

Control Number: 33884



Item Number: 18

Addendum StartPage: 0

Project No. 33884



1

18

Annual Energy Efficiency Report To The Public Utility Commission of Texas In Accordance With Substantive Rule § 25.181(h)(4) and § 25.183(d)(2),(3)

TXU ELECTRIC

DELIVERY COMPANY

April 1, 2007

I. Executive Summary

This Annual Energy Efficiency Report for Calendar Year 2006 is filed by TXU Electric Delivery Company (Electric Delivery) in accordance with Substantive Rule §§ 25.181(h)(4) and 25.183(d)(2),(3). Calendar Year 2006 was Electric Delivery's fifth year in the implementation of the Market Transformation Programs ("MTPs") and Standard Offer Programs (SOPs) required by PURA § 39.905 and Substantive Rule § 25.181, and was the forth year Electric Delivery was required to meet the 10% demand reduction goal. Electric Delivery's 10% energy efficiency goal for 2006, as stated in Electric Delivery's Energy Efficiency Plan filed in Project No. 32107 on April 1, 2006, was 79,149 kW. Electric Delivery exceeded its goal by procuring 91,486 kW in demand savings.

Appendix A of this report includes explanations relevant to certain sections of the report.

II. Actual Growth in Demand for 2006

Actual Weather Adjusted Growth in Retail Demand for 2006 was 646 MW.

III. Projected Annual Growth & Corresponding Goal (at meter)

	Projected Annual Growth		Projected
Year	in Demand	kW Goal	KWh
2007	717,000 kW	104,075	265,731,766

IV. Comparison of Projected Savings to Reported Savings (at meter)

Program	Project kW	ed Savin <mark>gs</mark> kWh	Contract kW	ed Savings kWh	Reported Savings kW kWh		
Large Commercial & Industrial SOP	20,579	130,417,19 1	16,144	85,545,174	11,680	66,613,517	
Residential & Small Commercial SOP	8,706	53,352,487	11,961	35,685,721	11,970	35,717,210	
Hard-to-Reach SOP	3,957	9,742,686	4,805	16,198,046	4,805	16,198,046	
Energy Star® Homes MTP	8,311	31,122,284	21,300	18,871,800	28,114	25,187,935	
Commercial A/C Distributor MTP	3,957	30,634,968	460	1,112,052	460	1,112,052	
A/C Installer Info. & Training MTP	7,124	23,712,216	2,880	5,730,000	8,925	10,386,000	
Texas SCORE Pilot MTP	2,770	17,420,891	1,787	4,257,483	1,787	4,257,483	
Emergency Load Management SOP	23,745	0	23,745	0	23,745	0	
Third Party DSM Contracts*	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	79,149	296,402,723	83,082	167,400,276	91,486	159,472,243	

CALENDAR YEAR 2006

* There were no new measures installed in 2006 although incentive payments were paid for prior year's measures.

V. Baseline and Milestones Achieved by the MTPs

Commercial Air Conditioning Distributor MTP

The primary objective of this program is to increase the market penetration of high efficiency air conditioning units in order to provide cost-effective reduction in peak summer demand. Additional objectives of this program are to achieve consumer energy and cost savings and encourage private sector delivery of energy efficiency products and services. Informal interviews were conducted with air conditioning distributors and air conditioning contractors to identify market barriers. The results of these investigations revealed that higher first costs to consumers, lack of understanding of energy efficiency by contractors and a lack of consumer information are market barriers.

As of January 23, 2006, new air conditioning standards took effect for the National Appliance Energy Conservation Act (NAECA) with increased standards for residential-sized equipment. The minimum standards increased from 10.0 SEER to 13.0 SEER. As a result of this and the baseline used in deemed savings, residential units of 65,000 BTUH and below result in limited on-peak demand savings. Therefore, the 2007 program will focus on commercial units between 65,000 and 240,000 BTUH and the air conditioning contractors who install them.

Program goals and milestones for 2007 are to continue implementing strategies to overcome the market barriers, increase outreach to air conditioning contractors and increase the penetration rate of small commercial high efficiency units within the range of 5.5 to 20 tons.

Energy Star[®] Homes MTP

The primary objective of this program is to achieve peak demand reductions and/or energy savings through increased sales of Energy Star® homes and products. Additionally, the program is designed to condition the market so that consumers are aware of and demand Energy Star® homes and products and builders have the technical capacity to supply them. A baseline study was conducted in the fourth quarter of 2006 to determine the existing level of efficiency typical of new home construction in Electric Delivery's service territory. The study, which included homes built by builders participating in the Electric Delivery 2006 Energy Star® Homes Program but not included in the program, showed the average Home Energy Rating System (HERS) Index for homes not in the program to be 93. This compares to a minimum qualifying Energy Star® Index of 85.

