWh_{base} - kWh_{ES}}{\text{hours}} \times \frac{CF_{S/W}}{\text{days}}$$

Equation 161

⁵²⁸ Conventional pump PFR and EF values are taken from pump curves found in the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Savings Calculator. Note: input assumptions will be updated once calculator has been updated for compliance with the current specification.

⁵²⁹ Hhp not available in ENERGY STAR calculator. Assumed hhp calculated as follows: Ref. horsepower x AF. AF = 0.533 based on ratio of hhp to hp from ENERGY STAR qualified product listing. Accessed 8/11/2023.

⁵³⁰ The daily average operating hours for conventional single-speed pumps, based on 2014 residential pool pump program survey results from CenterPoint Energy.

⁵³¹ ENERGY STAR values are taken from default inputs and pump curves found in the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Savings Calculator. Note: input assumptions will be updated once calculator has been updated for compliance with the current specification.

Where:

$$CF_{S/W} = \text{Seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 408)}$$

Table 408. Pool Pumps—Coincidence Factors⁵³²

Climate zone	Summer CF	Winter CF
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.258	-0.002
Zone 2: Dallas	0.329	0.025
Zone 3: Houston	0.276	0.108
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.266	0.036
Zone 5: El Paso	0.497	-0.143

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 409. Pool Pumps—Energy Savings (kWh)⁵³³

New pump hp	Inground	Above ground
ENERGY STAR		
≤ 1.25	1,371	587
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	235	657
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	262	707
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	332	852
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	509	1,229
CEE Tier 1		
≤ 1.25	–	1,585
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	–	1,779
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	–	1,935
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	–	2,176
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	–	2,642
CEE Tier 2		
≤ 1.25	1,423	–
1.25 < hp ≤ 5	–	–

⁵³² Coincidence factors are calculated according to the method in Section 4 of the Texas TRM Vol 1 using data from the US Department of Energy’s Building America B10 Benchmark load profiles for pool pumps. The profile used to determine coincidence factors is calculated as the difference of single speed and variable speed profiles. Summer profiles include April through September and winter profiles include October through March.

⁵³³ The results in this table may vary slightly from results produced by the ENERGY STAR calculator because of rounding of default savings coefficients throughout the measure and pool volume.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables⁵³⁴

Table 410. Pool Pumps—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Inground Pools

New pump HP	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
ENERGY STAR					
≤ 1.25	0.198	0.252	0.212	0.204	0.381
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	0.035	0.045	0.038	0.036	0.068
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	0.045	0.057	0.048	0.046	0.087
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	0.059	0.075	0.063	0.060	0.113
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	0.090	0.115	0.096	0.093	0.173
CEE Tier 1					
All sizes	–	–	–	–	–
CEE Tier 2					
≤ 1.25	0.206	0.262	0.220	0.212	0.396
1.25 < hp ≤ 5	–	–	–	–	–

Table 411. Pool Pumps—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Above Ground Pools

New pump HP	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
ENERGY STAR					
≤ 1.25	0.085	0.108	0.091	0.087	0.163
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	0.099	0.126	0.106	0.102	0.190
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	0.122	0.155	0.130	0.126	0.235
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	0.151	0.192	0.161	0.155	0.290
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	0.218	0.277	0.233	0.224	0.418
CEE Tier 1					
≤ 1.25	0.229	0.291	0.245	0.236	0.441
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	0.268	0.341	0.287	0.276	0.516
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	0.334	0.425	0.357	0.344	0.643
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	0.385	0.490	0.412	0.396	0.741
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	0.468	0.595	0.500	0.481	0.900

⁵³⁴ Ibid.

New pump HP	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
CEE Tier 2					
All sizes	–	–	–	–	–

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 412. Pool Pumps—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Inground Pools

New pump HP	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
ENERGY STAR					
≤ 1.25	-0.001	0.019	0.083	0.027	-0.110
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	0.000	0.003	0.015	0.005	-0.020
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	0.000	0.004	0.019	0.006	-0.025
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	0.000	0.006	0.025	0.008	-0.032
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	-0.001	0.009	0.038	0.012	-0.050
CEE Tier 1					
All sizes	–	–	–	–	–
CEE Tier 2					
≤ 1.25	-0.001	0.020	0.086	0.029	-0.114
1.25 < hp ≤ 5	–	–	–	–	–

