

Control Number: 33884



Item Number: 16

Addendum StartPage: 0

# AEP Southwestern Electric Power Southwestern Electric Power Southwestern Energy Efficiency Report April 1, 2007

Project No. 33884



A unit of American Electric Power

## AEP Southwestern Electric Power Energy Efficiency Report for Calendar Year 2006

#### I. Executive Summary

AEP Southwestern Electric Power (SWEPCO) implemented and administered standard offer programs (SOPs) in 2006 based on PUCT approved templates. These programs included the Residential and Small Commercial Standard Offer Program (RES SOP), Commercial and Industrial Standard Offer Program (C&I SOP), and Hard-to-Reach Standard Offer Program (HTR SOP). In addition, SWEPCO also continued the administration of the Home\$avers targeted low-income weatherization program (operated by the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs), Texas SCORE (Schools Conserving Resources), and the Energy Efficiency Improvement Program (EEIP) for Not-for-Profit (NFP) Agencies.

All customers in all customer classes served by SWEPCO in its Texas service territory thereby had access to energy efficiency options and alternatives through these programs that are described in more detail in this Report. The resultant demand reduction impacts from SWEPCO's efforts in 2006 account for 895 kW (.895 MW) of reduced peak electric demand, and over 4 million kWh (4,000 MWh) of energy use reduction may be attributed to these programs collectively during the subject calendar year. Due to projected negative load growth, SWEPCO did not have a positive demand reduction goal for 2006. Individual program and total energy efficiency results are further described in this Report.

Also in 2006, Summit Blue Consulting conducted the Independent Audit of Texas Energy Efficiency Programs for calendar years 2003 and 2004. The AEP companies, AEP Texas North Company (TNC), AEP Texas Central Company (TCC) and Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO) audit results were reported collectively. Results of the final report showed that peak demand savings as reported by the AEP companies for 2003 and 2004 were 98.6% and 98.7% accurate.

#### II. Actual Growth in Demand

SWEPCO's actual growth in demand for calendar year 2006 was 35,000 kW.

### III. Projected Annual Growth in Demand & Corresponding Energy Efficiency Goal

Year	Projected Growth in Demand kW (at meter)	Energy Efficiency Goal kW (at meter)	Estimated Energy Savings (kWh)
2007	4,450	445	1,411,913

The Energy Efficiency Goal and Estimated Energy Savings shown in the table above are the energy efficiency goal and estimated energy savings as outlined in SWEPCO's Energy Efficiency Plan (EEP) for 2007, to be achieved by January 1, 2008.

#### IV. **Comparison of Projected Savings to Reported Savings CALENDAR YEAR 2006**

Program	Projecto	ed Savings	Contract	ed Savings	Report	ted Savings
Trogram	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh
Standard Offer Program	5					
C&I SOP	610	4,817,000	782	4,290,800	446	2,626,307
RES SOP	460	1,254,000	376	898,700	319	822,955
HTR SOP	60	196,000	NAP	NAP	60	234,079
Market Transformation	Program					***************************************
TX SCORE Pilot MTP	500	750,000	500	750,000	0	0
Other Programs						Wales
Home\$avers 1	50	408,000	NAP	NAP	53	470,850
EEIP	20	54,000	NAP	NAP	17	43,314
Total	1,700	7,479,000	1,658	5,939,500	895	4,197,505

NAP (Not applicable)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This program is administered by the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs.