Based on 2006 data from the Real Estate Center at Texas A&M University, there were approximately 47,961 single-family building permits issued in the Electric Delivery service territory Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), with 13,143 receiving Energy Star® certification through the program. During the 2006

Program Year, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) allowed homes to be certified using a HERS Score or HERS Index rating.

There are two significant changes to the 2007 EPA Energy Star® Program requirements. All homes must be certified using the HERS Index and a Thermal Bypass Inspection Checklist must be completed on each home. There is a perception among some builders that these new requirements will require additional costs and some have elected not to participate in the Program in 2007. Therefore, the 2007 Program will focus on the benefits of Energy Star® homes to builders and consumers in an effort to continue making an energy saving impact in the new home market.

The EPA recognized Electric Delivery's accomplishments in the Energy Star® Homes Program by awarding it the Energy Star® Partner of the Year – New Homes in 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006. These awards are a result of training and certifying HERS raters, educating and recruiting builders, consumer education and involving market actors associated with new home sales.

The milestones for 2007 are to certify 10,370 Energy Star® homes, 10 continuing education courses for realtors on the advantages of Energy Star® homes and support the training and certification of additional HERS raters.

Air Conditioning Installer Information & Training MTP

Electric Delivery first implemented the Air Conditioning Installer Information & Training Program in 2003. The program is designed to encourage improved installation practices for heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment, including measures designed to reduce leakage in air ducts. A baseline study was conducted during the second guarter of 2002 to determine current air conditioner installation practices and to identify practices that, if modified, would improve the overall efficiency of HVAC systems throughout the service territory, resulting in lower peak demand and energy savings. Results of the study identified the need for consumer education, training for contractors, reaisterina aualifvina contractors, best practices incentives and the implementation of a formal program.

Since 2003, the Texas Air Conditioning Contractors of America (TACCA) and the North Texas Chapter of Air Conditioning Contractors of America (NTACCA) have served as program administrators. In 2006, a Request for Proposals (RFP) was sent to various program administrators and ICF International was selected to market and administer the program. NTACCA will continue to provide the required training for HVAC contractors who wish to participate in the program. This new approach will enhance the program by utilizing the expertise and knowledge of these highly qualified organizations in a coordinated effort.

Training will be conducted in both English and Spanish, covering new and replacement HVAC installation, system design, duct sealing and sales training for

high efficiency equipment. HVAC technicians certified by TACCA and NTACCA can qualify for incentives for installations meeting program specifications. In 2006, there were 41 technicians trained and 14 builders participated in the program. ICF International will focus on the overall program administration, HVAC contractor recruiting, consumer education and quality assurance/quality control.

Program market impacts of 8.925 MW were reported in 2006, based upon a Market Effects Study of both participating and non-participating air conditioning contractors. The market effects were derived by combining study results with deemed savings methodology and installation data from units reported in 2006. Therefore, the market impact savings include units whose installation was influenced by the program, but were not submitted for incentive payments.

Milestones for 2007 are to build upon the success of the new home market and increase the penetration rate of "high performance" installations in the retrofit market, train 100 technicians, continue consumer education efforts and realize savings impacts of 8.945 MW. Consumers will be referred to contractors who have successfully completed training on the dedicated program website: www.saveuenergy.org.

Texas SCORE Pilot MTP

The Texas SCORE Pilot MTP was implemented in 2006 to partner with selected Texas Independent School Districts to work together to identify and assess energy efficiency measures that would assist the district in reducing it's peak usage. The program helps the district develop an Energy Master Plan that outlines administrative and financial decision-making criteria for energy efficiency improvements, installation of energy efficiency measures, and maintenance and operation procedures in order to succeed in implementing a cost-effective energy program in a timely manner. Texas SCORE also helped identify and assess capital-intensive energy projects which will produce energy cost savings. The districts were also encouraged to implement energy-efficient operations and maintenance practices and procedures that were identified during the process.

The SCORE Program helps the district by facilitating a focused look at what it can do to use energy most efficiently. In order to achieve the incentive earning goals, the program involves administrators at all levels in the decision making process. The SCORE Program helps the districts financial department understand that sometimes spending more in the design and construction phase of a project can lead to a bigger payback in the utility savings for years to come. Qualified work could include retrofitting existing facilities and also for new construction projects.