Table 413. Pool Pumps—Peak Demand Savings (kW) for Above Ground Pools

New pump HP	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
ENERGY STAR					
≤ 1.25	-0.001	0.008	0.036	0.012	-0.047
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	-0.001	0.010	0.042	0.014	-0.055
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	-0.001	0.012	0.051	0.017	-0.067
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	-0.001	0.014	0.063	0.021	-0.083
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	-0.001	0.021	0.091	0.030	-0.120

New pump HP	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
CEE Tier 1					
≤ 1.25	-0.001	0.022	0.096	0.032	-0.127
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	-0.002	0.026	0.112	0.037	-0.148
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	-0.002	0.032	0.140	0.046	-0.185
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	-0.002	0.037	0.162	0.053	-0.213
2.75 < hp ≤ 5	-0.003	0.045	0.196	0.065	-0.259
CEE Tier 2					
All sizes	–	–	–	–	–

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Savings Calculator, updated May 2020, can be found on the ENERGY STAR website at <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-pool-pumps/results>.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID OutD-PoolPump.⁵³⁵

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly include the below.

For all projects collect:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number of new pool pump
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching model number
- Weighted energy factor of new pool pump
- Rated hydraulic horsepower of new pool pump

⁵³⁵ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

- Rated horsepower of new pool pump
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification

For a significant sample of projects where attainable (e.g., those projects that are selected for inspection, not midstream or retail programs):

- Items listed for all projects above
- Decision/action type: early retirement, replace-on-burnout, or new construction
- Rated horsepower of existing pool pump
- Existing and new pool pump operating hours

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 414. Pool Pumps—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 origin.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updated eligibility to include above ground pool pumps now eligible for ENERGY STAR certification. Acknowledged the forthcoming ENERGY STAR v2.0.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Incorporated ENERGY STAR v2.0 updated deemed savings.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference and documentation requirements.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Verified compliance with ENERGY STAR Final Version 3.1 Requirements. Updated savings coefficient definitions.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated baseline to current federal standard. Added new savings tiers. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.5.13 Advanced Power Strips Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-PS

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings values

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves the installation of a multi-plug advanced power strip (APS) with the ability to automatically disconnect specific loads depending on the power draw of a specified, or “master,” load.

For a Tier 1 APS, a load sensor in the strip disconnects power from the control outlets when the master power draw is below a certain threshold. This feature allows for a reduction of power draw from peripheral consumer electronics, which usually maintain some load even when in the off or standby position. Therefore, when the master device (e.g., television) is turned off, the power supply is cut to other related equipment (e.g., set-top boxes, speakers, video game consoles).

A Tier 2 APS uses an external sensor paired with a configurable countdown timer to manage both active and standby power loads for controlled devices in a complete system. A Tier 2 APS may operate either with or without a master control socket. Those without a master control socket sense power of all devices connected to the controlled sockets, while those with a master control socket sense power for the device connected to the master control socket. The external sensor of a Tier 2 APS may use an infrared-only sensor, or it may use a “multi-sensor,” which detects both infrared (IR) remote control signals and motion to determine device inactivity and deliver additional savings as compared to a Tier 1 APS. Both versions of external sensors use IR filtering to prevent inappropriate switching events that may have otherwise resulted from natural interference, such as sunlight or CFL light bulbs.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to all residential applications. For Tier 2 applications, the APS must control at least two audiovisual devices.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is assumed to be uncontrolled peripheral loads, each plugged into a traditional surge protector or wall outlet.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is peripheral loads controlled by a Tier 1 or Tier 2 APS.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Savings were developed based on reported plug load electricity consumption and hourly use data. A set of home entertainment and home office peripheral equipment and related performance data are presented in the following table. “Daily Standby Hours” and “Daily Off Hours” represent the average number of hours the device is left in standby or off mode. For each device, a weighted watt per hour value is calculated based on projected watts consumed in either mode.

There are two savings paths available for Tier 1. Savings can be estimated by:

1. Per system type (home entertainment or home office)
2. Per APS for an average complete system if the type is unknown

Tier 2 savings are determined using the average component uses for a complete system and an energy reduction percentage.