#### V. Program Funding

#### **CALENDAR YEAR 2006**

Program  Standard Offer Program	Total Budget per 2006 EEP	Funds Expended (Incentives)	Funds Expended (Admin.)	Funds Committed (Not Expended)	Funds Remaining (Not Committed)
C&I SOP	\$393,231	\$669,635	\$28,377	\$77,844	\$0
RES SOP	\$275,000	\$153,504	\$19,508	\$12,475	\$81,521
HTR SOP	\$70,000	\$56,219	\$9,273	\$2,305	\$4,477
Market Transformation	i Program				
TX SCORE Pilot MTP	\$261,769	\$194,997	\$14,949	\$40,000	\$595
Other Programs			7.5		
EEIP	\$100,000	\$99,807	\$4,804	\$0	\$11,240
Home\$avers	\$400,000	\$385,532	\$14,873	\$0	\$0
M&V Auditor					
M&V Auditor	NAP	NAP	\$5,470	NAP	NAP
Total	\$1,500,000	\$1,559,694	\$97,254	\$132,624	\$97,833

NAP (Not applicable)

#### VI. Explanation of a Total Program Cost Decrease of More than 10%

The Total Program Cost did not decrease more than 10% during 2006.

## VII. Most current information available for ongoing and completed Energy Efficiency Programs by Customer Class

#### **CALENDAR YEAR 2006**

Customer Class	Number of	Project	Reported Savings	
	Customers	Expenditures	kW	kWh
Commercial & Industria	I de la companya de			
C&I SOP	6	\$698,012	446	2,626,307
TX SCORE Pilot MTP	0	\$209,946	0	0
Total (by class)	6	\$907,958	446	2,626,307
Residential		The second of th		
RES SOP*	712	\$173,012	312	814,683
Total (by class)	712	\$173,012	312	814,683
Small Commercial		ations.	7.00	
RES SOP*	2	NA	7	8,272
EEIP	8	\$104,611	17	43,314
Total (by class)	10	\$104,611	24	51,586
Hard-to-Reach	design of the second of the se	And the second of the second o		
HTR SOP	192	\$65,492	60	234,079
Home\$avers	129	\$400,405	53	470,850
Total (by class)	321	\$465,897	113	704,929
Total	1,049	\$1,651,478	895	4,197,505

NA (Not Available)

<sup>\*</sup>Specific expenses were not tracked by individual customer classes within the RES SOP.

## VIII. Most current information available for ongoing and completed Energy Efficiency Programs by County

#### **CALENDAR YEAR 2006**

#### **COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL SOP**

	Reported Savings		
County	$\mathbf{k}\mathbf{W}$	kWh	
Bowie	166.6	993,620	
Gregg	125.8	537,160	
Panola	29.0	123,861	
Shelby	124.6	971,666	
Total	446.0	2,626,307	

#### **RESIDENTIAL & SMALL COMMERCIAL SOP**

	Reporte	d Savings
County	kW	kWh
Bowie	18.6	28,821
Camp	1.1	3,220
Cass	1.2	1,580
Gregg	170.7	502,479
Harrison	91.5	151,960
Rusk	4.2	9,363
Titus	8.0	17,552
Upshur	19.7	104,401
Wood	3.5	3,579
Total	318.6	822,955

#### **HARD-TO-REACH SOP**

	Reported Savings		
County	kW	kWh	
Gregg	49.3	213,626	
Harrison	4.2	6,731	
Titus	3.9	5,396	
Wood	3.0	8,326	
Total	60.4	234,079	

#### **ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR NFP AGENCIES**

	Reported Savings		
County	$\mathbf{k}\mathbf{W}$	kWh	
Bowie	3.4	5,681	
Gregg	9.5	31,550	
Harrison	1.3	1,661	
Shelby	2.8	4,422	
Total	17.0	43,314	

#### **HOME\$AVERS**

	Reported	d Savings
County	kW	kWh
Bowie	5.8	51,100
Camp	4.5	40,150
Cass	4.9	43,800
Gregg	24.0	215,350
Harrison	2.0	18,250
Marion	2.0	18,250
Morris	0.8	7,300
Panola	1.6	14,600
Rusk	1.3	10,950
Shelby	3.3	29,200
Titus	1.2	10,950
Wood	1.2	10,950
Total	52.6	470,850

IX. Pilot Market Transformation Program Details and Milestones

The AEP Texas SCORE Pilot Market Transformation Program was introduced in the fall

of 2005 to a targeted audience of K-12 public schools. The program will provide

structure and planning support for public schools to succeed in becoming more energy

efficient. It will also utilize regional and national programs and identify a number of

public sector programs in order to help these entities leverage the tools and support

already available to them.