The SCORE Program set a pilot goal of 2,770 kW in 2006. Eleven school districts were contacted and seven signed up to participate. The seven districts installed measures that resulted in savings of 1,787 kW and 4,257,483 kWh.

VI. Program Funding

Electric Delivery exceeded its 2006 goal of 79,149 kW by obtaining 91,486 kW in energy efficiency savings. As shown on the following table, funds were either spent or committed by contracts with energy efficiency service providers in excess of the total overall 2006 budget of the SOP's and MTP's in order to ensure attainment of the goal.

		Funds Funds Expended Expended To		Total Funds Funds Committed Total Funds (Not		Funds Remaining (Not
Program	Budget	(Incentives)	(Admin.)	Expended	Expended)	Committed)
Large Commercial & Industrial SOP	\$ 9,226,074	\$ 2,609,314	\$ 322,313	\$ 2,931,627	\$ 7,950,540	\$ (1,656,093)
Residential & Small Commercial SOP	\$ 6,321,198	\$ 5,096,074	\$ 689,986	\$ 5,786,060	\$ O	\$ 535,138
Hard-to-Reach SOP	\$ 3,434,096	\$ 4,230,410	\$ 505,981	\$ 4,736,391	\$0	\$ (1,302,295)
Energy Star® Homes MTP	\$ 4,445,203	\$ 4,512,251	\$ 697,779	\$ 5,210,030	\$0	\$ (764,827)
Commercial A/C Distributor MTP	\$ 2,021,034	\$ 158,043	\$ 87,317	\$ 245,360	\$0	\$ 1,775,674
A/C Installer Info. & Training MTP	\$ 3,572,457	\$ 889,120	\$ 250,592	\$ 1,139,712	\$ 1,118,388	\$ 1,314,357
Texas SCORE Pilot MTP	\$ 749,522	\$ 551,191	\$ 120,187	\$ 671,378	\$0	\$ 78,144
Emergency Load Management SOP	\$ 1,100,569	\$ 977,729	\$ 153,793	\$ 1,131,522	\$0	\$ (30,953)
Third Party DSM Contracts	\$ 3,734,883	\$ 2,740,445	\$ 265,449	\$ 3,005,894	\$0	\$ 728,989
Research & Development	\$ 4,266,355	\$ 2,785,744	\$ 307,527	\$ 3,093,271	\$0	\$ 1,173,084
TDHCA Weatherization	\$ 3,792,157	\$0	\$0	\$ O	\$ 3,792,157	\$ O·
General Energy Efficiency Program Administrative Costs*	\$0	\$0	\$ 842,953	\$ 842,953	\$ 0	\$ (842,953)
Total	\$ 42,663,548	\$24,550,321	\$ 4,243,877	\$28,794,198	\$ 12,861,085	\$ 1,008,265

CALENDAR YEAR 2006

* General Energy Efficiency Program Administration Costs include \$223,300.44 paid to the independent M & V expert. Results of the Impact and Process Evaluation of Electric Delivery's 2003 and 2004 programs (dated September 9, 2006) were filed with the Commission as part of Project No. 30170.

VII. Explanation of a Total Program Cost Decrease of More Than 10%

A large portion of Electric Delivery's total program cost decrease of more than 10% can be attributed to unspent dollars that were set aside as part of Senate Bill 712 requirements to fund research and development activities and the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA) Low-Income Weatherization Program. TXU Electric Delivery spent \$3,093,271 of the

\$4,266,365 budgeted for research and development, but the TDHCA Low-Income Weatherization Program was not finalized until late in the year, resulting in no expenditures for the program in 2006. Funding for the TDHCA program will begin in 2007 and will be double the 2006 budget based on an agreement between TDHCA, the Public Utility Commission of Texas and other interested parties.

The Commercial A/C Distributor Market Transformation Program came in under budget because 2006 was the first year the program was offered targeting commercial distributors only. Beginning in 2007, air conditioning contractors will be eligible to participate. TXU Electric expects 2007 participation to increase as more distributors and air conditioning contractors become aware of the program.

The A/C Installer Information & Training Market Transformation Program performed at a level lower than projected because it competes directly with the other residential programs and has not been as readily accepted by the market place as those programs. The program will have a new administrator in 2007 and take a different marketing approach to increase participation.

The Residential & Small Commercial Standard Offer Program came in \$535,138 under budget while producing 3,264 kW more than was projected because there were a higher proportion of duct efficiency measures and insulation upgrades in 2006 than projected. Duct efficiency measures and insulation upgrades produce greater energy efficiency savings per incentive dollar than other program components.