Table 415. APS—Peripheral Watt Consumption Breakdown⁵³⁶

System type	Peripheral device	Daily standby hours	Daily off hours	Standby power (W)	Off power (W)	Weighted W/hr	Annual APS hours
Home entertainment	Audio equipment: AV receiver	0.0	18.0	19.2	3.1	3.1	6,570
	Audio equipment: Speakers	0.0	18.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	6,570
	Audio equipment: Subwoofer	0.0	18.0	7.8	0.6	0.6	6,570
	Media player: Blu-ray	2.5	20.8	7.0	0.1	0.8	8,505
	Media player: DVD	2.5	20.8	5.0	2.0	2.3	8,505
	Media player: DVD-R	2.5	20.8	7.0	3.0	3.4	8,505
	Media player: DVD/VCR	2.5	20.4	8.0	4.0	4.4	8,359
	Media player: VCR	2.2	21.4	6.0	3.0	3.3	8,614
	Set-top box: Cable	0.0	16.5	25.0	16.0	16.0	6,023
	Set-top box: Cable with DVR	0.0	16.5	45.0	43.0	43.0	6,023
	Set-top box: Satellite	0.0	15.1	10.0	15.0	15.0	5,512
	Set-top box: Satellite with DVR	0.0	15.1	27.0	28.0	28.0	5,512
	Set-top box: Stand-alone DVR	0.0	18.3	27.0	27.0	27.0	6,680
	Television: CRT	0.0	18.7	5.3	1.6	1.6	6,826
	Television: LCD	0.0	18.7	2.2	0.5	0.5	6,826
	Television: Plasma	0.0	18.7	0.9	0.6	0.6	6,826
	Television: Projection	0.0	18.7	4.4	7.0	7.0	6,826
	Video game console: Nintendo Wii	1.5	21.4	10.5	1.9	2.5	8,359
	Video game console: Wii U	1.5	21.4	34.0	0.4	2.6	8,359
	Video game console: PlayStation 2	1.5	21.4	17.0	0.2	1.3	8,359

⁵³⁶ Derived from New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), “Advanced Power Strip Research Report.” August 2011.

System type	Peripheral device	Daily standby hours	Daily off hours	Standby power (W)	Off power (W)	Weighted W/hr	Annual APS hours
Home entertainment	Video game console: PlayStation 3	1.5	21.4	152.9	1.1	11.0	8,359
	Video game console: PlayStation 4	1.5	21.4	137.0	6.4	14.9	8,359
	Video game console: XBOX	1.5	21.4	68.0	2.0	6.3	8,359
	Video game console: XBOX 360	1.5	21.4	117.5	3.1	10.6	8,359
	Video game console: XBOX One	1.5	21.4	112.0	11.9	18.4	8,359
Home office	Computer: Desktop	4.1	16.7	11.6	3.3	4.9	7,592
	Computer: Laptop	4.1	16.7	7.6	4.4	5.0	7,592
	Computer monitor: CRT	2.4	16.5	7.6	1.5	2.3	6,899
	Computer monitor: LCD	2.4	16.5	1.9	1.1	1.2	6,899
	Computer speakers	0.0	18.7	3.7	2.3	2.3	6,826
	Copier	0.0	23.5	2.8	1.5	1.5	8,578
	Fax machine: Inkjet	0.5	23.3	6.0	5.3	5.3	8,687
	Fax machine: Laser	0.5	23.3	5.3	2.2	2.3	8,687
	Printer: Inkjet	4.4	19.5	2.5	1.3	1.5	8,724
	Printer: Laser	4.4	19.5	9.0	3.3	4.3	8,724
	Scanner	0.0	23.5	3.6	2.1	2.1	8,578

Energy Savings Algorithms

Tier 1 APS

Energy savings for a Tier 1 APS in use for home entertainment or home office are calculated using the following algorithm, where kWh saved is calculated and summed for all peripheral devices.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = \sum \frac{W_i \times H_i}{1,000} \times ISR$$

Equation 162

Where:

W	=	Weighted watts per hour consumed in standby/off mode for each peripheral device (see Table 415)
H	=	Annual hours per year controlled by APS (see Table 415)
1,000	=	Constant to convert from W to kW
ISR	=	In-service rate or the percentage of units rebated that are installed, see Table 416

Tier 2 APS

Energy savings for a Tier 2 APS are calculated using the average household home entertainment and home office usages, multiplied by an assumed energy reduction percentage.