CLEAResult, Inc. is the implementer for this program. The estimated savings to be

achieved are 775,000 kWh of annual energy savings and 600 kW of peak demand

reduction by December 1, 2007.

Implementation of the program is based on the five tasks identified below, carrying it

from program design through the final reporting of savings. Currently, the program is in

Tasks 2 and 3. Savings are expected to be identified and reported in Tasks 4 and 5. As a

result, program expenditures are higher at this stage of the implementation process as

compared to savings reported.

Task 1: Program Design

Task 2: Outreach

Task 3: Benchmarking and Master Planning

Task 4: Audits and Training

Task 5: Program Management and Reporting

As of December 31, 2006, fifteen customer meetings have been held and five customers

have agreed to participate in the pilot program.

X. Description of proposed changes in the Energy Efficiency Plan

In 2007, SWEPCO will include in its Energy Efficiency Plan a specific budget set aside

for Energy Efficiency Research and Development, as allowed in PURA Sec. 39.905 (e).

#### XI. Inspection Results by Program

#### **C&I SOP**

All retrofit projects in the C&I SOP are inspected prior to equipment removal and also after the new measures are in place. All new construction projects are inspected after the project is complete.

Multiple application submittals and reviews, along with 100% of the projects being inspected, allow for discrepancies to be identified and modified/corrected during this whole process prior to project completion. If any discrepancies are identified at the completion of the project, the incentives and energy savings impacts are adjusted and reported accordingly.

#### **EEIP SOP**

Upon receipt of a proposal in the EEIP, bids are reviewed to verify that proposed measures qualify and will produce peak demand and energy savings. A pre-inspection verifies that the original measure is in place and qualifies for replacement. Upon completion of the project, invoices are reviewed to verify consistency with the approved bids and are then submitted for payment.

#### HomeSavers

TDHCA submits invoices to SWEPCO that include a copy of the EASY Audit performed for each weatherized home. Each reported address is verified with the SWEPCO customer database. All pertinent mathematical calculations leading to the request for payment are recalculated for accuracy. This check is done on the TDHCA invoice, as well as the agency figures. Adjustments are made to the final payment to reflect any necessary corrections.

#### **RES and HTR SOPs**

The RES SOP and HTR SOP impacts were verified by completing a statistically valid number of site inspections to ensure that reported measures were installed and are capable of meeting their intended function.

Inspections for the RES SOP and the HTR SOP in the aggregate, produce approximately an 85% confidence and  $\pm$  10% precision. (The confidence and precision for individual sponsors may be lower depending on whether the sponsor participates in the large or small component of the program).

Measures that do not meet the measure installation standards of the RES SOP or the HTR SOP are failed. The resulting impact is a proportional reduction of kW and kWh impacts and corresponding incentive payment.

The following information has been drawn from SWEPCO's RES and HTR SOP databases.

	Number of Sites Inspected	% Of Sites Inspected	Number of Installations Inspected	% Of Installations Inspected	% Of Incentive Reductions due to Inspection Failures	% Of Total kW Reductions due to Inspection Failures
RES SOP	106	14.85%	148	14.19%	1.39%	1.80%
HTR SOP	31	15.90%	64	16.45%	7.18%	7.39%

#### XII. Other Information

#### **REPORTED SAVINGS BY MONTH**

M4b	Repor	ted Savings
Month	kW	kWh
January	26.7	162,788
February	0.0	0
March	9.8	87,600
April	0.0	0
May	0.0	0
June	8.4	40,326
July	186.2	832,906
August	21.5	139,382
September	199.1	771,296
October	155.9	1,053,713
November	186.8	884,293
December	100.2	225,201
Total	894.6	4,197,505