The Large Commercial & Industrial Standard Offer Program came in under budget due to the timing difference between when funds are committed to projects and when the projects are actually completed and paid. Between the \$2,800,000 carryover from the previous year's commitments and the \$8,100,000 submitted by sponsors in 2006, Electric Delivery had funds committed well in excess of the 2006 budget of \$9,226,074.

VIII. Request to Roll Over Unspent Funds to Future Program Years

Electric Delivery currently has approximately \$14.5 million in unexpended energy efficiency funding. Pursuant to Substantive Rule § 25.181(i)(7), Electric Delivery requests that the Commission allow it to roll over this remaining amount of unexpended energy efficiency funding into Calendar Year 2007. The \$14.5 million is the portion of funding not spent after the completion of the first five years (2002 through 2006) of implementation of the Energy Efficiency Programs required by PURA § 39.905 and Substantive Rule § 25.181 and funded by a three-year average funding mechanism approved by the Commission in Docket No. 22350. In Docket No. 22350, Electric Delivery's 2002 budget (which was based on a 5% demand savings goal) was averaged with the Company's 2003 and 2004 budgets (which were based on a 10% demand savings goal), with the understanding that the difference between the 2002 budget amount and the

annual approved revenue recovery amount of \$42,663,548 would be rolled over for use in Calendar Years 2003 and 2004. Electric Delivery plans to use the \$14.5 million as needed for energy efficiency funding in 2007 or beyond.

IX. Most Current Information Available for Ongoing and Completed Energy Efficiency Programs by Customer Class

Customer Class	Number of	Program	Reported Savings		
Customer Class	Customers	Expenditures	kW	kWh	
Large C & I					
Large Commercial & Industrial SOP	232	\$ 2,931,627	11,680	66,613,517	
Third Party DSM Contracts	0	\$ 3,005,894	0	0	
Emergency Load Management SOP*	10	\$ 1,131,522	23,745	0	
Texas SCORE Pilot MTP	7	\$ 671,378	1,787	4,257,483	
Total	249	\$ 7,740,421	37,212	70,871,000	
Residential					
Residential & Small Commercial SOP	14,183	\$ 5,751,344	11,897	35,506,827	
Energy Star [®] Homes MTP	13,143	\$ 5,210,030	28,114	25,187,935	
A/C Installer Info. & Training MTP**	1,924	\$ 1,139,712	8,925	10,386,000	
Total	29,250	\$ 12,101,086	48,936	71,080,762	
Small Commercial					
Commercial A/C Distributor MTP	102	\$ 245,360	460	1,112,052	
Residential & Small Commercial SOP	62	\$ 34,716	73	210,383	
Total	164	\$ 280,076	533	1,322,435	
Hard-to-Reach		,			
Hard-to-Reach SOP	6.246	\$ 4,736,391	4,805	16,198,046	

CALENDAR YEAR 2006

* The Emergency Load Management SOP actually achieved 53,867 in kW savings for the year as one participant contributed more kW than their contract obligation. However, Electric Delivery is only reporting 23,745 kW savings because of the restriction in Substantive Rule § 25.181(h)(2)(H) that limits savings achieved through load management programs to 30% of the utility's total demand reduction goal.

** The number of customers reported in the A/C Installer Info. & Training MTP is based on actual program participants while reported savings are based on the Market Effects Study.

X. Description of Proposed Changes in the Energy Efficiency Plan

Please see Electric Delivery's April 1, 2007 Annual Efficiency Plan for proposed changes to the Energy Efficiency Plan for Calendar Years 2007 through 2010.

XI. Demand and Energy Reduction by County

Appendix B contains the kW and kWh reduction achieved by the energy efficiency programs implemented by Electric Delivery in Calendar Year 2006, by county. The funding source for all of the kW and kWh savings shown on Appendix B is the Electric Delivery budget for SOPs, MTPs, and existing DSM Contracts.

TXU Electric Delivery Company Annual Energy Efficiency Report for Calendar Year 2006

Appendix A

Explanations

(1) The following explanation is for **Section II:**

In this Section, actual growth in demand is the amount of increase in demand from the year prior to the reporting year compared to the reporting year. It is adjusted for normal weather and is at the system level.

(2) The following explanations are for column values shown in Section IV:

Projected Savings – The amount of projected peak demand savings is the amount included in the TXU Electric Delivery Company ("Electric Delivery") Energy Efficiency Plan filed in the preceding year.

Contracted Savings – The total energy and peak demand savings that are the subject of contracts between Electric Delivery and energy efficiency service providers during the reporting calendar year.