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Home Entertainment}} = kWh_{TV} \times ERP \times ISR$$

Equation 163

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Home Office}} = kWh_{\text{Comp}} \times ERP \times ISR$$

Equation 164

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Unspecified}} = \frac{kWh_{TV} + kWh_{\text{Comp}}}{2} \times ERP \times ISR$$

Equation 165

Where:

- kWh_{TV} = Average annual energy consumption of Tier 2 qualifying TV systems; default = 602.8 kWh⁵³⁷
- kWh_{Comp} = Average annual energy consumption of Tier 2 qualifying computer systems; default = 197.9 kWh⁵³⁸
- ERP = Energy reduction percentage (default = 47.5%⁵³⁹)

Table 416. APS—In-Service Rates by Program Type

Program type	ISR
All ⁵⁴⁰	0.83

Demand Savings Algorithms

Tier 1 and Tier 2 APS

Demand savings for a Tier 1 APS in use for a home entertainment system or home office are calculated using the following algorithm, where kWh saved is calculated and summed for all peripheral devices. Demand savings for a Tier 2 APS are calculated using the average household home office and home entertainment center usages, multiplied by an assumed energy reduction percentage.

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \sum \frac{\Delta kWh}{\text{hours}} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 166

Where:

- hours = Annual hours per year controlled by APS (see Table 415 for Tier 1 APS; assume 4,380 for Tier 2 APS⁵⁴¹)
- $CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (see Table 417)⁵⁴²

⁵³⁷ New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), “Advanced Power Strip Research Report”. August 2011. Page 30.

⁵³⁸ New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), “Advanced Power Strip Research Report”. August 2011. Page 30.

⁵³⁹ Average of ERP from Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships (NEEP), “Case Study: Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips and Efficiency Programs”. April 2015.

⁵⁴⁰ MidAmerican Energy Company & Tetra Tech “Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL”. December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

⁵⁴¹ Estimated based on assumption that approximately half of savings are during active hours (assumed to be 5.3 hours/day, or 1,936 hours/year) and half during standby hours (8,760-1,936 = 6,824 hours/year). The resulting weighted average is 4,380 hours/year.

⁵⁴² Derived using Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) End Use Load Shapes for Residential TV and PC. <http://loadshape.epri.com/enduse>.

Table 417. APS—Coincidence Factors⁵⁴³

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.33	0.43	0.36	0.30	0.66
Winter	0.89	0.88	0.86	0.85	0.87

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Refer to Table 418 and Table 419. The savings presented in these tables must be adjusted by applying the program-specific ISR values specified in Table 416.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Refer to Table 418 and Table 419. The savings presented in these tables must be adjusted by applying the program-specific ISR values specified in Table 416.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Refer to Table 418 and Table 419. The savings presented in these tables must be adjusted by applying the program-specific ISR values specified in Table 416.

⁵⁴³ See Volume 1, Section 4.

Table 418. APS—Tier 1 Unadjusted Savings Before Applying ISR⁵⁴⁴

System type	kWh savings	Summer kW savings					Winter kW savings				
		Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5
Home entertainment ⁵⁴⁵	269.9	0.0132	0.0174	0.0143	0.0119	0.0265	0.0358	0.0354	0.0345	0.0342	0.0348
Home office ⁵⁴⁶	87.1	0.0037	0.0049	0.0041	0.0034	0.0075	0.0101	0.0100	0.0098	0.0097	0.0098
Upstream/midstream ⁵⁴⁷	178.5	0.0084	0.0112	0.0092	0.0077	0.0170	0.0230	0.0227	0.0221	0.0219	0.0223

Table 419. APS—Tier 2 Unadjusted Savings Before Applying ISR⁵⁴⁸

System type	kWh savings	Summer kW savings					Winter kW savings				
		Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5
Home entertainment	286.3	0.021	0.028	0.023	0.019	0.043	0.058	0.058	0.056	0.056	0.057
Home office	94.0	0.007	0.009	0.008	0.006	0.014	0.019	0.019	0.018	0.018	0.019
Upstream/midstream	190.2	0.014	0.019	0.015	0.013	0.029	0.039	0.038	0.037	0.037	0.038

⁵⁴⁴ Apply in-service rate to adjust savings for specific program delivery type.

⁵⁴⁵ Assuming audio equipment: AV receiver, media player: average, set-top box: average, and video game console: average.

⁵⁴⁶ Assuming computer: desktop, computer monitor: LCD, computer speakers, and printer: average.

⁵⁴⁷ Average of *home entertainment* and *home office system* averages.

⁵⁴⁸ Apply in-service rate to adjust savings for specific program delivery type.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years for a Tier 1 APS, according to the 2011 NYSERDA Advanced Power Strip Research Report.⁵⁴⁹ While Tier 2 APS is not covered by the NYSERDA report, assume the same 10-year EUL for Tier 2 APS.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Unit quantity
- Manufacturer and model number
- APS type (Tier 1 or Tier 2)
- System type (home entertainment, home office, unspecified)
- Proof of purchase – including date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

⁵⁴⁹ New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), “Advanced Power Strip Research Report”. August 2011. Page 30.

Document Revision History

Table 420. APS—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. No revision.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated savings with current coincidence factors.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Corrected typos in deemed savings tables from TRM v9.0 update.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Added in-service rates.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.

2.5.14 ENERGY STAR® Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-EV

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliance

Applicable Business Types: Single-family, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of ENERGY STAR-qualified Level 2 electric vehicle (EV) supply equipment (EVSE) at a residential site. EVSE is the infrastructure that enables plug-in electric vehicles (PEV) to charge onboard batteries. Level 2 EVSE requires 240 V electrical service. This measure provides deemed savings for the energy efficiency improvement of an ENERGY STAR EVSE compared to a standard Level 1 EVSE.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible equipment includes an ENERGY STAR qualified Level 2 EVSE installed at a residence. The EVSE may be installed for use on either an all-battery electric vehicle (BEV) or a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV). Multifamily buildings should use the commercial EVSE measure.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is assumed to be a blend of 49 percent⁵⁵⁰ Level 1 EVSEs (ENERGY STAR or non-ENERGY STAR) and 51 percent Level 2 EVSEs. Energy savings are available for the 49 percent market share of Level 1 EVSEs.

⁵⁵⁰ Calculated as the number of normal power outlets divided by the total number of sampled EV owners for the home category. “Exploring Consumer Sentiment on Electric-Vehicle Charging”, McKinsey & Company. January 9, 2024. Exhibit 2. <https://www.mckinsey.com/features/mckinsey-center-for-future-mobility/our-insights/exploring-consumer-sentiment-on-electric-vehicle-charging>.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency EVSE is a Level 2 EVSE compliant with ENERGY STAR Final Version 1.1 specification for eligible EVSE, effective March 31, 2021.⁵⁵¹ Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR requirements.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Savings for EVSE come from efficiency gains of the ENERGY STAR equipment during operating modes when the vehicle is plugged in and charging. Following a study conducted by Frontier Energy, energy savings are established by estimating annual miles driven, average EV fuel economy, and approximate percentage of energy savings attributed to charging with ENERGY STAR Level 2 EVSE as opposed to Level 1 EVSE.

Energy Savings Algorithms

Table 421 presents the most common registered EVs in Texas and their corresponding fuel economy to establish an average annual energy per individual affected by this measure.

Table 421. EV Registration by Model—Fuel Economy for EVs in Texas⁵⁵²

Make	Model	% of Market ⁵⁵³	EPA fuel economy [kWh/100mi] ⁵⁵⁴	Weighted avg. [kWh/100mi]
Tesla	Model 3	24.6%	25	6.2
Tesla	Model Y	22.5%	27	6.1
Tesla	Model S	6.5%	28	1.8
Tesla	Model X	4.1%	32	1.3
Chevrolet	Bolt EV/EUV	3.3%	29	0.8
Ford	Mustang Mach-E	2.4%	34	1.0
Nissan	Leaf	2.0%	31	0.6
Volkswagen	ID.4	1.3%	31	0.4
Ford	F150 Lightning	1.1%	50	0.5
Multiple	Multiple	32.2%	32 ⁵⁵⁵	10.3
Total		100.0%	–	29.0

⁵⁵¹ ENERGY STAR EVSE Final Version 1.1 Program Requirements.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20V1.1%20DC%20EVSE%20Final%20Specification_0.pdf.