Reported Savings – The total energy and peak demand savings that have been reported to Electric Delivery by energy efficiency service providers.

(3) The following explanations are for column values shown in Section VI:

Budget – The amount of funding dedicated to the implementation of energy efficiency programs for the reporting calendar year as shown in the Electric Delivery Energy Efficiency Plan filed in the preceding year.

Funds Expended for Incentives – The amount of funds expended by Electric Delivery on incentive payments for energy efficiency programs during the reporting calendar year.

Funds Expended for Administration – The amount of funds expended by Electric Delivery on administrative expenses associated with energy efficiency programs during the reporting calendar year.

Funds Committed but not Expended – The amount of Electric Delivery funds committed by contract with energy efficiency service providers for the reporting calendar year that have not been paid to the provider.

1

Funds Remaining and not Committed or Expended – The difference between the budgeted amount for an energy efficiency program and the amount of funds that were not expended or committed by contract with energy efficiency service providers during the reporting calendar year.

(4) The following explanations are for column values shown in Section VIII:

Number of Customers – A customer is defined as having an Electric Delivery account number.

Program Expenditures – The total of administrative and incentives expended during the reporting calendar year.

Reported Savings – The energy and peak demand savings that have been reported by energy efficiency service providers during the reporting calendar year.

Appendix B: Demand and Energy Reduction by County

• •

	A/C Installer Info &	Hard-to Reach	Energy Stars Home	Commercial &	Commercial A/C	Emergency Load	Residential & Small	Texas SCORE
COUNTY	Training MTP	SOP	MTP	Industrial SOP	Distributor MTP	Management SOP	Commercial SOP	Pilot MTP
ANDERSON	kw impact kWh impact	kWhimpact 31.0 kWhimpact 131,558.2	kWh impact	kW impact 57.8 kWh impact 351,427.0	kWimpact 1.5 kWhimpact 3,555.7	kWh impact	kWhimpact 24.0 kWhimpact 82,145.9	kWh impact
							LAST improved 7.4	hitt in an at
ANDHEWS	kWh impact	kWhimpact	kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWhimpact 7.4 kWhimpact 16,353.7	kWh impact
ANGELINA	kW impact	kW impact 61.7	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impect	kW impact 189.7	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 232,622.4	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 647,008.5	kWh impact
ARCHER	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 5.1	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 12,864.2	kWh impact
BASTROP	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 39.6	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 35,942.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact
BELL	kW impact	kW impact 5.7	kW impact 1,563.1	kW impact 119.8	kW impact	kW impact 1,820.0	kW impact 35.0	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 26,529.0	kWh impact 1,384,640.0	kWh impact -2,927,542.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 96,246.3	kWh impact
BROWN	kW impact	kW impact 14.6	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 0.6	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWhimpact 70,223.3	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact .	kWh impact 3,243.8	kWh impact
CHEROKEE	kW impact	kW impact 13.5	kW impact	kW impact -7.7	kW impact	kW impact 988.0	kW impact 14.8	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 34,108.8	kWh impact	kWh impact -2,434.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 40,691.7	kWh Impact
CLAY	kW impact	kW impact 5.0	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 8.2	kW impact
	kwh impact	kWh impact 14,781.6	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kwn impact 28,455.9	kivin impact
COLEMAN	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact
	KVVN #TIPACI	kwn impact	kvyn Impaci	kwn impact	kwn impact	kvvn impact	kvvn impaci	куул ітрасі
COLLIN	kW impact 603.6	kW impact 165.6	kW impact 2,852.8	kW impact 1,067.2	kW impact 122.3	kW impact 2,030.0	kW impact 1,836.8	kW impact
	kWh impact 695,816.0	kWhimpact 447,203.5	kWh impact 2,546,485.0	kWh impact 5,076,380.0	kWh impact 266,917.3	kWh impact	kWh impact 4,112,999.8	kWh Impact
COMANCHE	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 5.8	kW impact 19.0	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWhimpact 5,251,0	kWh impect 218,037.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact
COOKE	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 5.4	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 12,552.4	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact
CORYELL	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 4.4	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 0.3	kW impact 187.1
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWhimpact 4,237.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 339.2	kWh impact 461,978.0
CRANE	kW impact	kW impact 1.4	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 4.7	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 2,064.2	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWhimpact 14,370.5	kWh impact
DALLAS	kW impact 1,967.7	kW impact 1,589.3	kW impact 6,309.0	kW impact 5,938.6	kW impact 175.0	kWimpact 5,751.0	kW impact 2,473.4	kW impact 1,171.1
	kWh impact 2,268,370.2	kWh impact 5,386,364.4	kWh impact 5,708,927.0	kWh impact 37,537,986.0	kWh impact 439,588.0	kWh impact	kWh impact 6,699,304.2	kWh impact 2,930,997.0
DAWSON	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 293.0	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 3,250,626.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact
DELTA	kW impact	kW impact 2.8	kW impact 2.8	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 0.6	kW impact
1	kWh impact	kWh impact 10,157.4	kWhimpact 2,186.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 2,920.9	kWh impact
DENTON	kW impact 268.0	kW impact 42.5	kW impact 478.1	kW impact	kW impact 20.3	kW impact	kWimpact 362.4	kW impact
ł	kWh impact 324,916.3	Whimpact 130,590.9	kWh impact 419,666.0	kWh impact	kWh impact 47,879.2	kWh impact	kWh impact 1,043,447.9	kWh impact
EASTLAND	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 0.5	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWhimpact 477.0	kWh impact
ECTOR	kW impact	kW impact 14.4	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 150.4	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 66,783.6	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWhimpact 473,866.9	kWh impact
ELLIS	kW impact 303.3	kW impact 20.3	kW impact 640.1	kW impact 892.4	kW impact 6.4	kWimpact 10,620.0	kW impact 84.3	kW impact
	kWh impact 335,336.8	kWh impact 87,046.3	kWh impact 580,803.0	kWh impact 7,212,304.0	kWhimpact 14,872.3	kWh impact	kWh impact 318,981.5	kWh impact
ERATH	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWin impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact
FALLS	kW impact	kW impact 1.5	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 3.0	kW impact
l	kWh impact	kWh impact 5,614.8	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 7,295.2	kWh impact