⁵⁵² EVs in Texas, DFW Clean Cities. <https://www.dfwcleancities.org/evsintexas>.

⁵⁵³ All models with less than 1 percent of market share were combined.

⁵⁵⁴ US Department of Energy. <https://www.fueleconomy.gov/>.

⁵⁵⁵ Average of EPA fuel economy for models with a higher market share.

$$\text{Annual Energy Consumption [kWh}_c] = \text{miles} \times \text{WAFE}$$

Equation 167

$$\text{Annual Energy Savings } [\Delta\text{kWh}] = \text{kWh}_c \times \text{L2\%} \times \text{BAF}$$

Equation 168

Where:

- miles* = Average distance driven per year in the US⁵⁵⁶ [miles] = 13,476
- WAFE* = Weighted average fuel economy [kWh/mi] = 0.290 (see Table 421)
- L2%* = Percentage savings achieved by Level 2 EVSE compared to Level 1 EVSE⁵⁵⁷ = 10%
- BAF* = Baseline adjustment factor [%] = 49% (representation of market charging with Level 1 EVSE)

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand (kW) savings are not estimated for this measure.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 422 presents the deemed energy savings per EVSE.

Table 422. EVSE—Energy Savings (kWh)

kWh savings
191

Deemed Summer and Winter Demand Savings Tables

Not applicable.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Not applicable.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

⁵⁵⁶ Average Annual Miles per Driver by Age Group, US Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ohim/onh00/bar8.htm>.

⁵⁵⁷ “Texas Residential R&D Electrical Vehicle Study”, Frontier Energy for AEP Texas. March 2024.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for an EVSE is assumed to be 10 years.⁵⁵⁸

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Manufacturer and model number
- ESVE quantity
- ENERGY STAR certificate matching EVSE model number
- Vehicle year, make, and model (if available)
- Estimated number of miles driven per day (if available)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 423. EVSE—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated deemed savings tables
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 update. Verified compliance with ENERGY STAR Final Version 1.1 Requirements. Updated savings calculation assumptions, deemed savings, and documentation requirements.

⁵⁵⁸ US Department of Energy Vehicle Technologies Office, November 2015, “Costs Associated with Non-Residential Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment” p. 21.

https://afdc.energy.gov/files/u/publication/evse_cost_report_2015.pdf.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated algorithm with days coefficient. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. Updated savings methodology to use a weighted Level 1 and Level 2 baseline.

2.5.15 Induction Cooking

TRM Measure ID: R-AP-IC

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

Residential cooking appliances include ovens, cooktops, and full ranges. A full range consists of an oven with a built-in cooktop. An induction range is an electric oven with a built-in induction cooktop.

Induction technology works on the principle of magnetic induction, where excited eddy currents in ferromagnetic cookware within the presence of an oscillating magnetic field dissipate heat through the Joule effect. This heat is directly generated by the cookware and is transmitted to the food within it, lessening thermal condition heat loss between the heating element and the cookware. Induction cooktops include a switching-power electronics circuit that delivers high-frequency current to a planar coil of wire embedded in the cooking surface. The cookware is magnetically coupled to the coil by the oscillating magnetic field. Current flows in the cooking vessel due to the low resistance of the metal. Resistance is a function of permeability and resistivity of the cookware as well as the frequency of excitation. Typical induction cooktops operate at switching frequency between 25 kHz and 50 kHz, which restricts coupling to ferromagnetic cookware such as cast iron, and some alloys of stainless steel.⁵⁵⁹

According to manufacturers, induction cooktops heat food faster, are easier to clean, are less likely to burn those using them, and have a higher cooking efficiency than electric resistance cooktops.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure requires the installation of an electric range with an induction cooktop or a standalone induction cooktop in a residential application. This measure assumes the use of small cookware typical of residential applications.

⁵⁵⁹ Sweeney, M., J. Dols, B. Fortenbery, and F. Sharp (EPRI), "Induction Cooking Technology Design and Assessment." Proceedings of the 2014 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings, p. 9-370. <https://www.aceee.org/files/proceedings/2014/data/papers/9-702.pdf>.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is defined as an electric range with electric resistance cooktop or a standalone electric resistance cooktop. This measure assumes a default of four burners.

Table 424. Induction Cooking—Baseline Electric Resistance Cooktop Energy Consumption⁵⁶⁰

Number of burners	Electric cooktop baseline kWh
0	84
1	89
2	95
3	101
4	106
5	112
6	118
7+	124

High-Efficiency Condition

The high efficiency condition is defined as an electric range with an induction cooktop or a standalone induction cooktop.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings are calculated as the difference between the baseline and high-efficiency condition unit energy consumption (UEC). These exclude HVAC interactive effects or savings due to reduced kitchen hood consumption. Range oven cooking efficiency varies by cooktop type. Ranges with electric resistance and induction cooktops both have electric resistance oven components. Therefore, baseline and high-efficiency condition oven cooking efficiencies are equivalent and are excluded from the savings calculation.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [\Delta kWh] = UEC_{base} - UEC_{IC}$$

Equation 169

⁵⁶⁰ "Plug Loads and Lighting Modeling," Codes and Standards Enhancement Initiative (CASE). 2016 California Building Energy Efficiency Standards. June 2016. Table 35.
https://www.caetrm.com/media/reference-documents/2016_T24CASE_Report_-_Plug_Load_and_Ltg_Modeling_-_June_2016.pdf.

$$UEC_{IC} = UEC_{base} \times \frac{CE_{base}}{CE_{IC}}$$

Equation 170

Where:

UEC_{base} = Baseline annual unit energy consumption [kWh]; see Table 424

UEC_{IC} = Induction cooking annual unit energy consumption [kWh]

CE_{base} = Baseline cooking efficiency = 75 percent⁵⁶¹

CE_{IC} = Induction cooking efficiency = 85 percent⁵⁶²

Summer Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Peak Demand Savings } [\Delta kW] = \frac{kWh_{savings}}{8,760} \times CF_{S/W}$$

Equation 171

8,760 = Total hours per year

$CF_{S/W}$ = Seasonal peak coincidence factor (Table 425)

Table 425. Induction Cooking—Coincidence Factors⁵⁶³

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002
Winter	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.010

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

For all applications, this measure assumes a default value of four burners.⁵⁶⁴

⁵⁶¹ “2021-2022 Residential Induction Cooking Tops,” ENERGY STAR.
https://www.energystar.gov/about/2021_residential_induction_cooking_tops#:~:text=The%20per%20unit%20efficiency%20of,times%20more%20efficient%20than%20gas.

⁵⁶² Ibid.

⁵⁶³ Calculated according to TX TRM Volume 1, Section 4 using data from the US DOE Building America B10 Benchmark load profiles for cooking equipment. Summer profiles include April through September, and winter profiles include October through March.
<https://www.energy.gov/eere/buildings/building-america-analysis-spreadsheets.>

⁵⁶⁴ Savings for 0–7+ burners only vary from 10–15 kWh.

Table 426. Induction Cooking—Energy Savings (kWh)

Number of burners	kWh savings
4	12

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

For all applications, this measure assumes a default value of four burners.

Table 427. Induction Cooking—Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Number of burners	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
4	0.000004	0.000004	0.000004	0.000004	0.000003

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

For all applications, this measure assumes a default value of four burners.

Table 428. Induction Cooking—Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW)

Number of burners	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
4	0.000013	0.000011	0.000010	0.000011	0.000014

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of an induction cooktop is 16 years based on the average lifetime specified for electric cooktops in the 2016 DOE life-cycle cost tool for residential cooking products.⁵⁶⁵

⁵⁶⁵ US Department of Energy (DOE), Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Office (EERE). 2016 SNOPR Analytical Tools: Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis Spreadsheet.

“Cooking_Pds_LCC_SNOPR_DOE_2016_publication.xlsm.” Docket EERE-2014-BT-STD-0005.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type (new construction, retrofit)
- Baseline unit type (electric range with electric resistance cooktop, standalone electric resistance cooktop)
- New unit type (electric range with induction cooktop, standalone induction cooktop)
- Manufacturer and model number
- Unit quantity
- Burner quantity
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or another pre-approved method of installation verification

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Please refer to measure citations for relevant standards and reference sources.

Document Revision History

Table 429. Induction Cooking—Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v10.0	10/2022	TRM v10.0 origin.
v11.0	10/2023	TRM v11.0 update. Updated documentation requirements.
v12.0	10/2024	TRM v12.0 update. No revision.