• •

1	1 1	1	1	1	1 1
			l		

							T		
FANNIN	kW impact	kW Impact	11.3	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 2.1	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 3	32,814.2	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 2,714.2	kWh impact
FREESTONE	kW impact	kW impact	9.5	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 63.2	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 2	28,492.2	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 255,211.1	kWh impact
GLASSCOCK	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact				
GRAYSON	kW impact	kW impact	116.8	kW impact 31	7 kW impact	kW impact	kW Impact	kW impact 280.2	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 31	11,901.3	kWh impact 30,433	0 kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh Impact	kWh impact 849,977.2	kWh impact
HARRIS	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact				
HENDERSON	kW impact	kW impact	2.5	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 2.4	kW impact 7.0
	kWh impact	kWh impact 1	13,118.5	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 12,032.5	kWh impact 14,298.0
HILL	kW impact	kW impact	4.6	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 9.8	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 1	11,526.6	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 30,199.1	kWh impact
HOOD	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact 4.1 kWh impact 16,203.8	kW impact KWh impact
HOPKINS	kW impact	kW impact	32.8	kW impact	kW impact	4.6 kW impact 2.:) kW impact	kW impact 33.0	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 11	14,530.8	kWh impact	kWh impact 20,44	7.0 kWh impact 7,608.	5 kWh impact	kWh impact 103,584.6	kWh impact
HOUSTON	kW impact	kW impact	50.5	kW impact	kW impact				
	kWh impact	kWh impact s	96,060.1	kWh impact	kWh impact				
HOWARD	kW impact	kW impact	1.7	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 17.6	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	3,866.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 46,808.1	kWh impact
HUNT	kW impact	kW impact	0.8	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 2.1	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	4,018.1	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 2,887.7	kWh impact
JACK	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact				
JOHNSON	kW impact 58.5	kW Impact	22.2	kW impact 831	0 kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 68.0	kW impact
	kWh impact 64,793.1	kWh impact I	84,726.8	kWh impact 742,517	0 kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 249,925.7	kWh impact
KAUFMAN	kW impact 425.	kW impact	28.3	kW impact 424	5 kW impact	6.5 kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 22.5	kW impact 34.0
	kWh impact 470,363.0	5 kWh impact 11	14,628.0	kWh impact 398,349	0 kWh impact 26,63	6.0 kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 62,225.5	kWh impact 58,571.0
LAMAR	kW impact	kW impact	48.6	kW impact	kW impact 78	7.4 kW impact 18.	2 kW impact	kW impact 36.8	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 14	69,220.0	kWh impact	kWh impact 6,004,44	2.0 kWh impact 34,512.	9 kWh impact	kWh impact 92,858.1	kWh impact
LAMPASSAS	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact				
LEON	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact				
LIMESTONE	kW impact	kW impact	41.5	kW impact	kW impact 10	6.9 kW impact	kW impact	kW Impact 125.9	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact 1	10,047.8	kWh impact	kWh impact 638,71	4.0 kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh Impact 407,678.0	kWh impact
MARTIN	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact 3.3 kWh impact 4,370.5	kW impect kWh impect
MCLENNAN	kW impact 8.	4 kW impact	399.3	KW impact 39	0 kW impact	kW Impact	kW impact	kW impact 737.4	kW impact
	kWh impact 10,550.	3 kWh impact 1,4	82,792.3	KWh impact 38,215	0 kWh impact	kWh Impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 2,701,067.9	kWh impact
MIDLAND	kW impact	kW impact	18.9	kW impact	kW impact 5	4.2 kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 344.8	, kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	52,518.2	kWh impact	kWh impact 114,44	8.0 kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 666,541.4	, kWh impact
MILAM	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact		kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact kWh impact	kW impact 0.6 kWh impact 645.0	kW impact kWh impact
	kW impact	kW impact	11.6	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 3.7	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	36,672.7	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 8,672.1	kWh impact
NACODOCHES	kW impact	kW impact	7.0	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact	kW impact 656.9	kW impact
	kWh impact	kWh impact	20,477.0	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact	kWh impact 1,946,126.7	kWh impact

,

-							1									and the second se	
	NAVARRO	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	1,153.0	kW impact	0.6	kW impact	
	a)	kWh impact		kWh impact	-	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	861.6	kWh impact	
l														[
ļ	NOLAN	kW impact		kW impact	0.8	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	
ł	ł	kWh impact		kWh impact	1,247.8	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	1	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
l																	
I	PALO PINTO	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	-	kW impact	460.0	kW impact	4.2	kW impact	
l	6	kWh impact		kWh impact	1	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	15,284.4	kWh impact	
						•	-	•	1			·					
t	PARKER	kW impact	29.8	kW impact	A 9	kW impact	151.3	kW impact		kW impact	32	kW/ impact		kW impact	49.3	kW impact	
ĺ		kit/h impost	22 600 1	MAIN impact	25 106 5	White impact	122 674 0	Lik/h import		kit/h impact	7 202 2	With impact		k/Wh impact	191 465 1	Wh impact	
ļ		KAALI BUDGCI	33,089.1	kvvn impaci	25,106.5	киил ипраса	132,6/4.0	KAAU NUDBECT		KAALE BUIDERCE	1,280.2	KVVII impaci		KAALI BUIDACT	131,400.1		
ŀ														LANG Long and			
ĺ	PECOS	KW impact		KVV Impact		kw impact	1	kW impact		kw impact		kw impact		KVV WINDACT		кал шіраст	
ł		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
ļ															<u> </u>		
l	RED RIVER	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	4	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	
ł		kWh impact	-	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
ļ			<u></u>														
l	REÉVES	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	-	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	
ł		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
Į			_														
I	ROCKWALL	kW impact	859.7	kW impact	54.0	kW impact	1,230.2	kW impact		kW impact	1.5	kW impact		kW impact	66.0	kW impact	
l		kWh impact	971.452.6	kWih impact	271,379.9	kWh impact	1.086.036.0	kWh impact		kWh impact	3.770.4	kWh impact		kWh impact	152,153.6	kWh impact	
l																	
ł	DITER	kW impact		KW impact		WM impact		WM impact		k/M immont		LAM impact		kW impact	1.1	kW impact	
İ	nuon	Listin imparent				NYV BIIDECI						NYY WINDOW			E 970 4	Likih impact	
Į		KAAN NUDBER		KWN Impact		KWIN IMPACT		kwn impact		kwn impact		kwn impact		KWYN IMPACT	5,8/0.1	KVVN IMPACT	
1																	
	SCURRY	kW impact		kW impact	2.3	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	1.8	kW impact	
		kWh impact		kWh impact	12,634.0	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	1	kWh Impact		kWh impact	3,625.6	kWh impact	
1	SMITH	kW impact		kW impact	27.3	kW impact	132.1	kW impact	109.6	kW impact	0.7	kW impact	923.0	kW impact	15.4	kW impact	260.9
		kWh impact		kWh impact	138,564.1	kWh impact	124,856.0	kWh impact	415,715.0	kWh impact	1,506.4	kWh impact		kWh impact	68,662.1	kWh impact	535,405.0
				L													
	STEPHENS	kW impact		kW impact	1.2	kW impact		kW impact	4.8	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	1.0	kW impact	
ł		kWh impact		kWh impact	1.886.6	kWh impact		kWb impact	21.458.0	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	2,682,6	kWh impact	
	TABBANT	kW impact	4 340 1	kW impact	1 654 0	kW impact	11,316,2	kW impact	1 198 3	kW impact	90.0	kW impact		kW impact	3,399,3	kW impact	127.0
		kWh impact	5 129 520 0	kith impact	E 400 740 E	kit/h impact	10.092.649.0		E 140 700 0	White impose	020 027 0	M/h import		kildh impact	11 622 760 9	Wh impact	256 234 (
		ATTI Inpact	5,100,028.0	KAAL BUILDECT	5,455,145.5	KAALI BIIDECY	10,065,046.0	KAAN IIIIhact	5,146,760.0	www.inipacc	200,007.0	Keen impact		KANNI BUMBOL	11,002,700.0	ATT I HILPON	600,204.0
l	IERRY	KWV Impact	9.0	KW Impact		kw impact		kw impact		kw impact		kw impact		KW Impact		KW Impact	
		kwin impact	8,703.3	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
1	L			ļ													
	TOM GREEN	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	
	• •	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
ļ																	
	TRAVIS	kW impact	9.0	kW impact	18.9	kW impact	629.8	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	6.0	kW impact	
	l	kWh impact	9,432.1	kWh impact	79,447.7	kWh impact	568,947.0	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	9,895.6	kWh impact	
	TRINITY	kW impact	-	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW Impact		kW impact		kW impact	
		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	
	LIPTON	kW impact		kW impact		WW impact		WW impact		VW impact		kW impact		W impact		WW impact	
		kWh impact		Listh impact		Likih import		Little impact		Like impact				White impact		ki/h impact	
		1 mpace		ATTIC BEACT		ATTA INCOME		KANNI MILPACT		KAALI BUDDET		KINI IIIIJAALA					
			<u></u>			[
	VAN ZANUT	KW Impact		kw impact	4.7	kW impact	1	kW impact		kW impact		kw impact		KW Impact	3.0	KAA MUIDECT	
		kWh impact		kWh impact	25,137.1	kWh impact	1	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	10,291.7	kWh impact	
	h			ļ				[[
	WARD	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	6.3	kW impact	
	1	kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	16,289.2	kWh impact	
							_			[
	WICHITA	kW impact		kW impact	150.1	kW impact		kW impact	763.5	kW impact	10.5	kW impact		kW impact	258.0	kW impact	
	ļ	kWh impact		kWh impact	333,995.3	kWh impact		kWh impact	2,335,831.0	kWh impact	27,418.0	kWh impact		kWh impact	662,540.4	kWh impact	
										l ·		[`		1			
	WILLIAMSON	kW impact		kW impact	110.0	kW imnact	1.340.5	kW impact	263.6	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	537.5	kW impact	
	1	kWh imnact		kWh impact	481 844 3	kWh impact	1 213 038 0	kW/h impact	1 170 256 0	kiA/h imnort		kWb impact		kWh impact	1 963 862 0	kWh impact	
		in the second		ATTIC MILPLACE	401,044.0	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1,213,000,0	NAME AND ADDRESS OF	1,170,230.0	A VIII HIGHDLA		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			1,000,002.0	NYTH MADEU	
		kill import		Littlime and										1.347 1-1-0.001		Lidd immund	
	WINKLER	LAND INCL		KVV Impact		KVV IMpact		KWV Impact		KWV IMpact		KWV WTRPact		KVV Impact		LAND INTERPRET	
	[KWIN Impact		rkWh impact		KWh impact		kWh impact		KWh impact		kWh impact		KWh impact		kwn mpact	
		<u></u>		 		 				<u> </u>		L					
	WISE	KW impact	43.0	/kW impact		kW impact	92.0	kW impact		kW impact	2.2	kW impact		KW impact	4.6	kW impact	
	1	kWh impact	54,037.5	kWh impact		kWh impact	81,085.0	kWh impact		kWh impact	4,739.9	kWh impact		kWh impact	18,422.4	kWh impact	
	L			L						ļ		L		L	<u></u>	ļ	
	YOUNG	kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact		kW impact	0.7	kW impact	
	1	kWh impact		kWh Impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact		kWh impact	1,800.1	kWh impact	
		i		1				1				1		1		l	
	1	1			-								_				

.

Total Sum of kW impact	8,925	4,805	28,114	11,680	460	23,745	11,970	1,787
Total Sum of kWh impact	10,386,000	16,198,046	25,187,935	66,613,517	1,112,052		35,717,210	4,257,483

÷ + ,

٠